Meeting of the
Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization
Regional Transportation Plan Technical Advisory Committee

Thursday, August 11th, 2016
1:30 – 3:30 pm

Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments
Upstairs Meeting Room / 1400 Queen Ave. SE, Albany

Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Requested</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>Introductions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Josh Wheeler, Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1:35</td>
<td>Agenda Review</td>
<td></td>
<td>Josh Wheeler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:40</td>
<td>Public Comment Period</td>
<td></td>
<td>Josh Wheeler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>Minutes of June and July 2016 meetings (Attachments A &amp; B)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Josh Wheeler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action Requested: Approval of June 9 and July 14th 2016 Meeting Minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Josh Wheeler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:55</td>
<td>Confirm Mosaic Goal Alignment &amp; Geography (Attachment C)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Theresa Conley, AAMPO Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action Requested: Confirm Mosaic goal alignment and geography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The RTP TAC is asked to confirm two aspects of the Mosaic structure before moving forward:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Confirm the alignment of RTP Framework goals with the Mosaic measures, as shown in Attachment C. The Mosaic tool is structured around the goals and policies of the Oregon Transportation Plan.</td>
<td>Theresa Conley, AAMPO Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Confirm the geography for which Mosaic will consider impacts of transportation investments: within the MPO boundary, or for the CALM travel demand model area (including Corvallis and Lebanon).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2:10</td>
<td>Millersburg Bridge Concept - Modeling Results</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chris Maciejewski, DKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Action Requested: Discussion only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The CALM travel demand model was used to complete a high-level analysis of how a Millersburg bridge might affect the future regional transportation system in the AAMPO area. Staff will discuss the results.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agenda continued on next page...
7. 2:30 AAMPO RTP Aspirational Project List (Attachment D)  
Action Requested: Recommend two project list themes for Mosaic analysis

Staff will review current and future transportation needs not addressed through the financially constrained project list and will discuss ways to build an unconstrained project list to address those unmet needs. Six ‘strawmen’ project list concepts are shown in Attachment D. The RTP TAC will be asked to recommend two project list concepts for further development and analysis with the Mosaic tool.

RTP TAC members working on local TSPs are asked to provide relevant updates on projects lists or priorities that affect the regional system.

8. 3:30 Adjourn

RTP and Transit Development Plan Next Steps:

Fall  Transit Subgroup meetings. Discuss transit system goals and recommended system improvements

Consultant team further develops two recommended project lists and tests in the Mosaic tool. Results are expected to be ready for TAC review in December.

Winter  TAC will review results of Mosaic analysis alongside results of ‘traditional’ transportation analysis, and develop recommended aspirational project list.

Public and stakeholder outreach, focusing on proposed aspirational project list and Transit Development Plan.

The meeting location is accessible to persons with disabilities. Please make requests for an interpreter or other accommodations at least 72 hours prior to the meeting. Contact Emma Chavez at 541-967-8551 (TTY/TTD 711) or by email at echavez@ocwocg.org.
ALBANY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
Thursday, June 9, 2016
OCWCrg Albany Office, Upstairs Conference Room
MINUTES

Members Absent: Darrin Lane
Guests Present: Chris Maciejewski, Garth Appanaitis, Scott Chapman, Ryan Farncomb, Sam Seskin, and Jim Powers
Staff Attending: Theresa Conley and Emma Chavez

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>DECISION / CONCLUSION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Introductions</td>
<td>Rob Emmons was presented as perspective additional member to the RTP TAC pending Policy Board approval.</td>
<td>Introductions were conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Agenda Review</td>
<td></td>
<td>There were no changes to the agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Public Comment Period</td>
<td>Jim Power with Albany Energy Task Force submitted and read a letter. See attached.</td>
<td>Consensus by the AAMPO TAC to approve the April 14, 2016 meeting minutes as presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Minutes of April 14, 2016 AAMPO TAC Meeting</td>
<td>Chris Bailey moved to approve the April 14, 2016 meeting minutes. Lissa Davis Seconded. Motion carried by consensus.</td>
<td>Consensus by the AAMPO TAC to approve the April 14, 2016 meeting minutes as presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Regional Transportation Plan Update</td>
<td>Earlier this year AAMPO completed an RTP framework which met basic federal requirements. The document identifies current and future needs for the regional system, outlines a constrained project list, and goals and</td>
<td>Consensus by the AAMPO TAC to approve the April 14, 2016 meeting minutes as presented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
priorities in the AAMPO area. The MPO will continue to build on the RTP Framework and amend it with a full RTP/RTSP in 2017. Now, AAMPO will begin digging into the aspirational project list using the Mosaic Planning Tool. There will be another round of public engagement once the aspirational project list is developed.

| VI. Regional Transit Needs Analysis | At the recommendation of the TAC and Policy Board, an additional transit analysis was conducted in April and May. Stakeholder outreach and research was conducted to assess public transportation needs in the AAMPO area with a focus in the smaller cities of Jefferson, Millersburg and Tangent. Two focus groups meetings were held to gather information about observed public transportation needs and travel patters in the AAMPO area, and data was gathered on medical travel, carpooling patterns, and commute patterns. Information gathered was provided to members in Attachment B.

The key findings were reviewed with members:
- A gap in the current public transportation system for younger disabled individuals and lower income individuals in smaller communities who are not eligible for Medicaid.
- There is opportunity to increase existing programs to support usage of current transportation options.
- In Tangent, a need was identified for a last mile connectivity to/from the existing Linn-Benton Loop service.
- The draft Millersburg Transportation System Plan has a strong emphasis on improving the bicycle and pedestrian network and less emphasis on public transportation. |

| VII. Regional Project List | Initial work focused on completion of the RTP Framework which includes a financially constrained project list, largely comes from current adopted plans. Next, the MPO will look at unfunded projects and development of |

|  | Staff and consultants will redefine the project list and will share an updated list at next |
an aspirational project list. AAMPO will utilize the Mosaic ‘value and cost-informed planning tool’ to test potential aspirational project lists.

To prepare for the aspirational scenario evaluation, two example bundles of projects were presented. Each bundle incorporates a mix of strategic themes and each theme would then result in a mix of projects to model and explore with the Mosaic tool. The two bundles are 1. Safe, Regional Connections, and 2. Preserve and Maximize the Existing System.

The combination of themes within each bundle will provide an opportunity to see how the region could look under each condition. The bundles, themes, and projects however, are not recommendations about what projects to include in the RTP, rather, an opportunity to learn about outcomes and help inform future decisions about project lists.

TAC members discussed how areas outside of the MPO might be considered, particularly regarding transit service, defining ‘multimodal’ to consider a full range of transportation demand management solutions; and that all federally-funded projects within the MPO area should be included in the RTP.

TAC members requested more background on what the Mosaic tool will produce and to have an opportunity to revise the proposed bundles. There will be further discussion at the next meeting.

| VIII. Updates on Local Plans | City of Jefferson – Is submitting a TGM application for a TSP update. |
|                            | Linn County – Continues to work on their TSP update. |
|                            | Benton County – Held an information-gathering meeting to help kick off the TSP process on Tuesday night. |
Linn and Benton County Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plans – This is an effort to plan for special transportation needs in Linn, Benton and Lincoln Counties. It is federally required and it must be updated every five years. Ongoing stakeholder engagement and reporting will take place in June. Work sessions are scheduled for Mid-July with a draft county plans prepared July through September with a regional section prepared in September.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IX. Information Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ● Oregon Transportation Funding Package  
  Staff advised that to stay informed, members may wish to attend the upcoming meeting in July hosted by the State. There is also the Oregon Transportation Forum. |
| ● AAMPO TGM application for ADA planning  
  Staff continues to work on the application. |
| ● STIP Non-Highway Enhance Process  
  Have completed scoping of 150% list. Reports will be released later this month. ACT’s will review the list in July or early August. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X. Adjourn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The meeting was adjourned at 3:39 pm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hello, I am Jim Powers

Since March 1, 101 days ago, as this commission has been building a regional transportation plan, the residents of Albany have spewed over 200,000 hot air balloons full of toxic carbon dioxide gas into the atmosphere, much of this from transportation. Imagine holding your breath as this room fills with CO2 gas. After only two minutes we will all be gasping for oxygen and will all die a few minutes later.

101 days ago my wife of 43 years died in my arms as her oxygen supply to her heart and brain stopped, due to a rare condition called a heart spasm.

This planet is dying from CO2 pollution. It is overheating. The oceans are acidifying. The facts are well known and available to anyone. Just as I fought to save Lynn’s life, in the morning of March 1, and now grieve, I will fight for our Earth’s life and grieve for what has already been lost.

This commission is responsible for creating a 20 year transportation plan for the Albany Area, my home for the last 35 years.

I, respectfully, ask this commission for two items:

1. To add as a requirement for each recommendation under consideration the scientific measure of the volume of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gasses, sometimes called, CO2e, that will be produced during the life cycle of that project. I.E. cradle to grave.

2. To include in your plans the rapid deployment of electric car infrastructure. We must rapidly transition to electric transportation, fuelled by renewable energy, if there is any hope for our children and grandchildren to live on a planet that is not wrecked by carbon combustion and business as usual.

Thank you for this opportunity for the public to weigh in to your process. I request a written reply to my requests.

Thank you,

Jim Powers, Chair
Albany Energy Taskforce
jp@ccpvideos.com
541-829-2114
ALBANY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
Thursday, July 14, 2016
OCWCOG Albany Office, Upstairs Conference Room
MINUTES

Guests Present: Terry Cole, Garth Appanaitis, and Scott Chapman
Staff Attending: Theresa Conley and Emma Chavez

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>DECISION / CONCLUSION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Introductions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Introductions were not conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Agenda Review</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moved agenda item 6 before 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Public Comment Period</td>
<td></td>
<td>There were no public comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. RTP Scope and Schedule Review</td>
<td>Staff reviewed the RTP schedule, noting that the RTP TAC Transit Subgroup will be meeting more regularly in the following months.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summer 2016**
- Define and review Mosaic ‘Bundles’ to test aspirational project lists
- Transit Subgroup begins to meet more frequently
- Transit ‘Future Conditions’ and funding analysis work. Begin discussing preferred system solutions
- Discuss RTSP requirements with each jurisdiction

**Early Fall 2016**
- Public meetings to be held in throughout the AAMPO area
- CWACT Briefing #1

### Later Fall
- Review Transportation System & Transit System Recommendations
- Review Regional Transportation Performance Metrics
- Finalize Plan Goals and Objectives

### Winter 2016
- Begin preparing draft RTP, RTSP and TDP documents

### March 2017
- Review Draft Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Transit Development Plan (TDP)
- CWACT Briefing #2

### Summer 2017 – RTP Hearing and Adoption
- Local agency briefings
- Policy Board Hearing

Staff advised that there have been questions in regards to Albany Area Transit Development Plan (TDP) Scope of Work. The TDP geographic boundary includes Albany, Millersburg, Tangent, Jefferson and adjacent areas expected to be urbanized in the next twenty years (UGB areas).

The Chair questioned whether the TDP would be incorporated by reference in the AAMPO RTP or will it have a separate transit section. He was advised that the highpoints of the TDP will be pulled out and included in the TDP. Terry Cole indicated that you don’t want short term operation items adopted in the RTP/RTSP, which will be a land use decision.
Scott Chapman (Nelson Nygaard) went on to discuss transit planning coordination. Chapman briefly reviewed the following transit plans:

- Linn County TSP
- Linn County Coordinated Human Services Public Transportation
- Albany Area MPO Regional Transportation Plan
- Albany Area MPO Transit Development Plan
- Lebanon TSP
- Lebanon Transit Development Plan

Chuck Knoll questioned how special transportation services fit in with the TDP process. Chapman advised that the Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan identifies transportation needs of individuals with disabilities, older adults, and people with low income. It provides guidance on how funds will be spent in Linn County. The programs noted by Knoll receive funds by entities such as non profits, cities, and the COG who receive 5310 STF funds.

The Chair advised that the AAMPO RTP cannot go too far outside of the boundary due to statutory requirements. However, the COG is the central coordinator of Linn, Benton and Lincoln Counties and STF Coordinators could contact Phil Warnock, Community Economic Development Director at the COG to discuss regional coordination.

Staff noted that once all the data is compiled and local needs are identified, AAMPO could work towards a larger higher level regional strategy.

Chapman went on to explain the nature of TDPs, their scope and future conditions. There were no additional questions or discussion.

| 5. Transit Development Plan Update | Staff advised that the first meeting of the RTP TAC Transit Subgroup is scheduled for Monday, July 25 at 3:00 pm. |
Consultants reviewed Attachments A and B with members. Staff needs feedback from members on the memos within one week. The attachments were included in the agenda packet email, however; staff send out a follow up email to the group requesting feedback within a week.

### 6. Mosaic Discussion

The Chair and staff reviewed what Mosaic is, its purpose and use. Members received a handout of the RTP Goals and Mosaic Categories. These goals are updated to better align with the goal areas in Mosaic.

Mosaic looks at impacts on considerations such as accessibility, the environment, the economic development, mobility, land use, and quality of life. Mosaic is designed to assess the cumulative impact or performance of an entire project list and can also compare two project lists with different funding strategies. The Mosaic allows discussion trade-off of investment strategies and can help inform policy choices.

AAMPO needs to identify the geography to measure. Does AAMPO want to measure the broader regional impacts/benefits of the project list or does it want to limit the analysis to only consider the impacts/benefits to the MPO area. AAMPO also needs to come up with two bundles for the tool to work appropriately. With bundles, several different project lists are created then you compare their cumulative impacts relative to each other.

Members requested staff to provide prior to the next meeting:
- Map of deficiencies not addressed through the constrained project list and a high level summary of needs in AAMPO area to inform the ‘bundle’ discussion
- Ideas on how to identify bundles – prepare five ‘strawmen’ bundles for consideration

### 7. Next Steps

Staff thanked members for their patience with the Mosaic process.

### 8. Adjourn

Meeting adjourned at 3:15 pm.
### RTP Goals & Mosaic Categories

The Mosaic tool is structured around the goals and policies of the Oregon Transportation Plan. It includes nine categories for transportation system performance, each with its own set of indicators. Below is a comparison of AAMPO’s RTP Framework Goals, and the weighting for each goal, with the Mosaic categories and indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>AAMPO Goal</th>
<th>Mosaic Categories</th>
<th>Mosaic Specific Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 14     | **Goal 1:** Provide for a balanced and multi-modal regional transportation system that meets existing needs and prepares for future needs. | Mobility          | • Travel Time  
• Hours of congestion  
• Reliability – Recurring congestion  
• Reliability – Non-recurring congestion  
• User Costs  
• Mode Split  
• VMT per capita |
| 12.3   | **Goal 2:** Enhance regional and intermodal connectivity for movement of all modes within the MPO as well as between the MPO and other areas. | Accessibility     | • Transportation cost index  
• Population within X minutes between work and home  
• Location of industrial jobs in relation to the regional freight network  
• Population and employment within ¼ mile of a transit stop served by at least 30 vehicles per day  
• Amount of multi-use paths and bike boulevards  
• Sidewalk coverage |
| 18     | **Goal 3:** Increase the safety and security for all travel modes on the regional system | Safety & Security | • Fatal, Injury A, and Injury B crashes  
• Property Damage Only (PDO) accidents  
• Emergency Management Systems (EMS) response times  
• Resiliency of the network |
| 5      | **Goal 4:** Protect the natural and build environment                     | Environmental Stewardship | • Criteria air contaminants  
• Air toxics (Benzene and Diesel PM)  
• Life-cycle CO2e  
• Natural, built, and cultural resources at risk |
| 10.7   | **Goal 5:** Preserve the mobility of existing freight routes to ensure the efficient movement of goods throughout the region for existing freight movements and future opportunities | Economic Vitality   | • Number of jobs created or retained by bundle, and associated income metrics  
• Changes in transportation costs by industry (business travel and freight)  
• Changes in employment by industry, and associated income metrics  
• Changes in productivity from increased connectivity  
• Changes in the total value of exports and imports |

*Red = Does not match up with any of the AAMPO Goals’ objectives*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 6:</th>
<th>Demonstrate responsible stewardship or funds and resources</th>
<th>Funding the Transportation System/Finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Capital costs</td>
<td>• Other lifecycle costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Total revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Share of lifecycle funds that are new or recycled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Net impact of program on state and local fiscal balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 7:</td>
<td>Coordinate transportation and land use decision-making to foster collaboration and to encourage development patterns which increase transportation options, encourage physical activity, and decrease reliance on the automobile.</td>
<td>Land Use &amp; Growth Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Population and employment change and distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Relative change in land value compared to base case or no action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 8:</td>
<td>Provide for a transportation system with positive personal health impacts.</td>
<td>Quality of life &amp; Livability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Health benefits of active transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Quality of the travel environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Noise impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 9:</td>
<td>Provide for a diversified transportation system that ensures mobility for all.</td>
<td>Equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Distribution of user benefits across population groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Distribution of PM emissions across population groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Distribution of health benefits from active transportation across population groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Distribution of accident rates across population groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 10:</td>
<td>Provide an open and balanced process for planning and developing the transportation system.</td>
<td>N/A - Not measurable in Mosaic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Red = Does not match up with any of the AAMPO Goals’ objectives*
August 4, 2016

TO: AAMPO Regional Transportation Plan Technical Advisory Committee
FROM: Theresa Conley, AAMPO Manager
SUBJECT: AAMPO RTP Aspirational Project List

---

**Action Requested**

Consider the attached maps, including six ‘strawmen’ project list concepts, and recommend two project list concepts to further develop and test using the Mosaic tool.

**Background**

In March 2016, AAMPO completed the first phase of developing a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) by adopting an RTP Framework. The RTP Framework includes goals and objectives for the region’s multimodal transportation system, a discussion of existing and future needs, and a financially constrained project list.

In the second phase of developing a full RTP, the RTP TAC is asked to develop an ‘aspirational’ project list beyond what is financially constrained. Two proposed project lists will be developed and tested using the Mosaic tool. Mosaic can help assess the cumulative impact or performance of each project list and identify the trade-offs of different investment strategies. AAMPO can consider the Mosaic results alongside public input, modeling analysis, and other data to form a final recommended aspirational project list.

Attached are a series of maps to assist in developing two proposed project lists:

- Draft Financially Constrained Project List & Remaining Needs - Shows the remaining needs (in green) that have not been addressed by the Draft Financially Constrained Project list (in brown).
- Aspirational Projects (Draft) - Shows project needs that have been identified but did not make it onto the Draft Financially Constrained Project list.
- List 1 through List 6 - Six ‘strawmen’ concepts to consider for further development and testing in Mosaic. Each strawman represents a different investment strategy and includes a handful of sample projects illustrative of the type of projects that might be included.

The AAMPO RTP TAC is asked to consider each of the attached maps and ultimately recommend two project list concepts to further develop and test in the Mosaic tool. Recommended project lists concepts can be one of the strawmen, a combination of strawman concepts, or a new proposal.
Draft Financially Constrained Project List & Remaining Needs

Other Needs not Shown on the Map

Pedestrian and Bicycle Needs
- Regional Trail Plan (Linn County)
- Improve access to Rivers
- Gap Analysis of Multimodal Paths (Benton County)
- Bicycle Gap Analysis (Linn County and Marion County)

Safety Needs
- Enhance Safety of Marion County

Freight Needs
- Maintain Freight Mobility on I-5 and OR 34

Transit Needs
- Implement Transit Service Enhancements (Albany)
- Increased Transit Frequency
- Regional Transit Connections

Other Needs
- Improve Corridor System Management Capabilities
- Construct a Regional Communications Network Between Agencies
- Construct Virtual Traffic Operations Center
- Enable Emergency Service Coordination
- I-5 Optimization Study
- Expand Traveler Information Services
- Regional Amtrak Access
- Corridor Feasibility Study
- Safe Routes to School
- Traffic Calming

Legend
- Financially Constrained Intersection Project
- Remaining Intersection Needs
- Remaining Segment Needs
- State Facilities
- Financially Constrained Segment Project
- Railway
- Counties
- Water Bodies
Aspirational Projects (Draft)

Other Projects not Shown on the Map

**Multimodal Projects**
- Pavement Preservation
- Preserve ROW along Busy Corridors

**Transit Projects**
- LBCC Transit Center Enhancement
- Identify Future Transit Stop(s) in Millersburg

**Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects**
- Develop Wayfining Plan
- Water Avenue Streetscape Upgrade
- ADA Accessibility Projects
- Oak Creek Crossing Trails
- Millersburg Park-City Hall Shared-Use Path
- Millersburg Gateway Treatments
- "Four Lakes" Trails
- Transit Stop

**Safety Projects**
- I-5 Tank Farm Interchange
- Remove all-way stop at various intersections (Jefferson)

Legend

**Project Type**
- Bike Improvement
- Intersection Capacity Improvement
- Intersection Safety Improvement
- Modernization
- New Multi-Use Path
- New Roadway
- Pedestrian Crossing Improvement
- Preservation
- Rehabilitation
- Roadway Capacity Improvement
- Roadway Safety Improvement
- Sidewalk Infill

- Streetscape
- Study

**Project Type**
- Bridge Replacement
- Intersection Capacity Improvement
- Intersection Safety Improvement
- Pedestrian Crossing Improvement
- Study

- Counties
- Water Bodies
- State Facilities
- Railway

0 0.5 1 2 miles
List 1: Improve Corridor Capacity

List 1 Sample Projects
- P1: New Willamette River Crossing (Millersburg to North Albany)
- M10: I-5 Tank Farm Interchange
- A111: Spring Hill Rd. Widening
- M6: Conser Rd. Realignment
- P2: Conser Rd. Widening

Alignments are for illustration only and should not be used for planning or engineering purposes
List 2 Sample Projects
- P3: Truck Traffic Signal Priority (Area-wide Program)
- P4: Increase Albany-Corvallis Transit Service (Area-wide Improvement)
- M15: Reconstruct Old Salem Rd.
- A46: US 20/2nd St. Intersection Capacity Upgrade

List 2: Manage Corridor Congestion

Legend
- List 2 Sample Projects
- List 2 Sample Intersection Projects
- Counties
- Water Bodies
- State Facilities
- Railway

0 0.5 1 2 Miles N
List 3 Sample Projects
- A36: OR 99E Speed Study
- T37: OR 99E Pedestrian Crossing
- A72: Queen Ave./Geary St. Intersection Capacity Upgrade
- LC22: Knox Butte Rd./Scravel Hill Rd. Safety Improvements
- P5: Safe Routes to School (Area-wide Program)
List 4 Sample Projects
- P6: Inter-city Transit Service (Millersburg, Jefferson, Tangent)
- M21: Identify Future Transit Stop Locations (Millersburg)
- P7: Complete Bike/Ped Connections within 1/4-mile of Transit Stop (Area-wide Program)
- P8: I-5 Corridor and Interchange Improvements
List 5: Create Vibrant Urban Centers

List 5 Sample Projects
- P8: Improve River Access
- T10: OR 99E On-Street Bike Lanes
- P9: Implement Bicycle Parking Programs (Area-wide Program)
- A30: Water Avenue Streetscape
- J10: OR 164 Sidewalk Fill
List 6 Sample Projects
- A186: Scenic Dr. Sidewalk
- M12: Millersburg Dr. Modernization
- LC24: Looney Ln Modernization
- J25: 3rd St. Modernization
- T35: Old Hwy 34 Modernization
- BC10: Oak Grove Dr. Modernization

List 6: Continue RTP Framework Process