

Agenda
Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization
Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)
and Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) TAC

Date: Thursday, May 10, 2018
Time: 1:30 to 3:30 pm
Location: OCWCOG Albany Office, Upstairs Conference Room
1400 Queen Ave SE, Albany OR
Contact: Tarah Campi, Planner II, (541) 924-8480
Teleconference Number: 541-497-7311, pin #841

1. **1:30 Call to Order and Agenda Review Georgia Edwards**
2. **1:35 Public Comment Georgia Edwards**
3. **1:40 Minutes from April 12th Meeting (Attachment A) Georgia Edwards**
Action Requested: Approve Minutes
4. **1:45 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Chris Maciejewski,**
(Attachment B and C) **DKS Associates**
Action Requested: Approve submitting the RTP to the Policy Board for Adoption.
The AAMPO RTP has been updated with comments from federal partners; the AAMPO TAC and RTP TAC are asked to review the changes and approve submitting the RTP to the AAMPO Policy Board for Adoption at the May 23rd Policy Board meeting. Per previous finalization by the TAC, the Albany *Transit Development Plan (TDP)* will be presented to the Policy Board for Approval at the May 23rd meeting.
5. **2:30 ADA Transition Plan Chuck Knoll**
Action Requested: Discussion
An update on a *Transportation and Growth Management (TGM)* grant application to fund an ADA Transition Plan addressing accessibility in public right-of-way in the AAMPO area.
6. **2:50 AAMPO Staffing Update Phil Warnock**
Action Requested: Discussion
7. **3:00 Jurisdictional Updates All**
Action Requested: Discussion
8. **3:15 Adjourn**

**ALBANY AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)
MINUTES
April 12, 2018**

Members Present: Chris Bailey, Chuck Knoll, James Feldmann, Don Miller, Georgia Edwards, Laurel Byer, and Lissa Davis

Members Absent: Darrin Lane

Guests Present: Lee Lazaro, and Daniel Malone

Staff Present: Tarah Campi, and Emma Chavez

1. Call to Order and Agenda Review

The Chair, Georgia Edwards called the meeting to order at 1:33 pm.

Staff, Tarah Campi advised that a routine audit of AAMPO's Title VI work was held in the summer of 2017. AAMPO met or exceeded in all areas except for the need to gather demographic information about the composition of the Policy Board and TAC membership. Staff developed a demographics information-gathering form that was reviewed with the TAC at its March meeting. The form was approved by the Policy Board also, at its March meeting.

Members were requested to fill out and turn in the form before the end of the meeting.

2. Public Comment

There were no public comments.

3. Review Minutes from March 8, 2018 Meeting

Consensus to approve the March 8, 2018 meeting minutes as written.

4. Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

Campi advised the Draft 2018-2019 UPWP had its Federal review on February 15th. The updated draft after that review and subsequent comments is what members have received in their agenda packets. The financial carryover is not expected to be finalized by ODOT before the UPWP's adoption is due in April. Campi went on to briefly review the tasks with members.

Consensus from the AAMPO Technical Advisory Committee to recommend the Draft 2018-2019 Unified Planning Work Program to the AAMPO Policy Board as presented.

5. House Bill 2017 Update

Campi informed members that she verified with the Legislative Office that there aren't any pots of funding for which AAMPO as an entity can apply. She went on to review the various HB2017 funding documents that members received in their agenda packet.

Campi noted that there is only one highway project in the AAMPO area; the US 20 safety improvements between Albany and Corvallis. James Feldmann noted that ODOT is currently scoping that project.

In regards to Transit Funding, Campi advised that Phil Warnock is on the Rules Advisory Committee (RAC) of the State Transportation Improvement Fund (STIF). An email will be going out shortly in regards to a Public Hearing on the Discretionary Funds. Funding is expected to start flowing in 2019.

Campi has been following the Rules Process for Safe Routes to School (SRTS). A FAQ page was include for members to review from the Rules Making Committee. Targeted workshops are expected around the State this summer for prospective applicants. Infrastructure projects will need to be included in a formal adopted plan to be eligible to apply.

6. Linn-Benton Loop Service Analysis

Lee Lazaro, AAMPO TAC Chair provided an update on the Linn-Benton Loop Service Analysis.

Lazaro advised that AAMPO budgeted \$28,000 in 2016-2017 for a services analysis of the Loop. Those funds were carried over to 2017-2018. During the past several years, significant transportation planning has been completed or is in progress in the Mid-Willamette Valley are including TSP's, TDP's, and Coordinated Plans. Many of those in some way included projects and/or services either currently provided, or which could be potentially provided by the Loop.

To fund the project, the TAC recommended that the existing \$28,000 rollover funding in AAMPO's budget be supplemented by an equal contribution of \$6,400 from each of the other five partners, for a total of \$60,000. It was recommended utilizing ODOT's Master Agreement for transportation planning to hire from a list of pre-approved contractors, in order to expedite the process.

Three contractor proposals were reviewed by TAC members. DKS/Nelson Nygaard was selected as the best qualified consultant for this project. The TAC conducted an initial scoping meeting with the consultant, and their initial proposal back indicates that the project can be completed within the project budget.

Lazaro went on to give a brief project description; the purpose of is to develop near-term (2-5 year) service improvement strategies and options for the Linn-Benton Loop. Each strategy or option will be summarized by its associated scope, deliverables, costs, and benefits, and will be sufficiently detailed to the level of general routes and schedules.

The consultant will work with the Loop Board and the TAC, through an assigned Project Team which will include and ODOT staff representative as well as a Loop TAC project manager. The Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments (OCWCOG) will serve as the fiduciary agency for purposes of contracting with ODOT, for collecting of the partner funds for the project, and for other administrative support for the project.

Project Schedule:

- Finalize contract with Nelson Nygaard - May 2018
- Project Kick-off - Late May / Early June 2018
- Complete Data-Gathering & Analysis Tasks One Thru Three - August, 2018

- Complete Draft of Plan & Strategies - October, 2018
- Presentation to Linn-Benton Loop Board - November, 2018
- Final Draft of Plan - December, 2018

After approval of the Plan by the Loop Board, presentations will be made to the AAMPO and CAMPO Boards, to Linn and Benton County Commissioners, and a presentation or report will be provided to ODOT. Funding Requests will be made to Linn and Benton County STIF Advisory Committees to begin implementation of highest priority strategies

7. ADA Transition Plan

Chuck Knoll gave an update on the ADA Transition Plan.

Linn County submitted a Transportation and Growth Management (TGM) pre application to ODOT for \$150,000 to do an ADA Transition Plan for the AAMPO area with exception to the City of Albany, which is pursuing its own Plan. Pre-approval was received. A final application is due in June. Knoll advised that an inventory that identifies ADA needs should be developed for each participating AAMPO jurisdiction.

Campi noted that per the March TAC meeting, staff was asked to follow up with ODOT on which entities would need to adopt an ADA Transition Plan. Campi touched base with ODOT's Title VI / ADA Manager who is not sure if separate jurisdictions can submit a combined ADA Transition Plan. The ODOT ADA Manager looped in FHWA, and FHWA wants to ensure that there is language that specifies that each jurisdiction has ownership for its own non-infrastructure elements such as policies, grievance procedures, and public notice policies. FHWA had reservations regarding a combined plan for those reasons. Therefore it is important to specify that ultimately this plan would need to be adopted and formalized for each jurisdiction.

Knoll will follow up on next steps.

8. TransGIS Introduction

James Feldmann advised of ODOT's TransGIS interactive mapping tool. TransGIS designed for users of every skill level. TransGIS presents many levels of complex data in an interactive map format offering multi-level views of Oregon's transportation system needs and accomplishments.

Detailed information Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) projects and environmental data are accessible for analysis, planning and research needs. TransGIS serves as the standard foundation for ODOT web mapping applications and is flexible and adaptable to specific needs for mapping and analysis.

9. Jurisdictional Updates

AAMPO Staffing: Transportation Section Manager Interviews were held March 30th. A candidate rose to the top and a second interview is being conducted. The Manager would oversee the MPOs as well as other transportation projects.

Linn County: Chuck Knoll advised that a seismic assessment of Linn County bridges identified 116 bridges that are seismic deficient. Will be scoping bridges in the next six months and ODOT will decide which to fund.

City of Albany: STP funded project, Hill Street to start next week.

Benton County: Continues to update TSP. Held community workshops in March. Corvallis to Albany Bikeway, having issues with trestle. Going through the hiring process of a Public Works Director.

10. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 3:15 pm.

AAMPO RTP Comments from FHWA and FTA
(recommended edits shown in red text)

Comment	Response
Please include timeline (month and year) of future RTP Update	Done – pages 8 and 149.
Spell out the 20-year time period on the front page	Added 2018-2040 on the cover page.
Suggest referencing AAMPO’s ADA Policy	Done – page iv.
AAMPO should look at ADA accessibility from an organization, program, and project level.	Some language added on pg 93. Reference to future work program needs also added to page 149.
Will AAMPO provide a copy of the final RTP to the Governor and both FTA and FHWA offices?	Added in text – page iv.
Are there opportunities to address safety issues at at-grade rail crossings? Have delay issues/blockage been quantified?	The UPRR crossing on Queen Avenue was identified as an issue for congestion and an improvement was constructed in Millersburg that should work towards improving this issue (see page 100).
Are any intersection improvements identified to improve trucking radius?	Truck turning radii limitations were not identified as an issue/need at specific locations.
Do any federal and/or state intermodal connectors exist in the AAMPO area?	Outside of the Amtrack rail station and transit center (see TDP), no. There is a project identified to create one (see page 100).
Include FAST Act reference	Done – page 7, 11, and 147.
Recommend focusing on fatal and severe injuries as this comports with federal direction on safety and supports Oregon’s strategic highway safety plan – page 15	Emphasis added to potential Goal 3 (Safety actions – page 15. In addition, fatal and severe crash locations were prioritized in the evaluation and project development for the RTP.
Can an assessment of the degree of access to key facilities be provided? page 37	<p>We do not have a full evaluation of ADA compliance that would allow this, only general observations of compliance in the area. This is identified as a future work-program item.</p> <p>AAMPO is collaborating with Linn County on a Transportation and Growth Management (TGM) grant application to address ADA Transition (application due June 2018).</p>

Would encourage developing a map showing communities to aid in assessment of needs and project distribution? – page 63	Jurisdictional boundaries are included in the majority of the figures in the RTP.
Would encourage tiered pedestrian needs (low, medium, and high) to aid in problem identification and project development. This would also place analysis on par with motor vehicle analysis. Would recommend a safety analysis of pedestrian crashes along with identification of improvements. – page 94	A tiered assessment of pedestrian facility conditions/needs was completed in existing conditions analysis. An analysis of crashes involving pedestrians was also included in the existing conditions analysis and used in project identification/screening.
Identify actual list of stakeholders consulted – page 8	Reference to PI Summary in the Appendix added on page 8.
Can AAMPO expand on the performance measures status paragraph to include an approach/next steps at a high level (specifically steps to address 23CFR450.306(d))	Additional text added on page 149.
Review 23CFR450.324(11) Financial Plan to ensure AAMPO addresses (i) – (viii)	The methodology for the financial assessment and forecast used in the work program was consistent with these requirements.
Environmental justice and disadvantaged population information is lacking – page 63.	TM with EJ Analysis added to the Appendix.
The RTP seems to be missing significant existing conditions information	Add TM #4 (Existing Conditions) to the Appendix.
Tech memos should be clearly referenced for existing and future conditions	Existing conditions Tech Memo references are included on page 25 and the memos will be added to the Appendix. Future conditions Tech Memo references are included on page 88 and the memos will be added to the Appendix.
The characterization of alternative modes of transportation should be improved. For example, facilities are either ADA compliant or not. Pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure	Will review and enhance text language as appropriate.
Will the technical memos be included? The plan seems incomplete without them.	Yes.
Include information about AAMPO's budget.	Added to page 149.
Consider listing top employers by NAICS code and the # of employees they have in the region – page 3	The number for employees for specific employers in the state databases is protected, private information.

Include raw numbers of population and employment growth, as well as summary tables or charts.	See Chapter 6 – Future Forecasting.
Specify what group is represented by “Latino Leaders” – page 8.	Added text to clarify this is based on outreach to 16 different Latino stakeholders.
Specify how transit riders were engaged – page 8.	Done.
Suggest zooming into the transit route map to show fixed route service more clearly – page 36.	All RTP maps were intended to show the entire RTP area. Additional detail on transit maps are available in the TDP.
Describe ADA compliance for facilities as complaint or not, incomplete is not descriptive – page 37.	Text modified.
Why was fault described for crashes involving pedestrians, but not all crash types? Do the plans goals, policies, objectives address this? – page 39.	Fault for the pedestrian crashes was listed in greater detail as the project team felt it was important to understand potential causes of these potential high-severity crashes. The results were used in the identification of solutions after the existing conditions evaluation was completed.
How are the bicycle crash issues addressed? The existing conditions analysis seems like a crash report without suggested solutions. – page 43.	The information was used throughout the planning process to identify and prioritize improvements. Safety projects were prioritized in both the Financial Constrained and Aspirational project lists.
Provide context for the pedestrian system before jumping to identifying deficiencies. Define deficiencies. Current wording makes it sound like the pedestrians are deficient, not the facilities. – page 92.	Pedestrian system context and needs identification details are provided in earlier chapters. Chapter 7 summarizes the information at a high level. Text modified to clarify deficiencies are for the facilities.
Bicycle System - same comment as above for pedestrian system – page 95.	Bicycle system context and needs identification details are provided in earlier chapters. Chapter 7 summarizes the information at a high level. Text modified to clarify deficiencies are for the facilities.
Would be helpful to define LTS for the reader – page 95.	See definition on page 41.

Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

~~Regional Transportation~~

~~Plan~~ Regional Transportation Plan
(2018 – 2040)



Adopted by the AAMPO Policy Board on **DATE**

Prepared by:

Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization
Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments
1400 Queen Ave SE, Suite 205, Albany, OR 97322
www.ocwcog.org/AAMPO

DKS Associates in association with:
Nelson/Nygaard
CH2M
David Evans and Associates
Cogito

Style Definition: Heading 3: Font: 22 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Gill Sans MT, 36 pt

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

Formatted: Font: 32 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Gill Sans, 11 pt

Formatted: None, Line spacing: single, Widow/Orphan control, Allow hanging punctuation, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Font Alignment: Auto, Border: Top: (No border), Bottom: (No border), Left: (No border), Right: (No border), Between : (No border), Bar : (No border), Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Font: (Default) Gill Sans, 11 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Gill Sans, 11 pt



Adopting Resolution

Resolution Number 2017-1

FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING THE ALBANY AREA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN:

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census has declared that the City of Albany, City of Millersburg, City of Tangent, City of Jefferson and adjoining areas of Linn, Benton, and Marion Counties form an Urbanized Area named the Albany Urbanized Area; and,

WHEREAS, the Albany Urbanized Area has been designated by the State of Oregon as the official Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) of the urbanized area; and,

WHEREAS, the US Department of Transportation and Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) have designated representatives of the said areas, together with a representative of ODOT, as the Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (AAMPO) to carry out the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Process; and,

WHEREAS, the Regional Transportation Plan provides a financially constrained project list consistent with the projects and priorities identified in the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP); and,

WHEREAS, the comments received at the committee meetings, Policy Board meetings, and through other forms of communication were considered; and

WHEREAS, the Regional Transportation Plan will serve as the federally required Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) until a Regional Transportation System Plan (RTSP) is adopted to serve as both the MTP and RTSP for the AAMPO; and,

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on **November 22, 2017**,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the AAMPO Policy Board adopts the Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan.

PASSED AND APPROVED THIS _____ **DAY OF 2017**, BY THE ALBANY AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION.

SIGNED:

ROGER NYQUIST

Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization
Policy Board Chair

Acknowledgements

Policy Board

- Don Miller — City of Millersburg
- Ray Kopczynski — City of Albany
- Dave Beyerl — City of Jefferson
- Annabelle Jaramillo — Benton County
- Roger Nyquist — Linn County
- Frannie Brindle — Oregon Department of Transportation
- Gary Powell — City of Tangent
- Darrin Lane — Private Citizen

Formatted: Font: 13 pt, Bold

Formatted: Heading 4

Formatted: Font: (Default) Gill Sans, 10 pt

Regional Transportation Plan Technical Advisory Committee

- Valerie Grigg Devis — Oregon Department of Transportation
- Chris Bailey — City of Albany
- Josh Wheeler — Benton County
- Chuck Knoll — Linn County
- Darrin Lane — Private Citizen
- Lissa Davis — City of Jefferson
- Georgia Edwards — City of Tangent
- Laurie Starha — Benton County
- Jim Stouder — Benton County
- Lee Lazaro — Benton County Special Transportation Program
- Ron Irish — City of Albany
- Mark Volmert — Linn County Special Transportation Program
- Barry Hoffman — City of Albany, Albany Transit Service
- Carl Ang — Linn County Sheriff's Office
- John Pascone — Albany-Millersburg Economic Development Corporation
- Cody Meyer — Department of Land Conservation and Development
- Jon Goldman — City of Albany
- Ted Frazier — City of Albany, Call-A-Ride
- Ken Bronson — Sweet Home Senior Center
- Jean Palmateer — ODOT Public Transit Division
- Steve Dickey — Salem-Keizer Area Public Transit
- Edna Campau — City of Jefferson Resident
- Ned Conroy — Federal Transit Administration Region 10
- Nick Fortey — Federal Highway Administration
- Mary Camarata — Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
- Ed Moore — Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development
- Bill Holstrom — Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development

Formatted: Heading 4


Formatted: Font: (Default) Gill Sans, 10 pt

Development of this document was possible with funding from the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, the Oregon Department of Transportation, and the support and involvement of AAMPO jurisdictions and stakeholders.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Gill Sans, 10 pt

|

|



Albany Area MPO Title VI Notice

ALBANY AREA MPO TÍTULO VI COMUNICACIÓN

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 states:

“No person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.”

Formatted: Font: Italic

The Albany Area MPO is committed to complying with the requirements of Title VI in all of its programs and activities. Any person who believes she or he has been aggrieved by any unlawful discriminatory practice under Title VI [or the Americans with Disabilities Act \(ADA\)](#) may file a complaint with the Albany Area MPO. A complainant may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration by addressing the complaint to the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor - TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington, DC 20590.

For more information about the Albany Area MPO’s Title VI / Non-Discrimination [and ADA](#) Programs, including procedures for filing a complaint, contact the AAMPO Coordinator at 541-924-8480; by e-mail to tcampi@ocwcog.org; or by visiting the Albany Area MPO administrative offices at: 1400 Queen Ave SE, Suite 205, Albany OR 97322.

If information is needed in another language, contact (541)-924-8405.

Si se necesita información en otro idioma de contacto 541-924-8405.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Copies of this document are available:

- At ~~the Albany Area MPO website: www.ocwcog.org/transportation/aampo~~
- At the Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments administrative offices:
1400 Queen Ave SE, Suite 205, Albany, OR 97322

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

- [At the Oregon Governor's Office, the Federal Highway Administration, and the Federal Transit Administration](#)

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Introduction..... 12

The Albany Area MPO..... 12

Albany Area Planning Context..... 12

Chapter 2: Plan Overview 56

Regulatory Framework..... 56

The Planning Process..... 67

Existing Plans and Regulations..... 8

Chapter 3: Goals, Policies, and Objectives 11

Chapter 4: Existing Transportation System 2624

Traffic Safety..... 2624

System Management..... 3230

Public Transportation..... 3432

Pedestrian Facilities..... 3835

Bicycle Facilities..... 4239

Roadways..... 4643

Rail Freight..... 5047

Air Travel..... 5148

Waterways..... 5249

Pipelines..... 5249

Chapter 5: Environmental Considerations..... 5350

Fish, Wildlife and Habitat..... 5350

Wetlands, Floodplains and Water Resources..... 5855

Geologic & Natural Hazards..... 6057

Hazardous Materials..... 6158

Air Quality..... 6259

Scenic and Recreational Resources..... 6359

Cultural Resources..... 6359

Prime Farmland..... 6360

Formatted: TOC 1

Community Resources and Environmental Justice.....	6461
Chapter 6: Future Forecasting.....	6663
CALM Travel Demand Model.....	6764
Post Processing and Model Application to AAMPO.....	8480
Chapter 7: Future Transportation Needs.....	9086
Regional Roadway System.....	9086
Public Transportation System Needs.....	9288
Pedestrian System.....	9490
Bicycle System.....	9793
ITS System.....	10095
TDM System.....	10196
Rail Freight System.....	10196
Chapter 8: Recommended Improvements.....	10499
Funding Assumptions.....	10499
Project Development.....	105100
Financially Constrained Project List.....	106101
Aspirational Project List.....	128123
Transit Development Plan.....	140135
Chapter 9: Evaluation and System Performance.....	146141
Environmental Screening.....	146141
Regional Performance Metrics.....	149143
Chapter 10: Next Steps.....	152146
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
The Albany Area MPO.....	1
Albany Area Planning Context.....	1
Chapter 2: Plan Overview.....	5
Regulatory Framework.....	5
The Planning Process.....	6
Existing Plans and Regulations.....	8

Formatted: TOC 2

Formatted: TOC 1

Chapter 3: Goals, Policies, and Objectives 11

Chapter 4: Existing Transportation System 22

 Traffic Safety 22

 System Management 28

 Public Transportation 30

 Pedestrian Facilities 33

 Bicycle Facilities 37

 Roadways 41

 Rail Freight 45

 Air Travel 46

 Waterways 47

 Pipelines 47

Chapter 5: Environmental Considerations 48

 Fish, Wildlife and Habitat 48

 Wetlands, Floodplains and Water Resources 53

 Geologic & Natural Hazards 55

 Hazardous Materials 57

 Air Quality 57

 Scenic and Recreational Resources 58

 Cultural Resources 58

 Prime Farmland 59

 Community Resources and Environmental Justice 60

Chapter 6: Future Forecasting 62

 CALM Travel Demand Model 63

 Post-Processing and Model Application to AAMPO 79

Chapter 7: Future Transportation Needs 83

 Regional Roadway System 83

 Public Transportation System Needs 85

 Pedestrian System 87

Formatted: TOC 1

Formatted: TOC 2

Formatted: TOC 1

Formatted: TOC 1

Formatted: TOC 1

Bicycle System 91

ITS System 94

TDM System 96

Rail Freight System 96

Chapter 8: Recommended Improvements 98

 Funding Assumptions 98

 Project Development 99

 Financially Constrained Project List 100

 Aspirational Project List 121

 Transit Development Plan 132

Chapter 9: Evaluation and System Performance 138

 Environmental Screening 138

 Regional Performance Metrics 141

Chapter 10: Next Steps 143

Formatted: TOC 1

Formatted: TOC 1

Formatted: TOC 1

APPENDICES

- A: Public Involvement Summary
- B: Future Forecasting
- C: Financially Constrained Scenario Evaluation
- D: Aspirational Scenario Evaluation

Formatted: TOC 1

Formatted: Normal

Chapter I: Introduction

Formatted: Heading 1, Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0"

Chapter I: The Albany Area MPO

Formatted: Heading 2, No bullets or numbering

Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) are transportation policy-making bodies established for urbanized areas with populations of 50,000 or more. MPOs are intended to establish a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive planning process for the metropolitan area.

Formatted: Normal

The Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (AAMPO) was formed following the 2010 Census, which determined that the Albany Urbanized Area had surpassed 50,000 in population. AAMPO membership includes the cities of Albany, Jefferson, Millersburg, and Tangent as well as Linn County, Benton County, and the Oregon Department of Transportation.

AAMPO is governed by a Policy Board composed of elected representatives from member jurisdictions. A Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) composed of representatives from member jurisdictions – as well as ex-officio members from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD), and the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) – provides technical assistance and support. Staffing is provided through a contract with the Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments (OCWCOG).

Albany Area Planning Context

Formatted: Heading 2

Geography

The AAMPO planning area is in Oregon’s Willamette Valley, in fertile farmland between the Cascade Range and the Coast Range. AAMPO sits 70 miles south of Portland and 45 miles north of Eugene along the Interstate 5 corridor, at its junction with US Highway 20 and Oregon Highway 34. The Union Pacific and Burlington Northern Santa Fe railroads provide mainline connections in all directions and Amtrak offers passenger rail service north and south. A map of the AAMPO planning area is shown in Figure 1-1.

Formatted: Normal

Land Use Patterns

Oregon land use planning regulations require each city to have an urban growth boundary in order to foster compact urban growth and preservation of agricultural and forest lands. This land use pattern creates stretches of rural land uses among AAMPO jurisdictions and between AAMPO and neighboring metropolitan areas. It also creates opportunities for parks, natural areas, and agricultural uses that support local economies.

Formatted: Normal

The communities that make up AAMPO are diverse in size. The City of Albany is the largest city, with a population of 51,670 in 2015, and the most residential, industrial, and commercial

development. The three smaller cities – Millersburg, Tangent, and Jefferson – all have fewer than 3,500 residents. Despite their smaller size, each still has notable industrial development as well as some employment opportunities in government, manufacturing, and skilled trades. Many residents of the smaller cities commute to Albany, Salem, or elsewhere for employment.

The varying size, land use, and geography of the cities within AAMPO generates a contrasting urban and rural character in transportation facilities and users. For example, the majority of Albany has a more traditional urban character, which results in transportation issue priorities such as transit needs, congestion management, and safe crossings of busy roadways for pedestrians. Tangent, on the other hand, has a more-rural/farming community character that is at the edge of urban uses. This “edge” environment creates community concerns for safety as high-speed rural corridors connecting to the urban areas pass through the community and impact livability (as well as creating seasonal friction with slow-moving farm equipment on the roadway). To ensure that the unique needs of each city are reasonably balanced, the broad spectrum of transportation system needs and priorities created by these varying characteristics are important to consider in program development and funding allocations for AAMPO.

Economy

Key economic drivers in the AAMPO area have historically included agriculture and wood products manufacturing, although this has expanded to include rare metals manufacturing, finished building products, and food processing. AAMPOs location along the I-5 corridor has also made the area attractive for warehousing and transportation services.

The broader region has seen growth in the health care and education sectors, which has impacted regional travel patterns and enhanced the interconnectivity of the regional transportation system. Students, faculty, staff, and community members may travel from or through the AAMPO area to get to these regional destinations. The City of Lebanon, 15 miles east of Albany, has seen development of the Western University College of Osteopathic Medicine of the Pacific-Northwest, a Veterans Home, expanded Samaritan Health Services facilities, and the Linn-Benton Community College (LBCC) Alternative Transportation Technology Center. LBCC maintains its main campus in south Albany and additional campuses in Sweet Home and Corvallis. Oregon State University (OSU), located 11 miles west of Albany in Corvallis, has a significant impact on regional travel patterns. Many students, faculty, and staff live in the AAMPO area and commute into Corvallis each day along Highway 20 and Highway 34. In addition, a popular dual-enrollment program with LBCC increases daily travel between the LBCC main campus in South Albany and the OSU campus in central Corvallis.

Census data on commute patterns reflects this regional travelshed, showing that many Albany workers commute from the Corvallis-Philomath area, Salem-Keizer, or Lebanon¹.

¹ US Census Bureau, Center for Economic Studies

Formatted: Normal

Approximately a third of Albany residents work in Albany. Albany residents who work outside of Albany most often commute to the Corvallis-Philomath area, Salem-Keizer area, or Portland.

Demographics

From 2000 to 2013, the City of Albany's population grew by approximately 24 percent². During that time, the population of youth grew by 26 percent while older adults decreased by almost 12 percent³. The number of people earning incomes below the poverty line in Albany grew by 109 percent⁴. Albany also became more diverse, with the number of people identifying as Hispanic/Latino growing by 159 percent⁵ and the number of individuals with limited English proficiency growing by 62 percent. The Albany area has a higher percentage of low-income individuals and individuals with disabilities than Linn County and the state as a whole.

Formatted: Normal


² US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2009-2013 5-Year Estimates: Table B01003

³ US Census Bureau, 2000 US Census Summary File 1: Table P012; US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2009-2013 5-Year Estimates: Table B01001

⁴ US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2009-2013 5-Year Estimates: Table C17003

⁵ US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2009-2013 5-Year Estimates: Table B03002

Figure I-1: AAMPO Area Map



Formatted: Caption3

Source: Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments

Chapter 2: Plan Overview

Chapter 2: The Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) establishes a vision for the Albany Area transportation system over a 20-year period. The RTP builds upon policy direction and priorities identified in local planning documents to guide the development and management of the regional transportation system.

To develop a 20-year vision for the multi-modal regional transportation system, information was gathered about what exists today, projected transportation demands through 2040, and gaps in addressing both current and future demand. This information was provided in a series of technical memoranda that correspond closely with the chapters within this document and that are referenced throughout.

Regulatory Framework

All MPOs are required to develop a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) that identifies transportation system needs and projects for implementation over a 20-year period using Federal, State and local funds (23 CFR 450). Oregon's Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) also directs MPOs to prepare Regional Transportation System Plans (RTSPs) which place a greater emphasis on coordination with land use planning.

Federal guidance states that an MPO's Regional Transportation Plan must:

1. Be consistent with federal transportation policies.
2. Consider a minimum 20-year forecast period.
3. Identify transportation facilities (including major roadways; transit, multimodal and intermodal facilities; and intermodal connectors) that function as an integrated metropolitan transportation system.
4. Emphasize facilities that serve important national and regional transportation functions.
5. Discuss potential environmental mitigation activities (and potential areas to carry them out), including activities with the greatest potential to restore and maintain the environmental functions affected by the plan.
6. Incorporate a financial plan that: (i) demonstrates how the plan can be implemented, (ii) indicates resources from public and private sources that are reasonably expected to be made available to carry out the plan, and (iii) recommends any additional financing strategies for needed projects and programs.
7. Incorporate operational and management strategies to improve the performance of existing transportation facilities to relieve vehicular congestion and maximize the safety and mobility of people and goods.

Formatted: Heading 2

Formatted: Num Paragraph

7-8 Incorporate investment and other strategies to preserve the existing and projected future metropolitan transportation infrastructure and provide for multimodal capacity increases based on regional priorities and needs.

8-9 Incorporate transportation and transit enhancement activities.

9-10 Incorporate performance measures and targets and a report on system performance and condition.

10 The planning process should also consider following Eight-10 Planning Factors (consistent with the 2015 Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act):

1 Support economic vitality.

1-2 Increase transportation safety for motorized and non-motorized users.

2-3 Increase transportation security for motorized and non-motorized users.

3-4 Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight.

4-5 Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and state and local planned growth and economic development patterns.

5-6 Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system across and between modes for both people and freight.

6-7 Promote efficient system management and operation.

8 Emphasize preservation of the existing transportation system.

9 Enhance travel and tourism.

7-10 Improve transportation system resiliency and reliability / reducing or mitigating storm water impacts.

8 The Planning Process

The RTP was developed in two phases. In the first phase, the MPO developed an RTP Framework that meets federal requirements and identifies a constrained 20-year project list. In the second phase, the MPO used ODOT's least-cost planning tool, *Mosaic*, to help refine regional priorities and develop the illustrative project list. During the second phase, the MPO also identified strategies to comply with Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) requirements and completed a Transit Development Plan (TDP).

The RTP Framework, and any other MPO planning documents, was formally approved by the MPO Policy Board. In the second phase, MPO member jurisdictions will be asked to review the RTP and to either make a finding of consistency with their local land use and transportation plans or adopt amendments to those local plans in order to establish consistency.

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Num Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Heading 2

Public Involvement

Outreach to share the project recommendations and collect opinions focused on North Albany, Albany, Millersburg, Tangent, Jefferson, and Albany areas, and included direct outreach to Title VI populations, transit riders, and businesses. Outreach efforts included discussion of the recommended 20-year project list as well as two aspirational scenarios (Congestion Management and Capacity Improvement) for further improvement to the transportation system that could be implemented should additional funding become available. [A full list of outreach events and stakeholder interviews is included in Appendix A.](#) Highlights include:

- **Tangent community members** recommended that the plan recognize the agricultural uses of their roads and remember farming activities when planning for future improvements.
- **Jefferson residents** value their schools, care about pedestrian safety and assisting the elderly in getting to appointments. Residents who commute from Jefferson to other areas would appreciate focusing on capacity issues.
- **North Albany residents** are experiencing concerns about traffic impacts of future development and some neighbors in North Albany felt strongly that a bridge would be helpful to ease congestion.
- **Millersburg City Council** generally supported the concept of Congestion Management and expressed concerns about the impacts of a bridge. Millersburg businesses felt their access on and off Interstate 5 works well, but congestion on US 20 and I5 was a major concern. Support for a bike lane or sidewalk on Old Salem Road was expressed.
- **Student leaders at Linn Benton Community College** shared that they are concerned about how students get to school (most drive, some take transit). Pedestrian safety was a key concern.
- **Latino leaders** ([based on 16 Latino stakeholder interviews](#)) supported the Congestion Management Scenario, especially expanded transit service.
- **Albany Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee** members and other Albany residents supported the Congestion Management Scenario and hoped to expand ridership and access to transit.
- **Helping Hands Homeless Shelter clients** said transit service is essential, and weekend and evening service would be very helpful. They also supported the Congestion Management scenario.
- **Transit riders** ([based on on-board and on-line survey](#)) shared that they support expanding Commuter Service and are interested in taking transit to nearby cities; the expanded service timeframe of 5 am to 10 am and 2 pm to 7 pm worked best.

Plan Update and Amendment Process

At a minimum, the RTP must be reviewed and updated every five years. [The next update will be made by May 2023.](#) In general, plan updates give AAMPO the opportunity to review data, assumptions, and priorities in the plan and to make modifications or updates to ensure continued accuracy and relevance of the document. Amendments to the plan can be made

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Normal

between the five-year updates, although major amendment, such as the addition of a large project, may require a financial-constraint determination.

Existing Plans and Regulations

Existing land use plans, transportation plans, and other regulatory documents providing guidance within the AAMPO area were reviewed in order to establish a context and foundation for the RTP. Forty-one documents were reviewed to identify existing transportation goals, policies, and objectives; highlight key criteria and standards; and flag any gaps to be addressed through the RTP planning process. Further information is available in *Technical Memoranda #2*.

The documents for review included:

- 6 Transportation System Plans
- 6 Comprehensive Plans
- 2 Capital Improvement Programs
- 4 Park and Recreation Plans
- 2 Public Transit Human Services Plans
- 2 transit agency plans
- 1 regional ITS plan
- 4 separate I-5 plans/studies
- 6 regulatory and/or policy documents, and
 - The Albany Area MPO Interim Transportation Improvement Program
 - The State Transportation Improvement Plan
- The Oregon Freight Plan
- The Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan
- The Oregon Highway Plan
- The Oregon Public Transportation Plan
- The Oregon Transportation Plan
- The Oregon Transportation Options Plan
- The Oregon Aviation Plan
- The Albany Municipal Airport Master Plan

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" +
Indent at: 0.5"

Key Themes

Key themes that emerged from the document review include:

- Balancing financial resources with community livability and economic vitality
- Providing for the safe, convenient, and efficient movement of people and goods within and through the AAMPO area
- Facilitating the flow of goods and services to strengthen the local and regional economy
- Using available resources effectively and responsibly
- Maintaining and preserving the existing transportation system
- Providing sufficient transportation capacity
- Improving safety
- Promoting transportation options
- Ensuring mobility for all citizens, and specifically the transportation disadvantaged

Formatted: Normal

Gaps

Gaps that were identified include:

- **Plan Updates:** Numerous plans reviewed are currently, or soon will be, undergoing updates. This includes the Linn County TSP, Millersburg TSP, Benton County TSP, Marion County TSP, Linn County Coordinated Plan, Benton-Lincoln Coordinated Plan, Jefferson Comprehensive Plan, Albany Parks and Recreation Master Plan, and Albany Airport Master Plan. In addition, several plans are relatively old and the data, regulatory references, and findings from those plans may be out of date. These plans primarily include the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan, Benton County TSP, and Marion County TSP. The Tangent TSP and I-5 planning processes also include data that may be out-of-date.
- **Federal Regulatory Changes:** MAP-21, [in addition to the more recent FAST Act](#), instituted a new emphasis on performance management for MPO planning processes that will need to be considered as the AAMPO RTP process builds off of Transportation System Plans, Capital Improvement Programs and other planning documents written prior to MAP-21. The Federal Functional Classification System as updated following the formation of the MPO should be used for the AAMPO RTP process.
- **Federal Funding Changes:** Federal funding programs, funding levels, and funding streams changed with the establishment of the MPO, particularly as related to transit capital, operations and planning funds. These changes should be considered when utilizing planning and programming documents related to public transportation. For example, Albany Transit Service began receiving 5307 urban transit funds in place of the rural 5311 transit funds and the target amount of 5310 senior and disabled public transportation fund was established for the urbanized area.

Formatted: Normal

- **State Regulatory Changes:** Numerous updates were made to the State of Oregon guidance for transportation planning. These include: Transportation Planning Rule amendments in 2005 and 2012, including redefining mobility ‘standards’ as ‘targets’ and an allowance for alternative measures outside of v/c ratio; Access Management Rules (OAR 734-051) saw significant revisions in 2011, and the OHP saw related revisions in 2012; A requirement that Special Transportation Areas have a management plan when the STA is on a Statewide Highway.
- **New Requirements Associated with the MPO:** Oregon TPR establishes several additional requirements for MPOs. These include: a parking plan in MPO areas (OAR 660-012-045(5)(c)); establishment of VMT reduction targets or alternative measures for MPO areas; and, requirements that local jurisdictions provide notice to MPOs of development proposals in which they may have an interest.

Formatted: Normal

Chapter 3: Goals, Policies, and Objectives

Chapter 3: The RTP goals and policies provide a foundation for transportation plans, projects and programs completed within the MPO planning area. Each goal and policy was developed by the MPO in concert with local plans, and Transportation System Plans in particular. As the local and regional circulation patterns are intertwined, continual coordination between local jurisdictions and the MPO is critical to achieving these regional goals.

This chapter contains a hierarchy of four planning elements:

- **Goals:** Broad statements about the region's desired outcomes. A goal is an aspirational statement identifying a principal that will influence how decisions are made about transportation investments.
- **Policies:** Statements describing the approach that the MPO will use to guide the region toward each goal.
- **Potential actions:** Projects or regulatory measures that may be implemented to achieve the identified goals.
- **Objectives:** Measureable outcomes that indicates whether a policy is achieved. These objectives also address the performance-based planning requirements established in MAP-21.

Formatted: List Bullet

Goal I

Formatted: Goals

Provide for a balanced and multi-modal regional transportation system that meets existing needs and prepares for future needs.

Policies

- 1.1. Improve the accessibility, connectivity, efficiency and viability of the transportation system for all users
- 1.2. Maximize efficiency of existing regional roadway system
- 1.3. Maintain acceptable roadway and intersection operations
- 1.4. Protect the ability of major arterials to serve regional traffic while maintaining local connectivity to community activity centers
- 1.4-1.5. Maintain and enhance transit service
- 1.5-1.6. Preserve and protect transportation corridors essential to regional economic vitality
- 1.6-1.7. Ensure that the benefits and impacts of the transportation system are socially equitable

1.8. Support improvements to the bus transit and passenger rail systems, which demonstrate positive community impacts

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

~~1.7.1.9.~~ Define priorities and incremental steps needed for investment of ODOT and Federal revenues to address safety and major capacity problems on the State and Interstate transportation system serving the AAMPO planning area

~~1.8.1.10.~~ Maintain the condition of the highway system infrastructure

~~1.9.1.11.~~ Plan for transportation improvements that are needed to support future growth, economic vitality, and transportation system needs, including transit and other non-single occupancy vehicle travel options for employment uses

~~1.10.1.12.~~ Strengthen public transit programs and, where possible, utilize these programs to provide services to seniors, persons with disabilities, and low-income households

~~1.11.1.13.~~ Provide a transportation system that serves a balance of transportation modes

Potential Action

- Add roadways, as identified in adopted plans, to increase regional connectivity
- Upgrade intersection capacity to meet future demand
- Implement or promote transportation options to meet future demand
- Provide wayside information dissemination on key regional routes
- Add video surveillance to improve incident detection and verification
- As transportation facilities are developed, incorporate design standards, landscaping and other amenities to encourage walking, bicycling, and transit opportunities
- ~~1.12.1.~~ Improve transit route schedule reliability while retaining coverage by extending frequencies to over 90 minutes in the short-term and adding weekday evening service
- Improve transit frequencies by implementing a 6-route system with buses every 60 minutes (30-minutes on some routes)
- Build a new transit maintenance facility to accommodate a larger transit system
- Construct transit-related facilities, including bus shelters

Formatted: List Bullet, No bullets or numbering

Objectives

- Reduce regional corridor travel times
- Reduce hours of congestion
- Reduce user travel costs
- Increase walking, bicycling and transit mode shares
- Increase travel reliability
- Increase transit frequency and reliability
- Reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per capita
- Maintain the transportation system in a state of good repair

Formatted: Heading 4

Formatted: Normal

Goal 2

Enhance regional and intermodal connectivity for movement of all modes within the MPO as well as between the MPO and other areas.

Policies

- 2.1. Employ access management strategies to maintain existing highway functionality
- ~~2.1.2.2.~~ Increase transportation options to community activity centers such as schools, parks, employment and shopping areas, and major transit stops
- ~~2.2.2.3.~~ Enhance freight connectivity to industrial centers and freight terminals
- ~~2.3.2.4.~~ Improve regional and local transportation system connectivity for non-motorized travel.
- ~~2.4.2.5.~~ Strengthen regional partnerships to improve coordination, connectivity, accessibility, and efficiency of transit services

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

~~2.5.~~Potential Action

- Fill gaps in bicycling and pedestrian infrastructure on regional corridors
- Enhance pedestrian crossings near community activity centers
- Develop and apply spacing criteria for streets, bikeways and pedestrian access ways
- Enhance regional transit connectivity and improve coordination/partnerships with transit service providers within the AAMPO area, including updating system maps, branding, and marketing
- Improve connections with regional transit services at Albany Station and Linn-Benton Community College
- Coordinate schedules with the Linn Shuttle to provide frequent service along OR 99E from Linn-Benton Community College to Albany Station
- Develop a shared regional website for public transportation
- Explore coordinated changes to increase efficiency and the reach of the Linn-Benton Loop

Formatted: Heading 4, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: List Bullet

•Objectives

- Increase the percentage of the population within a maximum travel time between work and home
- Encourage the location of future industrial job centers near the freight network
- Improve transit frequency and coverage in high employment and dense residential areas
- Increase the total length of regional multi-use paths and bike boulevards
- Increase sidewalk coverage on regional corridors
- Reduce out-of-direction travel

Formatted: Heading 4

• Goal 3

Increase the safety and security for all travel modes on the regional system.

Policies

- 3.1. Improve safety on the regional system at locations with existing safety issues
- ~~3.1~~~~3.2~~. Ensure that consistent security policies are practiced for all regional air, freight, pipeline, and roadway systems to reduce the risk of outside tampering
- ~~3.2~~~~3.3~~. Coordinate with emergency-response agencies to design and operate a transportation system that supports timely and safe response
- ~~3.3~~~~3.4~~. Reduce vulnerability of the public, goods movement, and critical transportation infrastructure to crime, emergencies and natural hazards
- ~~3.4~~~~3.5~~. Improve safety and security for multimodal system users to enhance comfort and viability of system use for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders

~~3.5~~ Potential Action

- Select projects designed to improve safety at known accident prone locations, focusing on locations with fatal or severe crash occurrences.
- Consider safety for all users when considering and developing transportation projects
- Work with other agencies to promote traffic safety education and awareness
- Place a higher priority on investments that address safety-related deficiencies at high crash locations, particularly those with fatal or severe crash occurrences.
- Place a high priority on investments that address bridge maintenance needs for seismic event resiliency
- Improve system connectivity to enhance emergency response and natural disaster response travel route options
- Use All Roads Transportation Safety (ARTS) program to model system safety needs
- Identify bridge condition needs

• Objectives

- Improve system resiliency for seismic and other natural events
- Reduce total fatal and injury crashes
- Reduce total property damage only accidents
- Reduce emergency response times
- Minimize conflicts along high-volume and high-speed corridors
- Reduce fatalities and injuries to pedestrians and bicyclists.

Formatted: Goals

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

Formatted: Heading 4, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Heading 4

• **Goal 4**

Formatted: Goals

Protect the natural and built environment.

Policies

4.1 Maintain acceptable roadway and intersection operations where feasible considering environmental, land use, and topographical factors

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

4.14.2 Reduce regional roadway environmental impacts by promoting transportation options and/or transportation system management and operations (TSMO) strategies in place of capacity upgrades, wherever feasible

4.24.3 Reduce the regional carbon footprint by reducing stopped delay, trip lengths, and vehicle miles traveled

4.34.4 Increase multi-modal access to public parks and nature reserves to better expose the public to the benefits of environmental stewardship

4.44.5 Reduce single-auto trip dependence

4.5 Potential Action

Formatted: Heading 4, No bullets or numbering

- Implement transit system enhancements designed to shift trips from single-auto to transit
- Reduce environmental impacts through design for proper drainage and treatment
- Improve pollinator habitat by developing Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) standards for roadside areas

Formatted: List Bullet

• **Objectives**

Formatted: Heading 4

- Reduce total air contaminants and toxins created by the regional transportation system
- Reduce total impacts on life cycle CO2 caused by the transportation system
- Reduce transportation system related risks to the natural, built, and cultural resources

Formatted: Normal

Goal 5

Preserve the mobility of existing freight routes to ensure the efficient movement of goods throughout the region for existing freight movements and future opportunities.

Policies

5.1. Connect any existing system gaps between different freight modes

~~5.1-5.2.~~ Promote efficient freight access to regional and state road, rail, airport and port infrastructure

~~5.2-5.3.~~ Use judicious access management regulation to protect existing roadway freight routes

~~5.3-5.4.~~ Provide freight system improvements that promote job growth and enhance employment opportunities

~~5.4.~~ Potential Action

- Implement projects designed to enhance the safety of rail crossings
- Ensure projects on regional roadway freight corridors include geometric design considerations for large trucks, including addressing regional pinch-points
- Coordinate with external agencies to address the needs of critical freight connections outside the MPO that are needed to serve uses in the MPO
- Support implementation of an intermodal freight facility in Millersburg

• Objectives

- Increase total number of jobs by enhancing freight mobility
- Reduce transportation costs by industry (business travel and freight)
- Increase in productivity by increasing connectivity
- Increase total value of exports and imports

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

Formatted: Heading 4, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Heading 4

Formatted: Normal

Goal 6

Demonstrate responsible stewardship of funds and resources.

Policies

6.1. Prioritize preservation of the existing system

~~6.1-6.2.~~ Confirm that all funded projects meet high priority regional system needs

~~6.2-6.3.~~ Maximize the cost effectiveness of transportation improvements

~~6.3-6.4.~~ Encourage public/private partnerships

~~6.4-6.5.~~ Leverage access to federal funding for large-scale regional transportation projects

~~6.5-6.6.~~ Identify and secure realistic, equitable, and sustainable funding, including the use of local resources to leverage federal and state funding, for transit services, facilities, and equipment

~~6.6-6.7.~~ Support interjurisdictional coordination to improve project delivery and leverage funding opportunities

~~6.7-6.8.~~ Encourage coordination and partnerships among public agencies within the MPO that promotes opportunities for additional external funding for the region

~~6.8-6.9.~~ Seek opportunities for additional funding sources

~~6.9-6.10.~~ Support volunteer programs and state human service agencies that provide public transportation services

~~6.10-6.11.~~ Provide reasonable and sustainable staff resources to support implementation of the Regional Transportation Plan

Formatted: List Paragraph, Space After: 4 pt, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

~~6.11.~~ Potential Action

- Develop a fiscally constrained project list designed to meet the most critical transportation needs within the region
- Apply for federal grants for major regional projects
- Consider alternative methods to supplement road maintenance funding, such as local gas tax
- Work with federal and state partners to advocate for and support efforts to secure strategic and sustainable investments in transit infrastructure, including vehicles
- Install automatic vehicle locators and other on-board equipment on transit vehicles to improve efficiency and customer information

Formatted: Heading 4, Space After: 0 pt, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: List Bullet

•Objectives

- Minimize capital costs when possible
- Reduce system lifecycle costs through advance planning
- Increase total transportation revenue

Formatted: Heading 4

- Increase the share of lifecycle funds that are new or recycled
- Minimize the net impact on state and regional fiscal balance
- Retain funding allocations for maintaining the existing transportation system (such as pavement and bridge improvement projects)

• **Goal 7**

Formatted: Goals

Coordinate transportation and land use decision-making to foster collaboration and to encourage development patterns which increase transportation options, encourage physical activity, and decrease reliance on the automobile.

Policies

7.1. Work towards consistency among local and regional transportation and land use policies

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

7.1-7.2. Use transportation investments to foster compact and mixed-use employment and residential land development within the region consistent with local agencies vision of a balanced land use pattern

7.2-7.3. Assess regional travel impacts of all major land use decisions

7.3-7.4. Encourage region wide jobs and population growth while protecting character and connectivity of local communities

7.4-7.5. Encourage the integration of transit, bicycle, and, pedestrian facilities into site designs for community activity centers such as schools, parks, employment and shopping areas, and major transit stops to promote safe and efficient access to and through the site

7.5-7.6. Parking space requirements integrate land use and transportation options.

7.6: Potential Action

Formatted: Heading 4, No bullets or numbering

- Encourage incorporation of mixed employment and housing land use policies into Urban Growth Boundary updates
- Review minimum and maximum parking requirements
- Assess site plan review and traffic impact study requirements for on-site pedestrian and bicycle facilities

Formatted: List Bullet

• **Objectives**

Formatted: Heading 4

- Achieve balanced growth in housing and employment
- Support population and employment density in city and neighborhood centers as defined in local Comprehensive Plans
- Increase relative land values

- Provide opportunities for rural locations that have less commercial options

Formatted: Normal

Goal 8

Provide for a transportation system with positive personal health impacts.

Policies

8.1. Identify and support beneficial public health impacts when planning and funding transportation projects

~~8.1~~-~~8.2~~ Support physical activity by maintaining existing recreational corridors and increasing recreational connectivity where feasible through opportunities including parks, open space, and greenways

~~8.2~~-~~8.3~~ Support active transportation options

~~8.3~~-~~8.4~~ Ensure that the transportation system provides adequate access to health services and resources

~~8.4~~-~~8.5~~ Reduce conflicts between transportation modes to create a transportation system that is safe and comfortable to navigate

~~8.5~~ Potential Action

- Increase multi-use path connections to parks
- Promote coordination among public transportation providers to improve efficiencies of service delivery
- Support Safe Routes to School programming

• Objectives

- Improve health and wellness of the general population by increasing active transportation choices and access to care facilities
- Increase the quality of the travel environment
- Reduce transportation related noise impacts

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

Formatted: Heading 4, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Heading 4

Formatted: Normal

Goal 9

Provide for a diversified transportation system that ensures mobility for all.

Policies

9.1. Provide greater transportation options for those who are transportation disadvantaged

~~9.1-9.2.~~ Ensure that those who are transportation disadvantaged have full access to the regional transit and active transportation systems

~~9.2-9.3.~~ Maintain and improve accessibility of the public transportation/transit system

~~9.3-9.4.~~ Improve accessibility of transportation facilities servicing community activity centers such as schools, parks, health care services, employment and shopping areas

~~9.4-9.5.~~ Support transit and other non-single occupancy vehicle travel options so that users do not become reliant on a single mode of travel

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

~~9.5.~~Potential Action

- Develop projects to increase transit service to low income neighborhoods, including improving connections to regional transit services and improving coordination/partnerships with transit service providers within the AAMPO area
- Consider demand responsive transit service options

Formatted: Heading 4, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: List Bullet

~~•~~Objectives

- Distribute transportation system user benefits evenly across all population groups
- Reduce total particulate matter emissions evenly across all population groups
- Distribute health benefits of active transportation across all population groups

Formatted: Heading 4

Formatted: Normal

Goal 10

Provide an open and balanced process for planning and developing the transportation system.

Policies

10.1. Foster a dialog and coordination between city, county and state entities within the MPO and regional partners including other Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) and Area Commissions on Transportation (ACTs).

~~10.1~~10.2. Ensure that all affected jurisdictions have a say in major regional transportation decisions

~~10.2~~10.3. Conduct outreach consistent with the AAMPO Public Participation Plan to acquire input in the planning process

~~10.3~~10.4. Decisions will be consistent with applicable state and federal regulations

~~10.4~~ Potential Action

- Include regional participation in local planning projects by requiring notifications to potentially affected agencies in capital project or development review processes
- Create a process for on-going updates to local agency transportation system plans and the RTP to ensure consistency as plans are amended and to capture future opportunities

• Objectives

- Provide guidance to enable local jurisdictions to create adopt goals and projects in concert with the overall regional goals and policies
- Foster plan support through transparent process.

•

Formatted: List Paragraph, Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.7"

Formatted: Heading 4, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Heading 4

Formatted: Normal

Goal II

Provide a coordinated and integrated transit program to provide a safe, efficient, and affordable sustainable transportation option.

Policies

- 11.1. Maintain and enhance transit service
- 11.2. Maintain and improve accessibility of the public transportation/transit system
- 11.3. Support improvements to the bus transit and passenger rail systems, which demonstrate positive community impacts
- 11.4. Plan for transportation improvements that are needed to support future growth, economic vitality, and transportation system needs, including transit and other non-single occupancy vehicle travel options for employment uses
- 11.5. Support transit and other non-single occupancy vehicle travel options so that users do not become reliant on a single mode of travel
- 11.6. Encourage the integration of transit, bicycle, and, pedestrian facilities into site designs for community activity centers such as schools, parks, employment and shopping areas, and major transit stops to promote safe and efficient access to and through the site
- 11.7. Parking space requirements integrate land use and transportation options.
- 11.8. Improve accessibility of transportation facilities servicing community activity centers such as schools, parks, health care services, employment and shopping areas
- ~~11.8~~-11.9. Strengthen public transit programs and, where possible, utilize these programs to provide services to seniors, persons with disabilities, and low-income households
- ~~11.9~~-11.10. Ensure that those who are transportation disadvantaged have full access to the regional transit and active transportation systems
- ~~11.10~~-11.11. Improve safety and security for multimodal system users to enhance comfort and viability of system use for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders
- ~~11.11~~-11.12. Strengthen regional partnerships to improve coordination, connectivity, accessibility, and efficiency of transit services
- ~~11.12~~-11.13. Identify and secure realistic, equitable, and sustainable funding, including the use of local resources to leverage federal and state funding, for transit services, facilities, and equipment
- ~~11.13~~-11.14. Support volunteer programs and state human service agencies that provide public transportation services

Formatted: Goals

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Hanging: 0.46", Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.65"

~~11.14.~~**Potential Action**

- As transportation facilities are developed, incorporate design standards, landscaping and other amenities to encourage walking, bicycling, and transit opportunities
- Improve transit route schedule reliability, while retaining coverage, by extending frequencies to over 90 minutes in the short-term and adding weekday evening service
- Improve transit frequencies by implementing a 6-route system with buses every 60 minutes (30-minutes on some routes)
- Build a new transit maintenance facility to accommodate a larger transit system
- Construct transit-related facilities, including bus shelters
- Enhance regional transit connectivity and improve coordination/partnerships with transit service providers within the AAMPO area, including updating system maps, branding, and marketing
- Improve connections with regional transit services at Albany Station and Linn-Benton Community College
- Coordinate schedules with the Linn Shuttle to provide frequent service along OR 99E from Linn-Benton Community College to Albany Station
- Develop a shared regional website for public transportation
- Explore coordinated changes to increase efficiency and the reach of the Linn-Benton Loop
- Work with federal and state partners to advocate for, and support efforts to, secure strategic and sustainable investments in transit infrastructure, including vehicles
- Install automatic vehicle locators and other on-board equipment on transit vehicles to improve efficiency and customer information
- Review minimum and maximum parking requirements
- Develop projects to increase transit service to low income neighborhoods, including improving connections to regional transit services and improving coordination/partnerships with transit service providers within the AAMPO area
- Consider demand responsive transit service options

Objectives

- Increase transit frequency and reliability
- Reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per capita
- Increase walking, bicycling and transit mode shares
- Increase the percentage of the population within a maximum travel time between work and home
- Improve transit frequency and coverage in high employment and dense residential areas
- Reduce total air contaminants and toxins created by the regional transportation system
- Reduce total impacts on life cycle CO₂ caused by the transportation system
- Increase the quality of the travel environment

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Heading 4

Formatted: Heading 4

- Distribute transportation system user benefits evenly across all population groups
- Reduce total particulate matter emissions evenly across all population groups

Chapter 4: Existing Transportation System

Formatted: Heading 1

Chapter 4: The existing regional transportation system was assessed to identify current deficiencies and needs and to help identify needs through 2040. Each component of the multimodal system was reviewed: roadways, public transportation, pedestrian facilities, bicycle facilities, rail freight, air travel, waterways, intelligent transportation system infrastructure, transportation demand management, pipelines, and other transport facilities, as applicable.

The full assessment of existing transportation system is available in Technical Memoranda #4 Existing Transportation Conditions and #5 Existing Transit Conditions ([see Appendix](#)). Technical Memorandum #6 Environmental Considerations includes a review of environmental, cultural and historical resources in the MPO area that may be impacted by the transportation system.

Traffic Safety


Formatted: Heading 2

Crash data for the most recent five years available (2009-2013) on all roadways within the AAMPO area were obtained from ODOT. There were 3,022 reported vehicle crashes within the AAMPO area during the five-year span shown in Figure 4-2, yielding an average of over 605 crashes per year. Of the 3,022 vehicle crashes, there were 18 fatalities, 61 incapacitating injuries, 423 non-incapacitating injuries, 961 possible injuries and 1,559 property-damage-only crashes. An incapacitating injury prevents the injured person from executing activities the person was capable of prior to the crash (e.g. walking, driving) while a non-incapacitating injury has visible evidence of an injury without any impact on executing activities (e.g. bruise, minor bleeding). Possible injuries are characterized by a complaint of pain but no visible evidence.

ODOT maintains a Safety Priority Index System (SPIS) to identify potential safety problems on state highways. The SPIS network screening process aims to identify sites with higher crash histories that have promise as sites for potential safety improvements. Each highway segments is broken into one-tenth of a mile sites and sites are ranked in terms of safety cost effectiveness. Each year ODOT develops a list of SPIS sites in the top 10%. AAMPO area SPIS Sites, also shown in Figure 4-1, further flag areas of potential concern.


In addition, crashes reported by the Albany Police Department between January 1, 2014 and August 13, 2015 were collected. During this time, there were a total of 778 crashes. Broken down by severity there were two fatalities, 193 non-fatal injury crashes and 583 property-damage-only crashes. The fatalities and non-fatal injury crashes are identified in Figure 4-2. Both fatal crashes occurred in Albany, Oregon. One fatal crash occurred at the intersection of OR 99E/Belmont Avenue and the other fatal crashes occurred at the intersection of US 20/Clay Street. US 20/Clay Street is identified by the ODOT All Roads Transportation Safety Program, described below, as a crash hot-spot. There are several driveways (i.e. conflict points) near this location to provide access to Heritage Plaza Shopping Center.

Figure 4-1: AAMPO Vehicle Crashes and Hot-Spots (2009-2013) and 2014 SPIS Sites



Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates

Figure 4-2: AAMPO Vehicle Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes (2009 -2013)



Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates

Formatted: Caption2

The individual crash types at study intersections were examined to see if any patterns would emerge and to identify problem areas in need of mitigation. Table 4-1 breaks down the crash types and severities experienced at the study intersections, along with critical crash rates and observed crash rates.

To evaluate the intersection, the observed crash rate, which describes the frequency of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV), is compared with the critical crash rate, which is unique to each intersection and is a factor of crash rates at similar sites within the study area, traffic volume, and a 95th percentile confidence level⁶. Intersections with an observed crash rate greater than the critical crash rate warrant further review. Four study intersections, highlighted in Table 4-1 and described below, were found to have crash rates higher than their critical crash rate.

Table 4-1: Albany Area MPO Crash Rates at the Study Intersection (2009-2013)

Study Intersection	Crash Type				Crash Severity			Total	Critical Crash Rate ^A (per MEV ^B)	Observed Crash Rate (per MEV*)
	Rear	Angle	Turn	Other	PDO	Injury	Fatal			
Jefferson Hwy (OR 164)/North Avenue	4	0	1	1	2	4	0	6	0.64	0.39
Jefferson Hwy (OR 164)/Main Street	6	1	6	1	3	11	0	14	0.76	0.66
Jefferson Hwy (OR 164)/Scravel Hill Road	1	3	2	2	5	3	0	8	0.63	0.48
Jefferson Hwy (OR 164)/I-5 NB Ramps	2	0	4	1	2	5	0	7	0.65	0.47
Jefferson Hwy (OR 164)/I-5 SB Ramps	1	0	2	3	3	3	0	6	0.71	0.58
Century Drive/I-5 NB Ramps	0	0	2	3	3	2	0	5	0.90	1.00
Old Salem Road/I-5 SB Ramps	1	0	1	5	5	2	0	7	0.65	0.47
Pacific Highway (OR 99E)/Albany	16	2	12	8	22	16	0	38	0.64	0.61

⁶Analysis Procedures Manual Version 2, Oregon Department of Transportation, June 2015.

Study Intersection	Crash Type				Crash Severity			Total	Critical Crash Rate ^A (per MEV ^B)	Observed Crash Rate (per MEV*)
	Rear	Angle	Turn	Other	PDO	Injury	Fatal			
Avenue & Airport Road										
Century Drive&-5 NB Off Ramp/Knox Butte Road	3	3	4	2	5	7	0	12	0.55	0.37
Clover Ridge Road/Knox Butte Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.59	0.00
Scravel Hill Road/Knox Butte Road	1	6	1	1	1	8	0	9	0.74	0.99
Scravel Hill Road/Santiam Highway (US 20)	3	1	0	4	4	4	0	8	0.61	0.42
Fescue Street/Santiam Highway (US 20)	18	0	5	2	15	10	0	25	0.66	0.48
Airport Road/Santiam Highway (US 20)	16	4	5	0	11	14	0	25	0.65	0.43
Waverly Drive/Santiam Highway (US 20)	36	5	15	5	31	29	1	61	0.64	0.96
Waverly Drive/Pacific Highway (OR 99E)	23	5	3	3	16	18	0	34	0.65	0.61
Queen Avenue/Pacific Highway (OR 99E)	33	4	8	1	25	21	0	46	0.64	0.70
Waverly Drive/34th Avenue	7	0	4	0	6	5	0	11	0.71	0.35
Pacific Highway (OR 99E)/53rd Avenue	3	0	1	1	3	2	0	5	0.69	0.13

Study Intersection	Crash Type				Crash Severity			Total	Critical Crash Rate ^A (per MEV ^B)	Observed Crash Rate (per MEV*)
	Rear	Angle	Turn	Other	PDO	Injury	Fatal			
Three Lakes Road/Seven Mile Lane	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0.83	0.32
Ellsworth Street (US 20)/1st Avenue	11	1	3	2	9	8	0	17	0.69	0.47
Ellsworth Street (US 20)/2nd Avenue	2	3	1	2	4	4	0	8	0.68	0.20
Lyons Street (US 20)/1st Avenue	5	12	1	3	15	6	0	21	0.68	0.53
Lyons Street (US 20)/2nd Avenue	7	7	1	3	9	9	0	18	0.69	0.47
Springhill Drive/Albany-Corvallis Highway (US 20)	14	0	1	3	8	10	0	18	0.63	0.26
North Albany Road/Albany-Corvallis Highway (US 20)	11	0	1	0	5	7	0	12	0.66	0.24
Scenic Drive/Albany-Corvallis Highway (US 20)	0	0	3	2	2	3	0	5	0.53	0.13
Scenic Drive/Gibson Hill Road	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	0.73	0.21

Notes: **Bolded Red and Shaded** indicates a high crash rate compared to other similar intersections in the AAMPO area.
^A Critical crash rate calculated based on 95% confidence level.
^BMEV = Million entering vehicle

The following intersections have crash rates higher than their critical crash rate.

- **Century Drive/I-5 NB Ramps.** This intersection experienced a crash rate higher than similar facilities within the AAMPO area. The posted speed limit along I-5 near this interchange is 65 mph while the advisory off-ramp speed is 25 mph. The off-ramp is relatively short and

consists of a sharp horizontal curve. Century Drive also has a high posted speed limit, 55 mph.

- **Scravel Hill Road/Knox Butte Road.** The crash rate estimated at the intersection of Scravel Hill Road/Knox Butte Road is higher than similar facilities. A majority of the crashes at this intersection were angled crashes (e.g. a vehicle traveling north to south colliding with a bicycle traveling east to west on the intersecting street) resulting in an injury. The posted speed limit along Knox Butte Road is 45 mph, while the posted speed limit on Scravel Hill Road, stop-controlled, is 55 mph. The north leg consists of a vertical and horizontal curve that may limit sight distance. The east leg of the intersection is slightly skewed.
- **Waverly Drive/Santiam Highway (US 20).** This intersection has a higher than average crash rate for a signalized intersection. There were a total of 75 crashes at this intersection. These crashes consisted mainly of rear-end crashes or involved a turning movement. This intersection was also identified as an ODOT ARTS crash hot-spot, described below. There are a considerable number of access points near the intersection and limited lighting (only one luminaire). There was one fatality recorded at the intersection, which involved a pedestrian at night.
- **Queen Avenue/ Pacific Highway (OR 99E).** The intersection of Queen Avenue/OR 99E experienced a higher crash rate than similar facilities within the AAMPO area and was also identified as a crash hot-spot, described below. The majority of the 55 crashes that occurred at this intersection resulted in a rear-end crash. This intersection is skewed with vehicles traveling at high speeds (55 mph) along OR 99E.

System Management

System Management refers to transportation strategies or programs that help optimize the existing infrastructure through use of advanced technology (to optimize facility performance) or by reducing peak period travel demand (to reduce congestion). These management strategies work together to enhance both the supply and demand sides of the transportation system.

Intelligent Transportation Systems

An intelligent transportation system (ITS) is a technology, application, or platform that can be deployed to monitor, manage, and improve the transportation system for all users. Within the AAMPO, there are many ITS elements in use that assist travelers.⁷

The most ubiquitous use of ITS is the region's traffic signal system. ODOT is responsible for the traffic signals along US 20 (Santiam Hwy) and 99E. The City of Albany is responsible for the traffic signals along:

⁷ *Central Willamette Valley ITS Plan*, DKS Associates and IBI Group, December 2010.

- 14th Avenue
- 34th Avenue
- North Albany Road
- Knox Butte Road
- Queen Avenue
- Salem Avenue
- Waverly Drive

Formatted: List Bullet

• AAMPO also has a variety of ITS devices in use. There are ITS systems along I-5, including a dynamic message sign for northbound travelers in Millersburg, highway advisory radio in North Albany, traffic count station at Knox Butte Road and a closed-circuit television video (CCTV) camera in Millersburg. In addition to traffic signals, ITS devices on the arterial network include a fixed-mount red light enforcement camera at the intersection of Queen Avenue/Geary Street.

Formatted: Normal

There are several planned enhancements to the ITS infrastructure within the AAMPO area including additional CCTV cameras in Tangent and Albany and a dynamic message sign I-5 (SB) in Millersburg.

The Northwest Transportation Operations Center (NWTOC) in Salem is used by ODOT to manage the state highway system for all of Region 2, which includes AAMPO. The NWTOC operates 24 hours, seven days a week. Operators at the NWTOC perform the following functions:

- Traffic Management: Operation of traffic control devices
- Incident Management: Detection/identification, response (e.g. dispatch), and management of incidents
- Maintenance Support: Dispatch and communications for ODOT maintenance crews
- Information Service Provider: Dissemination of traveler information to the public regarding events that impact the highway.

Formatted: List Bullet

• ODOT Region 2 operates a traffic incident response (TIM) program that assists motorists and addresses events, like crashes or debris on the roadway, that inhibits travel. There are three full-time incident responders serving District 4, which includes AAMPO, that provide seven-day-a-week coverage along I-5 and US20.⁸

Formatted: Normal

ODOT's TripCheck website (www.tripcheck.com) is a traveler information web site for real-time traffic information. The TripCheck site includes camera images, road conditions, weather information, incident maps, and construction activity for the state. ODOT continues to add information to TripCheck as new equipment is deployed. The TripCheck Local Entry Tool (TLE) is an application available to local agencies to upload local traffic impacting events, such as road closures, construction, and special events, to TripCheck.

⁸ Oregon Traffic Incident Management Strategic Plan, DKS Associates, 2015.

Transportation Demand Management

The Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments (OCWCOG) manages a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program serving much of the AAMPO area. Through the program, OCWCOG helps employers implement commuter benefit programs, educates the public about transportation options, and advocates for transportation options. OCWCOG staffs Cascades West Rideshare, a regional vanpool and carpooling program for Linn, Lincoln, and Benton Counties. The Salem Area Mass Transit District provides similar services for Jefferson (in Marion County), including staffing the Cherriots Rideshare carpool and vanpool program. Both are part of a regional network which coordinates commuter vanpools throughout the Central Willamette Valley and on the Central Oregon Coast.

Several Park and Ride lots are located in the MPO area to facilitate carpooling and transferring to other modes. There may be additional sites, or informal sites, that are not accounted for.

- Santiam Highway and Spicer Drive, at I-5 in Albany (30 spots, 2 ADA compliant)
- Hickory Drive in North Albany (40 spots, 2 ADA compliant. 4 bike parking spots. 4 bike lockers. Stop for ATS routes 1 and 3)
- I-5 and Highway 34 junction, east of Tangent (40 spots)
- I-5 & Highway 164 Junction (20 spots)

Public Transportation

The Albany Area MPO is served by a small urban transit system. Several rural and statewide services also provide connectivity within the MPO and to surrounding areas. Below is a summary of these and other regional public transportation services in the MPO area. More information is available in *Technical Memorandum #5 Existing Transit Conditions*.

Fixed Route System


The Albany Transit System (ATS) operates three local fixed routes, Monday through Friday, at 60-minute frequencies. These routes, along with key regional fixed-route services are shown in

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Heading 2

| [Figure 4-3](#)



~~Figure 4-3~~ ~~Figure 4-3~~. Route 1 operates throughout most of Albany only during the early morning. After 9:00 am, service is provided by Routes 2 and 3. Route 2 operates on Albany's east side, and Route 3 operates service on Albany's west side. The single-ride fare is \$1.00 for adults, and \$0.50 for seniors (60 and older), youth (6-17), and disabled individuals. Children 5 and younger ride free. Free transfers are available. Routes 1, 2, and 3 only operate within the City of Albany.

Formatted: Normal

Linn-Benton Loop

Another fixed route operated by ATS is the Linn-Benton Loop. The route is operated by ATS but funded by multiple partners and is overseen by a governing Board. The Loop operates as an inter-city route connecting Corvallis and Albany. The Loop operates from 6:25 am until 7:00 pm, Monday through Friday, and 8:00 am until 6:00 pm on Saturday. The Loop fare is \$1.50, however free or reduced transfers are available.

Formatted: Normal

Call-A-Ride

The City of Albany also operates Call-A-Ride (CAR), a wheelchair accessible, curb-to-curb transportation service for Albany residents 60 years of age and over, and for people of all ages with disabilities who are unable to access fixed route bus service. CAR provides trips within Albany city limits, $\frac{3}{4}$ - mile outside Albany city limits, and within the City of Millersburg. This service operates Monday through Friday, from 6:30 am to 6:30 pm and on Saturdays from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm. A one-way trip costs \$2.00.

Formatted: Normal

Linn Shuttle

Operated by the non-profit Senior Citizens of Sweet Home, Inc., the Linn Shuttle provides transportation services between Sweet Home, Lebanon, and Albany, making connections to Linn-Benton Community College (LBCC), downtown Albany and the Heritage Plaza. The Linn Shuttle operates seven two-way trips per day between Sweet Home and Albany plus five LBCC Express trips from Lebanon to Albany and back to Sweet Home.

Formatted: Normal

The Linn Shuttle operates on a scheduled route except for pre-approved unscheduled stops. It provides service Monday through Friday, 6:25 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. There are no eligibility criteria for riders. Service is offered free for staff and students of LBCC. All Linn Shuttle vehicles are equipped with video cameras, wheelchair lifts or ramps, two on-board securement spaces and bike racks.

Additional Regional Services

- Benton County Dial-A-Bus
- Corvallis to Amtrak Connector
- Corvallis to Albany Connection
- Coast-to-Valley Express
- Bolt Bus
- Non-Emergency Medical Transportation via the Cascades West Rideshare and TripLink Call Center
- Taxis
- Amtrak Passenger Rail


- Cascades POINT

Public Transportation Facilities

The Albany Station is the primary public transportation facility in the MPO area. It is where passengers transfer between routes, where most vehicle trips start and end, and where operators take their break. Intercity and regional services such as Amtrak, Bolt Bus and Linn Shuttle also stop here. In addition to the Albany Station, there are approximately 93 bus stops in Albany, approximately 20 of which have shelters and benches. All stops have signs indicating the routes that serve that stop, but only stops located at a timepoint have additional schedule information for each route. The Linn-Benton Community College has a large shelter with seating protected from the elements.

Formatted: Normal

Figure 4-3: Fixed Route Transit in the Albany Area



Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #5: Existing Conditions, Nelson/Nygaard

Formatted: Normal

Pedestrian Facilities

Sidewalks, multi-use paths, trails, and crosswalks along regionally significant roadway corridors were assessed for completeness, connectivity, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance, and safety concerns. A summary of findings is provided below, and the full findings are available in *Technical Memorandum #4 Existing Transportation Conditions*.

Completeness and Connectivity

Pedestrian facilities were reviewed for completeness using ODOT’s Multimodal Analysis methodology⁹. It was found that nearly 45 percent of regional roadways have complete sidewalks coverage which includes “Excellent”, “Good” and “Fair” ratings, as show in Figure 4-5¹⁰. While Central Albany has adequate pedestrian connectivity, there are considerable pedestrian facility gaps along regional roadways outside of central Albany, including those within and connecting to Millersburg, Jefferson and Tangent.

ADA compliance within the AAMPO area is incomplete. Recently rehabilitated or constructed roadways such as North Albany Road or Oak Street have been designed to meet ADA requirements; however, older areas such as 9th Avenue in Albany [have are missing incomplete-ADA compliant design featureslements](#).

Figure 4-4: Multimodal Analysis Methodology Formatted: Caption2



Excellent: Substantial separation roadway. es of the ping es.



Fair: Sidewalk is curbtight which can be uncomfortable for pedestrian. Bike lane or on-street parking buffers

Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions. DKS Associates


Formatted: Caption3

⁹ *Analysis Procedures Manual Version 2*, Oregon Department of Transportation, June 2015.

¹⁰ Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates, August 10 2015

Formatted: Footnote Text

Figure 4-5: AAMPO Existing Pedestrian Facilities



Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates

Formatted: Caption3


Pedestrian Safety

A review of the most recent five years (2009-2013) of ODOT crash data found that there were 56 reported vehicle-pedestrian crashes, as illustrated in Figure 4-5. The majority of the crashes occurred in Albany along arterial roadways, with one reported crash each in Tangent, Millersburg and Jefferson. 65 percent of pedestrian related crashes occurred at an intersection or alley and 34 percent occurred along a straight roadway segment. There were five pedestrian fatalities, with the pedestrian deemed at-fault in four of the fatal crashes mainly for being illegally in the roadway.

Two locations in Albany were identified as high vehicle-pedestrian crash areas: the Ellsworth and Lyons couplet (US 20) in downtown Albany and the Heritage Plaza Shopping Center.

A need for safe routes to school was identified throughout the MPO area. Regional roadways may have unsafe crossings or rail crossings which deem routes unsafe even if they are in close proximity to a school.

Figure 4-6: AAMPO Vehicle-Pedestrian Crashes (2009-2013)



Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates

Formatted: Normal

Bicycle Facilities

Bicycle facilities, including bicycle lanes, multi-use paths and trails, along regionally significant corridors were reviewed to identify deficient areas and safety concerns. A summary of findings is provided below, and the full findings are available in *Technical Memorandum #4 Existing Transportation Conditions*.

Bicycle Level of Stress

Existing bicycle facilities were evaluated using the ODOT Bicycle Level of Stress Methodology¹¹. This methodology uses roadway characteristics such as bike lane width, posted speed limit, and traffic volume to quantify the perceived comfort levels of the average cyclist on a given facility. Perceived comfort is ranked from Level of Stress (LTS) 1 to 4, with LTS 4 representing the highest traffic stress and LTS 1 representing the lowest.


- **LTS 1:** Separated facilities or shared roadways with low traffic speeds, low traffic volume, one lane in each direction and intersections that are easy to cross.
- **LTS 2:** Has little traffic stress but is more suitable for teens and adults. There are slightly higher traffic speeds and up to three lanes total in both directions.
- **LTS 3:** Requires more attention due to moderate stress imposed by increased traffic speeds and up to five lanes total in both directions.
- **LTS 4:** Requires experience and skill. There could be high traffic speeds, multi-lane travel ways, complex intersections and high traffic volumes

Bicycle facilities within central Albany have the lowest levels of stress, and those in outlying areas see higher levels of stress. Regional corridors in Tangent, North Albany and Millersburg are characterized by high levels of stress. In Jefferson, there is little traffic stress within residential areas but OR 164 demonstrates a high level of stress due to frequent driveways and higher speeds. Figure 4-7 illustrates LTS throughout the AAMPO area.

Formatted: Normal

¹¹ *Analysis Procedures Manual Version 2*, Oregon Department of Transportation, June 2015.

Figure 4-7: AAMPO Existing Bicycle Facilities



Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates

Formatted: Caption3

Bicycle Safety

A review of the most recent five years (2009-2013) of ODOT crash data found that there were 73 vehicle-bicycle crashes during that five-year span, as shown in Figure 4-8. The majority of crashes occurred at intersections or alleyways in Albany, typically involving a crossing or turning movement. Ten crashes resulted in an incapacitating or serious injury, 43 crashes resulted in a non-incapacitating or moderate injury, and 20 crashes resulted in a possible or minor injury.

Three locations in Albany were identified as high vehicle-bicycle crash areas:


- Lyons-Ellsworth Couplet
- Heritage Plaza Shopping Center
- Queen Avenue

▪ Many of the crashes in these three locations were attributed to traffic violations such as failure to yield the right-of-way, disregarding a traffic signal, non-motorists illegally in the roadway, or vehicles crossing the centerline.

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Normal

Figure 4-8: AAMPO Vehicle-Bicycle Crashes (2009-2013)



Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates

Formatted: Caption3

Roadways

Regionally significant roadways, identified as all arterials and collectors, within the AAMPO area were inventoried. Roadway characteristics, traffic operations, traffic safety considerations, and freight routes were reviewed to help identify current roadway conditions and deficiencies.

Roadway Characteristics

There are six urban roadway classifications within the AAMPO area: freeway, principal arterial, minor arterial, major collector, minor collector, and local. One freeway and four primary arterials provide connections within and to areas outside of the MPO: I-5 and OR 99E travel north/south while OR 34, US 20 and OR 164 travel east/west. Minor arterials and collectors throughout the MPO allow for more access and circulation within the MPO and create connections to regional destinations, I-5, and other arterial roadways. These roadways are illustrated in Figure 4-9.


Speed limits for regional roadways in the MPO area range from 25 to 55 miles per hour (mph), with posted speeds typically decreasing to 25 to 45 mph within city limits and increasing to 55 mph between cities. Most AAMPO's regional roadways have two to three travel lanes, although portions of OR 99E and OR 34 have a cross section of five travel lanes¹². AAMPO area speed limits are illustrated in Figure 4-10.

There are 135 bridges, both roadway and railroad, identified in the 2014 National Bridge Inventory within the MPO area. According to the 2015 ODOT Bridge Condition Report, there is one 'posted' bridge in on Highway 164 as it crosses the Santiam River into Jefferson¹³. There are six functionally obsolete bridges: I-5 at the Viewcrest Interchange in Millersburg, I-5 at the Knox Butte Interchange in Albany, 99E at Waverly Lake in Albany, Highway 20 / 99E at the rail overpass in Albany, the Lyons Bridge over the Willamette River in Albany, and the Ellsworth Bridge over the Willamette River in Albany. The Ellsworth Bridge is also a freight 'pinch point' due to vertical clearance issues. A 'functionally obsolete' classification indicates that the bridge was built to standards that do not meet current federal minimum clearance requirements. 'Posted' bridges have insufficient load capacity for heavy vehicles.

¹² Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates, August 10 2015

¹³ 2015 ODOT Bridge Condition Report, Oregon Department of Transportation, 2015


Figure 4-9: AAMPO Roadway Functional Classification



Formatted: Caption3

Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates

Figure 4-10: AAMPO Study Intersection and Posted Speed Limits



Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates

Formatted: Caption

Traffic Operations

The efficiency of traffic operations is traditionally judged by the mobility of vehicles along roadway corridors and at intersections. Level of service and v/c ratios are two commonly used performance measures that provide a gauge of intersection operations. Level of service is a “report card” rating (A through F) based on the average delay experienced by vehicles at the intersection. A v/c ratio is a decimal representation of the volume to capacity ratio of an intersection; a lower ratio indicates smooth operations and minimal delays. As the ratio approaches 1.00, congestion increases and performance is reduced.

Intersection turn movement counts for 15 study intersections were conducted during the p.m. peak period in May 2015. In addition, traffic operations results for 13 study intersections analyzed in the City of Albany Transportation System Plan¹⁴ were included to complete the regional analysis. These intersections are shown in Figure 3. Operations at these 28 key intersections were analyzed based on the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual¹⁵ for signalized intersections and 2010 Highway Capacity Manual for unsignalized intersections. Of the 28 study intersections, there are two unsignalized intersections under ODOT jurisdiction that currently do not meet OHP mobility targets—Century Drive & I-5 NB Off Ramp/Knox Butte Road and Scenic Drive/US 20.¹⁶

Truck Freight

There are two designated state and federal freight routes within the AAMPO area: OR 34 and I-5. OR 99E and US 20 also play key role in moving freight both through and within the MPO area. Table 4-2 summarizes the most recent truck freight volumes based on data collected at permanent ODOT Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) stations.

Table 4-2: Existing Truck Volumes on Freight Routes within the AAMPO Area (2013)

Route	Automatic Traffic Recorder Location	2013 Average Daily Traffic ¹⁷	Truck ADT	Truck % ¹⁸
Interstate 5	0.41 mile north of Albany Junction City Highway	59,400	12,890	21.7%
Oregon 34	0.89 mile east of Riverside Drive	27,100	1,978	7.3%

¹⁴ *Albany Transportation System Plan*, Kittelson & Associates, Inc., February 2010. Traffic volumes collected in May and June of 2004.

¹⁵ *2000 Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, Washington DC, 2000.

¹⁶ Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates, August 10 2015

¹⁷ 2013 Traffic Volumes on State Highways, Oregon Department of Transportation, 2013.

¹⁸ Trends at Automatic Traffic Recorder Stations, Oregon Department of Transportation, 2013.

US 20/Oregon 99E	0.28 mile northeast of Albany-Corvallis Highway	35,500	1,456	4.1%
------------------	---	--------	-------	------

Source: Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, DKS Associates

Formatted: Caption3

Counts at 28 key intersection show between 0-16 percent of daily traffic going through the intersection to be heavy vehicles. Nine intersections had at least one approach with more than 5 percent of heavy vehicles. Intersections with the highest heavy-vehicle counts were:

- Century Drive/I-5 NB Ramps and Scrael Hill Road/US 20
- Century Drive/Knox Butte Road and Three Lakes Road/Seven Mile Lane
- South Jefferson I-5/OR 164 interchange
- Scrael Hill Road/OR 164
- Old Salem Road/I-5 SB Ramps
- Scrael Hill Road/Knox Butte Road.

• Six freight ‘pinch points’ have been identified within the AAMPO area¹⁹. Pinch points restrict over-dimension freight loads due to width, length, vertical clearance or weight constraints and can include low overpasses, narrow roadways, sharp curves, weight-restricted bridges and other feature. The Ellsworth Bridge on Highway 20 was identified as a high priority due to being the only vertical clearance pinch point on a Reduction Review Route (RRR). Oregon law states that freight routes identified as RRRs must not see permanent reductions in vehicle carrying capacity unless for safety of access considerations. The remaining five pinch points were identified as low priority and are located along I-5 at the following overpasses: Viewcrest Drive, US20, Grand Prairie, Seven Mile Lane, and Tangent Drive.

Formatted: Normal

Rail Freight

There are currently three railroads serving the AAMPO area: Union Pacific (UP), Portland & Western (PNWR), and Albany & Eastern (AERC). Collectively, these rail lines have up to 46 freight trains moving through the MPO each day, including switching trains. The railroad companies serve local industries transporting commodities such as lumber, seed, feed, fertilizer, and frozen food. There are seven grade separated crossing, 33 gated crossings, 20 stop controlled crossings, and six yield controlled crossings in the AAMPO area.

Railroads run through all cities in the AAMPO area, and at grade rail crossings create safety, travel time, and connectivity issues throughout the MPO. Freight and passenger rail travels non-stop and at higher speeds through Tangent, Millersburg, and Jefferson. Rails service often slows in Albany before stopping at the Albany Station. At-grade crossings in Jefferson and Tangent bisect the communities and create unsafe routes to school for school-age children and regular delays for residents.

The City of Albany has numerous at-grade crossings which similarly bisect neighborhoods and commercial areas, creating delays and safety concerns. Primary among these is the Queen

¹⁹ Highway Over-dimension Load Pinch Points Study, Oregon Department of Transportation, 2015

Avenue crossing, adjacent to the Albany Rail Yard and Albany Station. This crossing has significant impacts to system reliability and safety, as switching movements create long delays for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists traveling along Queen Avenue to OR99E or Oakville Road / Riverside Drive. The Albany Rail Yard serves as a crossing point for all UP rail lines in Albany, however limited distance between tracks where UP trains can meet and pass can result in long delays while passing trains await permissions to cross. Switching trains also cross Queen Avenue, creating long delays. Several pedestrian and bicycle fatalities have occurred at this location. A recent project attempted to alleviate delays by rehabilitating the Millersburg switching yard and adding a short section of track in Albany to connect the Toledo Branch directly to the Millersburg Yard.

The City of Millersburg sees the least impact, as rail service primarily travels through and serves industrial and commercial areas before heading along OR 164 towards Jefferson. There are two above grade crossings in the Millersburg area which alleviate conflicts with other modes.

North Albany and Benton County see delays and safety concerns primarily at the at-grade crossing at Scenic Drive, directly adjacent to US 20 corridor. Slow-moving or stopped trains can create delays and safety concerns when vehicles back up onto US 20, waiting to turn onto Scenic Drive. While less frequent than at Queen Ave, this line also sees delays due to trains awaiting permission to travel eastward toward the Albany and Millersburg stations.

Air Travel

Formatted: Heading 2

The AAMPO area's one general aviation airport, Albany Municipal Airport, is owned and operated by the City of Albany²⁰. The airport consists of 147 acres with a single 3,004 foot runway constrained between Knox Butte Road and US 20, alongside I-5²¹. The runway constraints inhibit passenger air travel. The airport is estimated to house 51 home-based aircraft including 43 single engine, seven multi-engine, and one jet. The airport currently sees 23,300 departures and arrivals annually²². An Airport Master Plan defines the needs and direction of future development at the airport.

The Eugene Airport (Mahlon Sweet Field), located 40 miles south of the AAMPO area, helps to serve regional air travel needs. The airport is operated by the City of Eugene and is categorized as a general aviation 'Non-Hub, Commercial Service, Primary Airport'. Four passenger carriers serve the airport, providing 28 arrivals and 27 departures a day to 10 U.S. cities. The airport supports cargo freight, military aircraft, and other general aviation uses²³.

²⁰ Federal Aviation Administration Airport Master Record Form 5010-1, Federal Aviation Administration, June 25, 2015.

²¹ Albany Municipal Airport: Airport Master Plan Report 2000-2020, City of Albany, 2002.

²² Albany Municipal Airport: Airport Master Plan Report 2000-2020, City of Albany, 2002.

²³ *Eugene Airport Master Plan Update*, Mead & Hunt, February 2010. (<https://www.eugene-or.gov/1060/Master-Plan-Update>) Accessed March 3, 2016.

Waterways

Two rivers run through the AAMPO area. The Willamette River runs through Albany and Millersburg and the Santiam River runs through Jefferson. The Willamette River is considered navigable but is not currently used for transporting goods or people and is restricted in height and width due to stationary highway and railroad bridge crossings.

Pipelines

Williams Northwest Pipeline owns a high-pressure natural gas pipeline that runs in the north-south direction along the eastern edge of the AAMPO area. There are several delivery points between Jefferson and Tangent which provide services to Northwest Natural Gas, International Paper Company-Albany, and Oremet-Wah Chang, who in turn distribute their product to the cities with a smaller pipe network. Santa Fe Pacific Pipeline-North owns a major pipeline running along I-5 through Millersburg and Albany that carries petroleum products²⁴.

Formatted: Heading 2

²⁴ *National Pipeline Mapping System Public Map Viewer*, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. 2012

Chapter 5: Environmental Considerations

Formatted: Heading 1

Chapter 5: Environmental considerations are a requirement of federal legislation (MAP-21) that requires discussion of existing environmental features, comparison of proposed transportation projects to identify potential conflicts, and identification of potential mitigations as needed. This chapter includes the discussion of existing environmental features, and Chapter 9 includes discussion of project screening. Additional details can be found in *Technical Memorandum #6 Environmental Analysis*.

Fish, Wildlife and Habitat

Threatened and Endangered Species

Under federal law, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) share responsibility for implementing the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973 (Public Law 93-205, 16 United States Code ([USC] § 1531), as amended. In general, USFWS has oversight for land and freshwater species and NOAA for marine and anadromous fish species. In addition to information about listed species, the USFWS Oregon Field Office maintains a list of Species of Concern.

Formatted: Normal

Once a species is listed as a threatened or endangered (T&E) species, it is afforded the full range of protections available under the ESA, including prohibitions on killing, harming or otherwise “taking” a species. A species is listed as one of two categories, endangered or threatened, depending on its status and the degree of threat it faces. An “endangered species” is one that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range. A “threatened species” is one that is likely to become endangered in the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range. In some instances, the listing of a species can be avoided by the development of Candidate Conservation Agreements that may remove threats facing the candidate species. “Species of Concern” is an informal term under the federal listing that is not specifically defined in the federal ESA. The term commonly refers to species that are declining or appear to be in need of conservation.

Under state law (Oregon Revised Statutes 496.171 to 496.192) the Fish and Wildlife Commission, through the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), maintains the list of native wildlife species in Oregon that have been determined to be either threatened or endangered according to criteria set forth by rule (Oregon Administrative Rule [OAR] 635-100-0105). Plant listings are handled through the Oregon Department of Agriculture. Most invertebrate listings are conducted through the Oregon Natural Heritage Program.

Under Oregon’s Sensitive Species Rule (OAR 635-100-040), a “sensitive” species classification was created that focuses fish and wildlife management and research activities on species that need conservation attention. “Sensitive” refers to naturally reproducing fish and wildlife species, subspecies, or populations that are facing one or more threats to their populations and/or habitats. Implementation of appropriate conservation measures to address the threats may prevent them from declining to the point of qualifying for threatened or endangered status.

Sensitive species are assigned one of two subcategories. “Critical” sensitive species are imperiled with extirpation from a specific geographical area of the state because of small population sizes, habitat loss or degradation, and/or immediate threats. Critical sensitive species may decline to the point of qualifying for threatened or endangered status if conservation actions are not taken. “Vulnerable” sensitive species are facing one or more threats to their populations and/or habitats. Although not currently imperiled with extirpation from a specific geographical area of the state, vulnerable species could, however, become so with continued or increased threats to populations and/or habitats.

The Oregon Biodiversity Information Center database search (ORBIC 2015) documents the federally listed and state listed T&E species within two miles of the Albany Area MPO. The ORBIC database search is summarized in Table 5-1. Because ORBIC considers locality data to be sensitive and confidential and the concern about possible misuse and misinterpretation, such data is subject to limited distribution. Technical Memo #x Name includes figures with general locations of the species identified in Table 5-1.

In the study area there is one federally listed endangered species, Willamette Valley daisy, three federally-listed threatened species, Steelhead, Chinook Salmon and Oregon chub, and one federally listed as potentially threatened, the Streaked horn lark. There are two state-listed endangered species: the Peacock larkspur and Willamette Valley Daisy. There are also both state and federal species listed as sensitive or species of concern.

Table 5-1: ORBIC Database Search Results

Scientific Name	Common Name	Category	Federal Status	State Status	Notes
<i>Driloleirus macelfreshi</i>	Oregon giant earthworm	Invertebrate Animal	SOC		-
<i>Delphinium oregonum</i>	Willamette Valley larkspur	Vascular Plant	SOC	C	-
<i>Delphinium pavonaceum</i>	Peacock larkspur	Vascular Plant	SOC	LE	-
<i>Erigeron decumbens</i>	Willamette Valley daisy	Vascular Plant	LE	LE	-
<i>Lathyrus holochlorus</i>	Thin-leaved peavine	Vascular Plant	SOC	-	-
<i>Montia howellii</i>	Howell's montia	Vascular Plant		C	-
<i>Sidalcea campestris</i>	Meadow checker-mallow	Vascular Plant		C	-
<i>Actinemys marmorata</i>	Western pond turtle	Vertebrate Animal	SOC	SC	-
<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Painted turtle	Vertebrate Animal		SC	-
<i>Eremophila alpestris strigata</i>	Streaked horned lark	Vertebrate Animal	PT	SC	-

Scientific Name	Common Name	Category	Federal Status	State Status	Notes
<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	Bald eagle	Vertebrate Animal	-	SV	Breeding Sites in Tangent
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> pop. 33	Steelhead (Upper Willamette River ESU, winter run)	Vertebrate Animal	LT	SV	Spawning and Rearing; Rearing and Migration
<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i> pop. 23	Chinook salmon (Upper Willamette River ESU, spring run)	Vertebrate Animal	LT	SC	Spawning and Rearing; Rearing and Migration
<i>Oregonichthys crameri</i>	Oregon chub	Vertebrate Animal	LT	SC	Year round fish
<i>Rana pretiosa</i>	Oregon spotted frog	Vertebrate Animal	C	SC	-

Acronyms: SOC = Species of Concern; PT= Proposed Threatened; LE = Listed Endangered; LT = Listed Threatened; SV = Sensitive-Vulnerable; SC = Sensitive-Critical; C Candidate for Listing as Threatened or

Habitat

Most of the Willamette Valley's native habitats including native prairies, savannahs, upland forest and woodland have been replaced by croplands and urban development (City of Albany, 1980). Vegetation within urban areas likely includes non-native trees and shrubs such as ornamental plantings and mowed grasses. Wildlife presence within urban landscapes depends on the availability of suitable habitat. Habitat loss, along with increasing habitat fragmentation, is a primary reason for species decline in urban environments. Overall, the Albany area consists of approximately 69 percent urban development, 19 percent agriculture, with the remaining area including various types of forests, shrubland and wetlands. According to the Albany Comprehensive Plan, there are isolated stands of native Oregon Oaks and a mixture of deciduous and evergreen trees on the hillsides of North Albany and Knox Butte.

The following description is taken from the ODFW's Oregon Conservation Strategy (OCS) description for the Willamette Valley Ecoregion (ODFW 2006).

“Culturally, the Willamette Valley is a land of contrasts. Bustling urban areas are nestled within productive farmland. With Interstate 5 running its length, the Willamette Valley's economy is shaped by the transportation system and the flow of goods. With nine of the ten largest cities in Oregon, the Willamette Valley is the most urban ecoregion in Oregon. It also is the fastest-growing ecoregion. Pressure on valley ecosystems from population growth, land-use conversion, and pollution is likely to increase.

Since the 1850's, much of the Willamette Valley ecoregion has been altered by development (agricultural or urban), particularly affecting oak woodlands, oak savanna, grassland, riverine, and wetland habitats. The Willamette River has been disconnected from its floodplain, and much of the historic habitats have been fragmented. About 96 percent of the Willamette Valley ecoregion is privately owned, presenting challenges to conservation management”.

The OCS is conceptual framework for long-term conservation of Oregon's native fish, wildlife, invertebrates, and plants. The OCS emphasizes proactively conserving declining species and

Formatted: Normal

habitats to reduce the possibility of future federal or state listings. It is not a regulatory document, but instead presents issues and opportunities, and recommends voluntary actions that will improve the efficiency and effectiveness of conservation in Oregon. Strategy habitats in the Willamette Valley include oak woodland and savannah, riparian areas, grasslands (including Willamette Prairie), and wetlands. Table 5-2 identifies habitat areas and associated OCS species.

Table 5-2: Oregon Conservation Strategy (OCS) Species and Associated Habitats

Habitat	OCS
Oak Woodland/ Savannah	Acorn woodpecker (<i>Melanerpes formicivorus</i>)
	California myotis (bat) (<i>Myotis californicus</i>)
	Chipping sparrow (<i>Spizella passerina</i>)
	Common nighthawk (<i>Chordeiles minor</i>)
	Hoary bat (<i>Lasiurus cinereus</i>)
	Nelson's sidalcea (<i>Sidalcea nelsoniana</i>) ^a
	Pallid bat (<i>Antrozous pallidus</i>)
	Slender-billed (white-breasted) nuthatch (<i>Sitta carolinensis aculeata</i>)
	Wayside aster (<i>Aster vialis</i>)
	Western gray squirrel (<i>Sciurus griseus</i>)
	White rock larkspur (<i>Delphinium leucophaeum</i>) ^a
Riparian	American grass bug (<i>Acetropis americana</i>)
	Foothill yellow-legged frog (<i>Rana boylei</i>)
	Little willow flycatcher (<i>Empidonax traillii brewsteri</i>)
	Western blue bird (<i>Sialia mexicana</i>)
	Western purple martin (<i>Progne subis</i>)
	Yellow-breasted chat (<i>Icteria virens</i>)
Native Prairie	Bradshaw's desert parsley (<i>Lomatium bradshawii</i>)
	Fender's blue butterfly (<i>Icaricia icarioides fenderi</i>) ^a
	Golden paintbrush (<i>Castilleja levisecta</i>)
	Grasshopper sparrow (<i>Ammodramus savannarum</i>)
	Kincaid's lupine (<i>Lupinus sulphureus ssp. kincaidii</i>)
	Oregon vesper sparrow (<i>Pooecetes gramineus affinis</i>)
	Peacock larkspur (<i>Delphinium pavonaceum</i>)
	Streaked horned lark (<i>Eremophila alpestris strigata</i>) ^a
	Taylor's checkerspot (<i>Euphydryas editha taylori</i>)
	Western meadowlark (<i>Sturnella neglecta</i>)
	White-topped aster (<i>Aster curtus</i>) ^a

Habitat	OCS
	Willamette daisy (<i>Erigeron decumbens</i> var. <i>decumbens</i>)
Wetlands	Dusky Canada goose (<i>Branta canadensis occidentalis</i>)
	Howellia (<i>Howellia aquatilis</i>)
	Northern red-legged frog (<i>Rana pretiosa</i>)
	Short-eared owl (<i>Asio flammeus</i>)
	Western painted turtle (<i>Chrysemys picta bellii</i>) ^a
	Willamette floater (freshwater mussel) (<i>Anodonta wahlametensis</i>)

^a Documented to occur within the last 25 years.

Conservation Opportunity Areas (COAs) were developed for the OCS to help identify priority areas for conservation actions that directly benefit wildlife and habitats. Generally, these are either areas of high biodiversity or areas with unique habitat values in which conservation actions would best meet the needs of OCS species and habitats. The study area includes the following COAs: the Willamette, Calapooia, and Santiam River Floodplains. These rivers are also Critical Habitat for Chinook salmon and Steelhead trout. There is also designated critical habitat for the Oregon Chub at ponds designated as the “Santiam I-5 Side Channels” along the Santiam River near Jefferson.

The three major rivers in the Albany MPO (Willamette, Calapooia, and Santiam) along with their tributaries provide linear habitat networks for fish and wildlife. ODFW, under the Oregon Wildlife Movement Strategy and in partnership with other government agencies, identified wildlife linkages in Oregon. Such linkages are key movement areas for wildlife, emphasizing areas that cross paved roads. The wildlife linkages were based on the following criteria:

1. Whether the area falls within a COA
2. Whether the area falls within federal, state/county, or private ownership
3. Whether the area contains multiple species’ linkages
4. Whether the area is designated by ODOT as a wildlife collision hotspot
5. Whether the area has a medium or high threat value
6. Whether the area has a medium or high species value

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Tab after: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.25"

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

The wildlife linkages were identified for a specific focal species population, which included large game mammals, small- to medium-sized mammals, amphibians, and reptiles (ODFW, 2006). There are only two Wildlife linkages in the study area, both are low-priority. One is for small mammals along the Corvallis-Lebanon Highway at the northwest edge of Tangent, and the other is for large mammals along OR-99E in the southeast corner of Albany as shown on Figure 4.

Wetlands, Floodplains and Water Resources

Formatted: Heading 2

Wetlands

The Willamette Valley contains considerable acreage of wetlands, from high value/functioning wetlands to farmed wetlands that typically provide lower ecological function. Table 5-3 shows wetland and waterway acreages within the study area, including acreage of high value wetlands. It should be noted that farmed wetlands typically do not show up in Wetland Inventories or similar GIS mapping sources and therefore the acreage of wetlands may be higher than noted in Table 5-3. Supplemental hydric soils information can be used to identify the potential for wetlands to occur in these areas.

Existing, readily available geographic information system (GIS) data was used to document the quantity and type of wetlands and waterways within the study area. The primary source of wetland GIS data was the Oregon Wetland Coverage (OWC) shapefile from the Oregon Wetlands Geodatabase, which provides the most comprehensive dataset available for the location and composition of the state's wetlands. The OWC includes a dataset for National Wetlands Inventory mapping. In addition, wetlands of high value were identified. Specifically, high-value wetlands were considered to be wetlands that met any of the following criteria:

- Provide critical habitat for endangered species
- Are located in a protected area (for example, city park, USFWS Refuge, and so forth)
- Are locally significant wetlands (as determined by local planning code Local Wetland Inventory designations)
- Are wetlands that occur within areas designated as “wetland priority sites”
- Are area mapped as wetland mitigation banks and areas enrolled in the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP).

Formatted: List Bullet

Table 5-3: Wetlands and Related Resources within Albany Area MPO (approximate acreage)

Type	Approximate Acreage
Wetlands	2,270
High Value Wetlands	1,195
Hydric Soils	6,924

Formatted: Normal

Floodplains

Acting through the local planning agencies, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) regulates development within Regulated Floodways and Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). A "Regulatory Floodway" means the channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height. SFHA are defined as the areas that will be inundated by the flood event having a 1 percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The one-percent annual chance flood is also referred to as the base flood or 100-year flood. There are several large rivers and smaller tributaries and streams that are susceptible to flooding events in the Albany Area MPO. The flooding of these waterways may threaten life and safety and can cause significant property damage. FEMA-designated SFHA in the study area are displayed in Figure 7 and include the Willamette, Calapoia, and Santiam rivers and Oak Creek.

Water Quality and Stormwater Management

Stormwater runoff is water that originates from precipitation and then flows across the land as "runoff" rather than infiltrating into the ground. Stormwater management is important because the volume and timing of runoff can disrupt the hydrologic cycle of receiving waterways and contribute to flooding, cause erosion, and transport pollutants, thus impacting the water quality of receiving water bodies. Runoff from impervious surfaces, particularly roads, picks up and conveys pollutants such as heavy metals and petroleum products into streams. Water that flows over impervious surfaces and into streams without treatment negatively impacts stream health and wildlife habitat which along with removal of shade vegetation can alter the water temperature for priority aquatic species such as salmon.

Formatted: Normal

A variety of techniques exist which can be used to manage stormwater and control erosion and sediment loss on new development sites. Stormwater runoff can be collected and conveyed through a highly varied drainage system composed of sheet flow, roadside ditches, curbs and gutters, inlets, and pipes that all drain to surface streams. Stormwater can be managed through maintenance of stormwater conveyance systems, through erosion control programs, spill response, intergovernmental partnerships, regulations and enforcement, and public education.

Every two years, ODEQ is required to assess water quality and report to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on the condition of Oregon's waters of the federal CWA Section 305(b) (requiring a report on the overall condition of Oregon's waters) and Section 303(d)

(requiring identification of waters that do not meet water quality standards and need a Total Maximum Daily Load [TMDL]). TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still safely meet Oregon water quality standards. A waterbody may have TMDLs for multiple pollutants. TMDLs apply to both point (such as a pipe outfall) and non-point (stormwater runoff) sources, and include a factor of safety to account for uncertainty and allow for some future discharges into the water body.

The most recent report ODEQ completed and submitted to the EPA was in 2010. The Report includes an assessment of each water body where data is available, a list of waters identified under Section 303(d) as water quality limited and requiring a TMDL, and a delisting of waters previously identified as 303(d). The listings and de-listings were approved by the EPA on March 15, 2012. However, since the EPA proposed adding other waters to Oregon's 303(d) list, additional updates to the 303(d) list are anticipated. Waters may be added to the 303(d) list based on new data, application of new or revised water quality standards, or information showing water quality has declined. Waters may be removed from the 303(d) list when TMDLs or other control measures have been established that are expected to improve water quality, when data show water quality has improved, and in some cases when water quality standards are revised.

Geologic & Natural Hazards

Formatted: Heading 2

The State of Oregon has published a draft Oregon Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (ONHMP) (May 2015) which identifies natural hazards in the state. The ONHMP is divided in to 8 regions. The Albany Area MPO is in Region 3 – the Mid/Southern Willamette Valley. According to the ONHMP, Region 3 is affected by eight of the 11 natural hazards that affect Oregon communities; Coastal hazards, dust storms, and tsunami do not directly affect this region. The eight natural hazards according to the ONHMP are:

- **Droughts:** Though not as common in Region 3 as eastern areas of the state, a dry winter or spring could reduce community water supplies, affecting recreation, agriculture and the regional economy. As of July 2015, the Oregon Drought Council listed Linn, Benton and Marion counties as “Not Rated” for drought declaration.
- **Earthquakes:** There are four types of earthquakes that could affect Region 3—shallow crustal events, deep intra-plate events within the subducting Juan de Fuca plate, the offshore Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ) Fault, and earthquakes associated with renewed volcanic activity. The CSZ is the chief earthquake hazard for the Mid/Southern Willamette Valley and an earthquake could induce landslide, liquefaction, and ground shaking. During an earthquake, lifelines such as electric power and gas could be affected by prolonged ground shaking and roadways may be susceptible to landslide, rockfall, or liquefaction.
- **Floods:** Riverine and sheet flooding are the most common types of flooding events affecting the study area. The most damaging floods are typically in December and January, associated with La Niña events and are caused by rain or snow events and the backing up of tributaries that takes place.
- **Landslides:** Landslides tend to occur in areas with steeper slopes, weaker geology, and higher annual precipitation with rain-induced landslides occurring during winter months.

Earthquakes may also trigger landslides. The study area is relatively flat therefore not as susceptible to landslides.

- **Volcanoes:** Volcanic activity may occur within the eastern areas of Lane, Linn, and Marion Counties that coincide with the crest of the Cascade mountain range outside the Albany Area MPO. Although most volcanic activity has local site impacts, ash fall can travel many miles.
- **Wildfires:** Wildfire risk is low to moderate and usually happens in the late summer. The areas of greatest vulnerability for wildfires are where undeveloped areas interface with urban areas.
- **Windstorms:** Windstorms can occur from winds traveling northeasterly from the Pacific Ocean. Additionally, strong winds from the south are also possible in this region and may cause the most damage. These storms generally impact buildings, utilities, tree-lined roads, transmission lines, residential parcels and transportation systems along open areas such as grasslands and farmland.
- **Winter Storms:** Winter storms typically affect the region annually with colder weather and higher precipitation. Sever winter storms occur about every four years.

▪ Climate change can affect natural hazards. According to the ONHMP, hazards projected to be impacted by climate change in Region 3 include drought, wildfire, flooding and landslides. Additionally, the ONHMP stated:

“Climate models project warmer drier summers and a decline in mean summer precipitation for Oregon. Coupled with projected decreases in mountain snowpack due to warmer winter temperatures, all eight regions are expected to be affected by an increased incidence of drought and wildfire. In addition, an increase in extreme precipitation is projected for some areas in this region and can result in a greater risk of flooding in certain basins; including an increased incidence of magnitude and return interval. Landslides in Oregon are strongly correlated with rainfall, so increased rainfall—in particular in extreme events—will likely trigger increased landslides. While winter storms and windstorms affect Region 3, there is insufficient research available indicating any change in the incidence of either in Oregon due to changing climate conditions.”

Hazardous Materials

Activities involving hazardous materials have the potential to create and leave behind conditions that can be harmful to the environment and to people. Most of the land within the Albany Area MPO has been previously disturbed by urban and agricultural uses that may include undocumented spills, an accumulation of many years of roadway runoff, or use of chemical pesticides; therefore, undocumented hazardous materials may be present. Mercury vapor lamps and treated timbers are also likely in the Albany Area MPO and would require special handling if removal or replacement is needed.

In July 2015, federal and state databases were searched for identified hazardous waste sites and incidences in the study area. The following sites were identified:

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Heading 2

▪ National Priority List (NPL)—List of national priorities among the known releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants. The following site in the Albany MPO Area is on the National Priority List:

- Teledyne Wah Chang (EPA ID: ORD050955848) 1600 Old Salem RD NE, Albany Oregon. Cleanup of the site

• Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) – Abandoned hazardous waste sites – “Superfund”: Three Superfund Sites are within the Albany MPO Area:

- Teledyne Wah Chang (EPA ID: ORD050955848) 1600 Old Salem RD NE, Albany OR. Cleanup of the site
- Absorbent Technologies (EPA IS ORN001003165), 140 SW QUEEN AVENUE, Albany OR
- Black Dog Slough Transformer Dump Site (EPA IDOR0002195691) Black Dog Road adjacent to Willamette River, Albany OR.

• Air Quality

The Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC), in 2011, adopted rules (OAR 660 - 044-000) setting targets to guide long range planning for Oregon’s largest urban areas to reduce greenhouse gas pollution (GHG) from auto travel. In addition to reductions from technology and state and federal actions, the rules call for local planners to explore ways to reduce pollution from auto and light truck travel by 17 percent to 21 percent per person by the year 2035. Oregon's long term goal is to reduce the state’s global warming pollution to 75 percent below 1990 levels by 2040 (HB 3543). The rules set targets for Oregon's six largest metropolitan areas: Portland, Salem-Keizer, Corvallis, Eugene-Springfield, the Rogue Valley and Bend. In May 2015, DLCD completed a required review of the rules and agreed the rules should be updated to set pollution reduction targets for the year 2040. Currently, DLCD is working with other state agencies (ODOT, DEQ and Oregon Department of Energy) to gather the technical information needed for updating the rules. They will convene an advisory committee to advise the update, including looking at whether targets should be set for newly designated metropolitan areas including the Albany Area MPO.

The Transportation Planning Rule requires MPOs to “adopt standards to demonstrate progress towards increasing transportation choices and reducing automobile reliance.” The MPO can demonstrate that vehicle miles traveled per capita will decline by five percent over 20 years. Regional and local actions that reduce GHG emissions typically do so by reducing VMT per capita. For example, actions that reduce GHG emissions directly reduce air pollution, and most local and regional actions that reduce GHG also reduce VMT such as by shortening travel distances or shifting trips to other modes. Additional transportation related measure that reduces GHG and VMT may include:

- Expanding transit service;
- Compact, mixed use development;
- Expanding opportunities for walking and cycling;

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Body Text, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Formatted: List Bullet, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Body Text, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Formatted: Heading 2, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: List Bullet

- Managing parking more efficiently; and
- Expanding transportation options and incentives.

▪ Scenic and Recreational Resources

Scenic and recreational resources include parks, trail corridors, and natural areas. The Willamette Scenic Bikeway, an Oregon State designated bikeway, is between Salem and just south of Coburg, near Eugene. Most of the other scenic and recreational resources in the study area are in Albany and along water resources.

Cultural Resources

The National Park Service establishes guidelines for listing resources in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). In order to be eligible for listing on the NRHP, a district, site, building, structure, or object must be 50 years of age or older, significant or physically connected with an important part of the past and have "integrity," (includes location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association) or closely resemble its historic appearance.

Most of AAMPO's historic resources are clustered in Albany's downtown. The City of Albany has three historic districts: The Downtown Commercial District, Hackleman District, and Monteith District. According to the City of Albany's website, Albany was founded in 1848 and by 1878, downtown Albany boasted such business as grocers, dry-goods stores, a wagon dealer, cigar stores, butchers, livery stables, a tailor, a "shaving salon," shoe stores, a printer, saloons, hotels, an agricultural implement dealer, and a furniture dealer who doubled as an undertaker, in many of the buildings which still exist today. There likely are additional historical sites that have not been surveyed or identified. Additionally, the locations of known archaeological sites are not disclosed to prevent tampering or scavenging of sites and unknown archaeological sites could be present in the AAMPO.

Prime Farmland

The United States Department of Agriculture defines "prime farmland" as land that has the best combination of soil properties, growing season, and water supply needed for producing food, feed, forage, fiber, and oilseed crops and that is available for these uses. Prime farmland could be cropland, pastureland, rangeland, or forest land, but not developed urban land. Prime farmland, can produce sustained, high yields of crops in an economic manner if it is treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods. Very specific technical criteria were established by Congress to identify prime farmland soils. The criteria include adequate natural moisture content; specific soil temperature range, low susceptibility to flooding, low risk to wind and water erosion, minimum permeability rates, and low rock fragment content. There is also

Formatted: Heading 2

Formatted: Heading 2

unique farmland which is land other than prime farmland that is used for the production of specific high value food and fiber crops when treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods.

Farmlands of statewide importance is land that can be prime farmland when treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods and that may produce as high a yield as prime farmlands if conditions are favorable.

Oregon maintains a strong policy to protect farmland. The policy was adopted by the state legislature in 1973 (ORS 215.243). It calls for the “preservation of a maximum amount of the limited supply of agricultural land”. Oregon’s Statewide Planning Program protects agricultural land calls for counties and cities to:

- Inventory agricultural land
- Designate it in the comprehensive plan
- Adopt policies to preserve it
- Zone it Exclusive Farm Use (EFU)
- EFU zoning limits development that could conflict with farming practices and keeps farmland from being divided into parcels too small for commercial agriculture. There is a statewide minimum lot size of 80 acres for farmland, unless counties can demonstrate through the application of specific standards that a lower minimum is appropriate. Each year, a few thousand acres of agricultural land are either rezoned and made available for development in rural parts of the State or included within urban growth boundaries (UGBs) in urbanizing areas. Most of the Albany Area MPO is within UGBs and/or developed for urban use. However, approximately 4,200 acres of land are still used for agricultural uses, largely in areas outside of UGBs such as north and east of Albany and between Albany and Tangent.

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Normal

Community Resources and Environmental Justice

Community resources such as hospitals, child care facilities, schools and parks were mapped in

the study area. Most of the resources are concentrated in the downtown Albany area. The Community Services Consortium serves Linn, Benton and Lincoln Counties and is a state-designated community action agency that focuses on day-to-day survival such as food, housing and skills development for low-income populations.

According to American Community Survey and Census Data, for the overall average AAMPO study area, there is a slightly higher percentage of household below poverty than the state (study area 16 percent versus state 15 percent) and a 5 percent lower percentage of minority populations.

Table 5-4: Households Below Poverty and Minority Populations

	% of households below poverty level	% of minority
AAMPO	16%	17%
Benton Co	21%	16%

Linn Co	16%	13%
Marion Co	16%	31%
Oregon	15%	22%

Executive Order (EO) 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations of February 11, 1994, requires agencies undertaking federal actions, projects using federal funds, or projects that require federal permits to identify low-income and minority populations; assess whether high and adverse human health or environmental impacts would result from the alternatives; and ensure participation of low-income and minority populations in the transportation decision making process. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) defines a disproportionately high and adverse impact on minority and low-income populations as one that:

- Is predominantly borne by a minority population and/or a low-income population; or
- Will be suffered by the minority population and/or low-income population and is appreciably more severe or greater in magnitude than the adverse effect that will be suffered by the non-minority population and/or non-low-income population.

EO 12898 states that agencies must consider whether human health effects, in terms of risks and rates, are significant or above accepted norms.

Additional underserved populations are the “transportation disadvantaged.” The “transportation disadvantaged” are those persons who because of physical or mental disability, income status, or age are unable to transport themselves or to purchase transportation and are, therefore, dependent upon others to obtain access to health care, employment, education, shopping, social activities, or other life-sustaining activities. Projects receiving federal assistance must also evaluate impacts to these populations to comply with the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Federal-Aid Highways Act, Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.

Formatted: Normal

Chapter 6: Future Forecasting

Chapter 6: This chapter presents traffic forecasts and summarizes the forecasting methodology. The forecasts were key to identifying future roadway deficiencies and for evaluating regional transportation improvements. Additional details regarding future forecasting are available in *Technical Memoranda #7 Future Forecasting*.

The following elements of the forecasting process are discussed here:

- **CALM (Corvallis, Albany and Lebanon Model) Regional Travel Demand Model**, which estimates both vehicular and non-vehicular traffic based on future growth and changing development patterns within the Albany MPO, surrounding communities (Corvallis and Lebanon), and future growth in traffic passing through the region (I-5, OR 99E, US 20, etc.).
- **Projected Land Use Changes** in the areas covered by the model.
- **Trip Generation**, which calculates the total number of trips produced, by trip purpose, in each zone based on household characteristics and trip rates.
- **Trip Distribution**, which distributes the produced trips to destination TAZ's.
- **Mode Choice**, which assigns person trips to specific modes of travel
- **University Model**, which describes how the model deals with university specific travel
- The focus is the year 2040 "Baseline" (30th highest hour – generally representative of a p.m. peak hour from the peak travel month of the year, and average weekday p.m. peak hour) traffic under specific assumptions for transportation network and population growth (described further in the following sections).

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Normal

CALM Travel Demand Model


Formatted: Heading 2

The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) has recently developed and will maintain a travel demand model that estimates daily and p.m. peak hour demand for the existing year (2010) and future year (2040) transportation system. The travel demand model includes AAMPO and surrounding communities of Corvallis, Lebanon, and portions of unincorporated Linn and Benton Counties (refer to Figure 6-1²⁵). Previously, some of these areas were incorporated into three separate travel demand models. Combining these areas allows the CALM model to better capture regional influences in the surrounding communities. These models include two key structures that help estimate future traffic:

- **Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZs).** The model area is split into 930 internal regional TAZs (including 332 in AAMPO) and 23 external zones. Each internal TAZ represents a small subarea of the model with unique land use attributes that represent the number of households and the number and type of employees within the zone. These land use attributes determine the intensity and directionality of trips generated by the zone. The TAZ structure for the AAMPO area is shown in Figure 6-2.
- **Transportation Network.** The model includes a network of links that generally represents the major transportation system (typically collector roads and above) in the model area. Each link is coded with attributes (e.g., speed and capacity) that approximate the function of existing roadways (for the base year and future year) and programmed roadway improvements (committed funding identified) for the future year. Each TAZ is connected to links in the model at points that approximate where travelers are expected to enter the network.

²⁵ Taken Directly from Memorandum: CALM Input Data Development – Task 3.1 Process and Technical Procedures, prepared by DKS Associates, June 19, 2014

Figure 6-1: CALM Model Area



Formatted: Normal

Future Transportation Network

For the AAMPO area, there are no regionally significant transportation improvements included in the 2040 travel demand model. Also, the future transit system is consistent with the existing system. The purpose of this model is to create a “committed” system that represents the conditions and needs of the future system without undergoing any unfunded improvements.

Formatted: Normal

Table 6-1 lists regionally significant projects either constructed or under construction since 2010 (the model base year). Table 6-2 lists regionally significant projects with committed funding sources scheduled for construction before the year 2040. These projects would be incorporated in future model runs to provide a sense for additional system needs.

Table 6-1: AAMPO Projects Constructed After 2010

Project Name	Location	Project Description	Project Source	In CALM?
Oak Street Reconstruction	Queen Ave to Pacific Hwy	Reconstruction of Oak Street from Queen Ave north to 9th Ave, and it's extension across 9th Ave to Pacific Hwy	City of Albany Staff	No
9th Ave/Oak St Signal	9th Ave/Oak St	Added traffic signal	City of Albany Staff	No
Pacific Hwy/Oak St Signal	Pacific Hwy/Oak St	Added traffic signal	City of Albany Staff	No
North Albany Road Reconstruction	RR tracks to Quarry	Project added sidewalks, a center two way left turn lane, and realigned West Thornton Lake Drive	City of Albany Staff	N/A*
Main St/Salem Ave/3rd Ave Improvements	Main St/Salem Ave/3rd Ave	Project added capacity to the intersection, filled in sidewalk gaps, added bike lanes, and made block of Main Street between 1st and 2nd one way in the NB direction	City of Albany Staff	N/A

*The added TWLTL has a capacity benefit

Formatted: Caption2

Table 6-2: AAMPO Committed Projects

Project Name	Location	Project Source	In CALM?
Columbus St Closure at Hwy 34	Columbus St/Hwy 34	Linn County Staff	No
34 th Ave/Marion St New Signal	34 th Ave/Marion St	City of Albany Staff	No
34 th Ave/Hill St New Signal	34 th Ave/Hill St	City of Albany Staff	No
Hill St Widening (add bike lanes)	Queen Ave to 34 th Ave	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Old Salem Road Truax Creek Bridge Replacement	Truax Creek Bridge	ODOT 2015-2018 STIP List	N/A
Seven Mile Ln/Hwy 34 New Signal	Seven Mile Ln/Hwy 34	Linn County CIP List	No
Corvallis to Albany Hwy 20 Multi-use Trail	Scenic Dr to Springhill Rd	ODOT 2015-2018 STIP List	N/A
OR99/53 rd Ave Signal Relocation	OR99/53 rd Ave	ODOT 2015-2018 STIP List	N/A
I-5 Widening (Preliminary Engineering)	Delaney Rd to Albany	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Springhill Drive Roadway Departure Countermeasures	Independence to US 20	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
OR 34 Safety Improvements	I-5 to Corvallis	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Corvallis to Albany Hwy 20 Multi-use Trail (Complete NEPA and ROW purchase)	Scenic Dr to Springhill Rd	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A

Formatted: Table Hd


I-5 Widening and Knox Butte Rd Interchange Improvements (Preliminary Engineering)	South Jefferson to US 20 Interchange	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
I-5 Resurfacing	North Jefferson to North Albany	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Hill St/Water Ave Railroad Crossing Improvement	Hill St/Water Ave	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Railroad Crossing Improvements	Albany to Eugene	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
I-5 Resurfacing	North Albany to Halsey	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Ellsworth St (US 20) Bridge Improvement	Ellsworth St across Willamette River	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
US 20 and OR 99E Signal Timing Improvements	Various locations in Albany	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
US 20 Improvements	Geary St o Waverly St	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
OR 99E/Airport Rd Intersection Improvements	OR 99E/Airport Rd	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	No
US 20/Knox Butte Rd Intersection Improvements	US 20/Knox Butte Rd	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
24 th Ave Improvements ¹	Hill St to Geary St	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Salem Ave Improvements ¹	Geary St to East Albany city limits	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Queen Ave Improvements ¹	Geary St to OR 99E	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Old Salem Rd Preservation and Safety	-	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Old Salem Rd: Truax Creek Bridge Replacement	Truax Creek Bridge	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Old Salem Rd Sidewalk Connectivity	Milepost 0.18 – Milepost 0.86	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Cascades West COG Transportation Options	Albany and Corvallis MPO Boundaries	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
Springhill Dr Overlay ¹	Hickory Street to Independence Highway	AAMPO 2018-2021 TIP List	N/A
¹ This project includes pavement preservation. It should be noted that pavement preservation projects are not included in the Financially Constrained Project List or Aspirational Project List.			


Other types of projects that may have been identified and planned (non-capacity improvements such as minor signing and striping changes, multimodal improvements, or planned capacity improvements that are not currently funded) are not included in the current model.²⁶ Such

²⁶ Non-capacity improvements such as signing and striping are typically not reflected in travel demand models. Table 1 and Table 2 note constructed and committed projects that would not be included in the travel demand model as “N/A”

improvements may be included in transportation alternatives that are analyzed at a later stage of the RTP process.

Figure 6-2: CALM Model TAZ Structure within AAMPO





Projected Land Use Changes

Land use is a crucial factor in forecasting future transportation demand. The amount of land that is to be developed, the type and scale (housing units or number of employees) of the land uses, and how the land uses are arranged within the model area have a direct impact on the future system.

Projected land uses were developed for the model area with the general development patterns based on the Comprehensive Plan designations for the Cities of Albany, Jefferson, Millersburg and Tangent. The overall growth in land uses was applied to individual TAZs with detailed input and review from staff at agencies within the region²⁷. These population and employment assumptions form the basis for the two travel demand models used in forecasting:

- **Base Year (2010):** The base year model represents calibrated conditions for year 2010.
- **Future Year (2040):** The anticipated 2040 land uses and growth within and outside the model area.

Growth within AAMPO

The CALM model generally uses household and employment information as a basis for estimating future transportation activity. Different types of employment are associated with different types of origin-destination intensities and patterns in the p.m. peak hour. For example, TAZs with large employment numbers may generate a heavy outbound travel movement, sending trips toward TAZs with more households. Conversely, TAZs with numerous retail employees may attract trips in the p.m. peak hour.

Table 6-3 summarizes how households and employment are assumed to change between the 2010 base year and 2040. *Note: The summary provided in Table 6-3 ~~Table 6-3~~ Table 6-3 is based on boundaries approximated by the TAZ boundaries (Figure 6-2) and may not exactly match current and future city limits.*

As listed in Table 6-3, the population and number of households within the entire AAMPO area is projected to increase by approximately 30 percent and 40 percent, respectively, from 2010 to 2040.²⁸ Albany, Millersburg²⁹ and Tangent each follow a similar trend and are projected to increase around 20 to 30 percent, while Jefferson would increase about 70 percent. Other unincorporated areas of Benton and Linn Counties will increase by 50 percent or more.

²⁷ Memorandum: CALM Input Data Development – Task 3.1 Process and Technical Procedures, prepared by DKS Associates, June 19, 2014.

²⁸ The households increase at a higher rate in population due to an overall decrease in average household size.

²⁹ This takes into account the mill closure.

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Footnote Text

Overall, employment is projected to increase by approximately 45 percent. Individually, Albany, Jefferson and Linn County employment will follow this general increase. However, Millersburg is projected to increase approximately 90 percent, while Tangent is projected to increase approximately 65 percent. The employment within unincorporated Benton County will be relatively unchanged.

The model also includes enrollment data for primary schools and vehicular trip data for colleges. Education enrollment for primary schools will increase by roughly 30 percent within the AAMPO area. College trips are also expected to increase by roughly 30 percent (about 1,600 trips) within the AAMPO area.

Table 6-3: CALM Model Land Use Changes, 2010 - 2040³⁰

Land Use Metric / Location	Year 2010	Year 2040	% Increase
Population (AAMPO Area)	57,770	74,331	29%
Albany	49,949	61,669	24%
Jefferson	3,168	5,276	67%
Millersburg	1,339	1,658	24%
Tangent	1,118	1,419	27%
Benton County (other unincorporated)	856	1,317	54%
Linn County (other unincorporated)	1,310	2,962	126%
Households (AAMPO Area)	22,408	31,215	39%
Albany	19,664	25,761	31%
Jefferson	1,085	2,180	101%
Millersburg	508	751	48%
Tangent	387	532	37%
Benton County (other unincorporated)	303	530	75%
Linn County (other unincorporated)	461	1,461	217%
Total Employment (AAMPO Area)	23,164	33,950	47%
Albany	18,230	26,062	43%
Jefferson	424	581	37%
Millersburg	2,085	3,927	88%
Tangent	479	787	64%
Benton County (other unincorporated)	21	22	5%
Linn County (other unincorporated)	1,925	2,571	34%

Source: CALM Travel Demand Model

³⁰ PSU land use control totals for 2040 are still being developed and were not available at the time of the CALM development.


Note: The summary provided is based on boundaries approximated by the TAZ boundaries (Figure 6-2) and may not exactly match current and future city limits.

The following maps summarize the change in land use between 2010 and 2040. Figure 6-3 shows the increase in total households for each zone. Significant residential growth areas in the region include the south end of Jefferson, east of I-5 and north of US 20 in Albany, and the south end of Albany.

Figure 6-4 shows the increase in total employment for each zone. Significant employment growth areas include south Millersburg, south Albany and Albany north of the Willamette River.

Figure 6-5 shows growth in educational enrollment for primary schools and growth in college trips by zone. Significant educational growth areas include north Jefferson, LBCC and Albany east of I-5 and north of US 20.

Figure 6-3: CALM Model Household Growth by TAZ



Formatted: Normal

Figure 6-4: CALM Model Employment Growth by TAZ





Figure 6-5: CALM Model Education Enrollment Growth by TAZ



Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Caption2

Trip Generation

The model's trip generation process calculates the total number of productions (person trips) per TAZ using household attributes such as size, income, and number of workers. The trips are separated into different types (home-to-work, home-to-school, etc.) The ODOT trip generation process includes detailed trip characteristics for various types of housing, employment, and special activities. The model's process is tailored to variations in travel characteristics and activities in the region, including estimation of the likelihood for trip potential to be achieved for a particular land area.

The increase in the number of households and employees in the model area increases the overall number of trips generated. Table 6-4 summarizes the total p.m. peak hour motor vehicle trip ends for general community areas³¹ within AAMPO for year 2010 and year 2040. The number of vehicle trips is expected to grow by approximately 30 percent between 2010 and 2040 if the land develops according to the modeled land use assumptions. This is generally consistent with the projected population increase, but is slightly lower than the projected number of households and employment increases – indicating a future reduction in the average rate of motor vehicle trip-making. Individually, Albany and Tangent areas are both projected to increase in this regard by approximately 25 percent, while Jefferson and Millersburg are projected to increase by approximately 60 percent. This significant difference in growth is due to the larger relative increase in population and employment for these areas³².

Table 6-4: Vehicle Trip Generation (PM Peak Hour)

	2010 Trips	2040 Trips	% Increase
Land Use within AAMPO)			
Albany*	15,517	19,624	26%
Jefferson*	690	1,129	64%
Millersburg*	487	768	58%
Tangent*	387	479	24%
Total	17,081	22,000	29%

Source: CALM Travel Demand Model

Note: * These locations are not limited to the city limits and include surrounding unincorporated areas within the MPO to provide location context and consistency with the regional trip distribution information.

³¹ These locations are not limited to the city limits and include surrounding unincorporated areas within the MPO to provide location context and consistency with the regional trip distribution information.

³² Table 1 indicates that Jefferson is projected to have a higher relative population growth while Millersburg would experience a higher relative employment growth.

Trip Distribution

The trip distribution step estimates trips between origins and destinations. TAZ zone pairs based on a wide variety of trip choice factors including travel time, travel cost, and trip purpose. The model uses these factors to decide on the destination for each trip produced (started) in the TAZ. For example, home-based shopping trips produced near a downtown shopping area will choose the downtown shopping area destination over a similar shopping area in a different town due to shorter travel times and lower travel cost. The trip distribution step creates tables organized by trip type (home-to-work, home-to-school, etc.) that show the travel patterns between the TAZs in the region.


Although the model distributes all person trips, vehicle trip distribution is the most relevant for future traffic forecasting. Thus, the distribution summaries and tables in this section summarize the vehicle trip distributions. The following section (titled “Mode Choice”) describes how the model converts person trips into vehicle trips.

In projecting future traffic volumes, it is important to consider potential changes in regional travel patterns. Although the locations and amount of traffic generation in the AAMPO area are essentially a function of future land use in the four cities, the distribution of trips is also influenced by expected congestion on roadways and regional growth (outside the MPO).

The demand for traveling to areas within AAMPO was summarized to determine regional patterns among key areas. Figures 6-6 and 6-7 show the trip distribution within the AAMPO area and to/from all directions. The highest non-MPO regional distribution occurs to the west (including Corvallis) and indicates approximately 13 percent of MPO trips travel to/from the west in 2010. In year 2040, the directional split is more pronounced, with relatively more traffic entering the MPO and relatively less traffic leaving the MPO to the west during the p.m. peak hour.


Formatted: Normal

Figure 6-6: CALM Model Vehicle Trip Distribution - Regional Travel Patterns (2010 PM Peak Hour)



Formatted: Normal

Figure 6-7: CALM Model Vehicle Trip Distribution - Regional Travel Patterns (2040 PM Peak Hour)



Formatted: Caption

Formatted: Normal

Table 6-5 lists the existing (year 2010) trip distribution between and within each area of the region. This table is based on both origin and destination trips within each particular area and lists the percentage of trips to/from each particular region. Additional details (demand and further breakdown for smaller areas) are attached. The existing trip distribution indicates the following regional travel patterns (which are demonstrated in additional detail in appendix Table A-1):

- Approximately 30 percent of all Jefferson trips stay within Jefferson, about 10 percent travel to Albany, Millersburg or Tangent and 20 percent travel outside of the MPO.
- Approximately 10 percent of Tangent trips remain in Tangent, while 25 percent travel to Albany, Millersburg or Jefferson and 20 percent to areas outside of the AAMPO area.
- Approximately 5 percent of Millersburg trips remain in Millersburg while 35 percent travel to Albany, Jefferson or Tangent. About 20 percent of trips beginning in Jefferson travel to areas outside of the AAMPO area.
- Approximately 65 percent of Albany trips remain in Albany, while only 2 percent of Albany trips travel to Millersburg, Tangent or Jefferson and 15 percent of trips from Albany travel to areas outside the MPO

Formatted: List Bullet

Table 6-5: CALM Model Vehicle Trip Distribution within the AAMPO Area (2010 PM Peak hour)

	Jefferson	Tangent	Millersburg	Albany
Internal	28%	11%	6%	64%
To Other Cities in MPO	10%	24%	35%	2%
From Other Cities in MPO	19%	20%	19%	3%
To Non-MPO	21%	22%	19%	15%
From Non MPO	22%	22%	20%	16%

Formatted: Table Hd

Source: CALM Travel Demand Model

Formatted: Normal

Table 6-6 summarizes regional trip distribution for year 2040 p.m. peak hour. Distribution is similar to 2010, with most regional distribution pairs remaining relatively unchanged (changing by two percent or less).

Table 6-6: CALM Model Vehicle Trip Distribution within the AAMPO area (2040 PM peak hour)

	Jefferson	Tangent	Millersburg	Albany
Internal	29%	12%	6%	62%
To Other Cities in MPO	9%	25%	37%	3%
From Other Cities in MPO	19%	20%	18%	3%
To Non-MPO	20%	20%	18%	15%
From Non MPO	23%	23%	21%	17%

Formatted: Table Hd

Source: CALM Travel Demand Model

Formatted: Normal

Mode Choice

The potential modes of travel in the CALM model include driving alone, driving with a passenger, using a park and ride, using walk-access transit, biking, and walking. The attractiveness of each mode for each trip is calculated based on the following factors:

- **Travel Time** (in-vehicle, wait, transit access, etc.)
- **Cost** (parking, fare, auto operating, etc.)
- **Other travel mode characteristics** (reliability, safety, comfort, etc.)
- **Person/Household characteristics** (income, auto ownership, age, etc.)
- **Trip purpose characteristics** (shopping, number of stops, etc.)

These mode choice factors are assigned various levels of attraction based on feedback from local surveys and other sources of data applicable to the region. The trips between zones developed in Trip Distribution are split between the different travel modes based on the calculated attractiveness of each mode for each trip pair. The mode choice model creates mode specific trip tables showing travel between the TAZ zone pairs.

University Travel Model

The CALM model includes a special university model to account for the travel impacts of Oregon State University (OSU) on the region. This model accounts for university-related travel patterns such as residential clustering, mode use, time-of-day, and parking supply. The model also allows multi-stop trips by simulating travel patterns by person. From an AAMPO perspective, the university component of the CALM model provides a higher level of detail to the modeled regional interaction between Albany and Corvallis.

Post Processing and Model Application to AAMPO

The year 2010 and year 2040 model and assignments were prepared and provided by ODOT. Limited additional minor network refinements were applied during the forecasting process to add detail to account for local connectivity and circulation patterns, particularly in the vicinity of study intersections. Adding the new network detail helps refine local circulation within the AAMPO area without affecting routing in the overall regional model. Modifications include:

- **Shifted the connector from TAZ 1293 (south Jefferson) from the intersection of OR 164/Main Street to S Main Street/High Street**
- **Added the north leg of Main Street to the OR 164/Main Street intersection. Added connector from TAZ 1290 (west Jefferson) to the Main Street north leg.**
- **Shifted connector from TAZ 755 (in southeast Albany) from 34th Avenue/Waverly Drive intersection to 34th Avenue/Ermine Street intersection**
- **Shifted connector from TAZ 727 (in south Albany) from 53rd Avenue/OR99E intersection to College Park Drive/OR99E intersection**

PM peak hour model volumes were extracted from the model for both the base year (2010) and forecast year (2040) scenarios. A “post processing” technique following NCHRP 255 Methodology³³ was utilized to refine model travel forecasts to the volume forecasts presented in Table 6-7 and

Table 6-8

• ~~Table 6-8~~ Table 6-8. Post processing is the application of manual adjustments to existing count data and model projections³⁴ to minimize potential model error and bias.

Formatted: Normal

³³ Highway Traffic Data for Urbanized Area Project Planning and Design - National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 255, Transportation Research Board, Washington D.C., 1982.

³⁴ See the Existing Conditions project memo for more information on existing year (2014) traffic counts and the seasonal adjustment done to create peak seasonal and average annual volume sets.

Table 6-7: 2040 30 Highest Hour Peak Hour Traffic Forecasts for Study Intersections

		Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
		NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR
OR 164	North Avenue	0	305	230	45	370	0	0	0	170	0	45	
Main Street	OR 164	205	90	155	5	95	20	15	430	340	250	305	5
Scravel Hill Road	OR 164	25	10	115	15	5	0	0	675	45	105	420	20
I-5 NB Ramps	OR 164	0	5	5	520	5	10	80	205	0	0	375	70
I-5 SB Ramps	OR 164	0	0	0	75	0	95	20	230	0	0	155	230
Century Drive	I-5 NB Ramps	245	225	0	0	185	0	30	0	105	0	0	0
Old Salem Road	I-5 SB Ramps	0	540	25	185	430	0	0	0	35	0	10	
Scravel Hill Road	Knox Butte Road	15	80	20	15	50	60	45	135	15	5	135	15
Clover Ridge Road	Knox Butte Road	0	0	0	60	0	195	380	630	0	0	385	60
I-5 NB Ramps/Century Drive	Knox Butte Road	70	25	165	185	0	190	175	1005	0	0	620	90
OR 99E	Airport Road/Albany Avenue	120	1280	170	190	1070	220	305	205	120	150	240	70
Waverly Drive	OR 99E	140	115	255	35	180	20	5	1270	150	265	1050	25
Waverly Drive	US 20 (Santiam Highway)	190	310	505	150	375	55	80	1125	130	320	750	75
Airport Road/I-5 SB Ramps	US 20 (Santiam Highway)	80	110	85	165	150	240	75	1450	125	140	910	135

- Formatted: Table Hd
- Formatted Table
- Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
- Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
- Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: single

		Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
		NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR
Fescue Street/I-5 NB Ramps	US 20 (Santiam Highway)	380	135	95	75	70	180	400	905	530	65	705	160
Scravel Hill Road	US 20 (Santiam Highway)	0	0	0	0	0	80	125	580	0	0	480	5
Three Lakes Road	Seven Mile Lane	0	0	0	40	0	30	30	140	0	0	160	60
Waverly Drive	34th Avenue	125	710	0	0	665	200	220	0	175	0	0	0
OR 99E	53rd Avenue	80	1115	0	0	1025	175	115	0	55	0	0	0
OR 99E	Queen Avenue	15	1145	150	210	1045	245	360	220	50	205	180	210
Lyons Street (US 20)	2nd Avenue	0	1305	75	0	0	0	250	755	0	0	0	0
Lyons Street (US 20)	1st Avenue	140	1410	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	175	600
Ellsworth Street (US 20)	1st Avenue	0	0	0	0	1820	255	0	0	0	75	215	0
Ellsworth Street (US 20)	2nd Avenue	0	0	0	590	1285	0	0	435	130	0	0	0
Springhill Drive	US 20 (Albany-Corvallis Hwy)	0	1510	610	70	1690	0	0	0	0	465	0	35
North Albany Road	US 20 (Albany-Corvallis Hwy)	5	5	5	450	5	50	110	1120	5	5	875	475
Scenic Drive	US 20 (Albany-Corvallis Hwy)	0	0	5	25	0	70	145	1520	0	0	935	60
Scenic Drive	Gibson Hill Road	0	65	80	315	60	0	0	0	0	25	0	160

Formatted: Table Hd

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Caption2

Table 6-8: 2040 Average Weekday PM Peak Hour Traffic Forecasts for Study Intersections

		Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
		NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR
OR 164	North Avenue	0	290	215	40	350	0	5	5	0	160	5	40
Main Street	OR 164	190	85	145	5	90	20	15	405	320	235	290	5
Scravel Hill Road	OR 164	25	10	110	15	5	0	5	635	40	100	395	20
I-5 NB Ramps	OR 164	5	5	5	490	5	10	75	195	5	5	355	65
I-5 SB Ramps	OR 164	0	0	0	70	0	90	20	215	0	0	145	215
Century Drive	I-5 NB Ramps	215	195	0	0	160	0	25	0	90	0	0	0
Old Salem Road	I-5 SB Ramps	0	510	25	175	405	0	0	0	0	35	0	10
Scravel Hill Road	Knox Butte Road	15	75	20	15	45	55	45	125	15	5	130	20
Clover Ridge Road	Knox Butte Road	0	0	0	55	0	185	360	595	0	0	365	55
I-5 NB Ramps/Century Drive	Knox Butte Road	60	25	145	165	0	170	155	885	0	0	545	80
OR 99E	Airport Road/Albany Avenue	115	1210	160	180	1010	210	290	195	110	140	225	65
Waverly Drive	OR 99E	135	110	240	35	170	20	5	1200	140	250	995	25
Waverly Drive	US 20 (Santiam Highway)	190	310	505	150	375	45	65	930	130	320	620	75
Airport Road/I-5 SB Ramps	US 20 (Santiam Highway)	80	110	85	165	150	195	65	1200	125	140	755	135
Fescue Street/I-5 NB Ramps	US 20 (Santiam Highway)	380	135	95	75	70	145	335	750	530	65	585	160

- Formatted: Table Hd
- Formatted Table
- Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
- Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
- Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: single

		Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
		NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR
Scravel Hill Road	US 20 (Santiam Highway)	0	0	0	5	0	65	105	480	0	0	395	5
Three Lakes Road	Seven Mile Lane	0	0	0	40	0	30	30	135	0	0	150	55
Waverly Drive	34th Avenue	120	675	0	0	630	190	220	0	175	0	0	0
OR 99E	53rd Avenue	75	1055	0	0	970	165	115	0	55	0	0	0
OR 99E	Queen Avenue	15	1085	140	200	990	230	360	220	50	205	180	210
Lyons Street (US 20)	2nd Avenue	0	1080	60	0	0	0	250	755	0	0	0	0
Lyons Street (US 20)	1st Avenue	140	1165	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	175	600
Ellsworth Street (US 20)	1st Avenue	0	0	0	0	1505	255	0	0	0	75	215	0
Ellsworth Street (US 20)	2nd Avenue	0	0	0	490	1065	0	0	435	130	0	0	0
Springhill Drive	US 20 (Albany-Corvallis Hwy)	0	1250	505	60	1400	0	0	0	0	465	0	35
North Albany Road	US 20 (Albany-Corvallis Hwy)	5	5	5	450	5	50	90	925	5	5	725	390
Scenic Drive	US 20 (Albany-Corvallis Hwy)	5	0	5	20	0	55	120	1255	5	5	775	55
Scenic Drive	Gibson Hill Road	0	60	75	295	55	0	0	0	0	30	0	150

Formatted: Table Hd

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Chapter 7: Future Transportation Needs

Formatted: Heading 1

Chapter 7: Findings from the existing condition analyses, travel demand modeling and stakeholder input helped to identify future transportation system needs as outlined below, and in more detail in Technical Memorandum #8 Future Transportation Conditions and Needs, Technical Memorandum #9 Transit Future Conditions, and the Summary of Public Comments (see Appendix).

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Regional Roadway System

Intersection Mobility

Two unsignalized intersections currently do not meet Oregon Highway Plan mobility targets: Century Drive & I-5 NB Off Ramp/Knox Butte Road and Scenic Drive/ US 20. An additional nine locations are projected to not meet their 2040 mobility targets during either the daily pm peak or the seasonal peak:

Formatted: Normal

- OR 164 / North Ave
- OR 164 / I-5 NB ramps
- OR 164 / Main St
- Knox Butte Rd / I-5 NB off-ramp
- Knox Butte Rd / Clover Ridge Rd
- US 20 / Scenic Dr
- US 20 / Springhill Dr
- OR 99E / Airport Rd
- US 20 / Waverly Dr
- OR 99E / Queen Ave
- US 20 (Lyons St) / 1st Ave

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Regional Capacity Needs

The Corvallis Albany Lebanon Model (CALM) travel demand model was used to assess the condition of future corridors in the region. Table 7-1 illustrates the locations where model volume outputs are equal to or exceed the coded link capacity. Links colored red are over the model capacity, while those that are yellow are nearing capacity, and links colored green are moderately congested. All other links are relatively uncongested under 2040 conditions. The model does not incorporate added capacity due to the presence of center turn lanes, i.e., a two-lane street has the same coded capacity as a three-lane street. Several arterials and collectors with the AAMPO area such as Queen Avenue, Geary Street, and Waverly Drive have an existing center turn lane. A current road project on North Albany Road includes adding center turn lanes and widening the existing cross section. The raw model volume-to-capacity ratios on Queen Avenue, Geary Street, Waverly Drive, and North Albany Road indicate near or over capacity conditions at several locations. As the capacity benefits of center turn lanes are not included in the travel demand model, these locations were not included in the corridor deficiency lists in Table 7-1, which lists the regional corridors nearing or exceeding capacity by the year 2040.

Formatted: Normal

Table 7-1: Summary of 2040 Committed Network Corridor Capacity Deficiencies

Road	Direction of Travel	From	To	Deficiency
East-West Regional Corridors				
US 20	Eastbound	MPO Boundary	Blossom Ln	Over Capacity
		North Albany Rd	Springhill Rd	Nearing Capacity
		Springhill Rd	2nd Ave	Over Capacity
	Southbound	Springhill Rd	2nd Ave	Over Capacity
		2nd Ave	4th Ave	Nearing Capacity
		5th Ave	7th Ave	Over Capacity
		7th Ave	OR 99E	Nearing Capacity
	Westbound	2nd Ave	Springhill Rd	Over Capacity
	Northbound	OR 99E WB Off-Ramp	5th Ave	Nearing Capacity
		3rd Ave	2nd Ave	Nearing Capacity
2nd Ave		Springhill Rd	Over Capacity	
US 20/ OR 99E	Eastbound	OR 99E EB On-Ramp	9th Ave	Over Capacity
		9th Ave	Madison St	Nearing Capacity
	Westbound	Madison St	OR 99E WB Off-Ramp	Over Capacity
Gibson Hill Rd	Westbound	North Albany Rd	Broadway St	Over Capacity
OR 164	Eastbound	I-5 NB Off-Ramps	Main St (Jefferson)	Over Capacity
North-South Regional Corridors				
OR 99E	Northbound	Airport Rd	NB I-5 On-Ramp	Over Capacity
I-5 Ramps	Northbound	OR 99E	I-5	Over Capacity

Formatted: Normal

Source: CALM Travel Demand Model

Note: The model does not incorporate added capacity due to the presence of center turn lanes.

Formatted: Comment Text

The congestion and over capacity future conditions of US 20 between Corvallis and downtown Albany have the potential to impact the alternate regional route of OR 34. The travel demand model indicates that traffic (approximately 100 p.m. peak hour vehicles in 2040) diverts to OR 34 due to the level of congestion of US 20. The US 20 Bridge across the Willamette River indicates potential future bottleneck issues.

The over-capacity conditions on the OR 99E/US 20 couplet could cause additional burden to the local system, with regional traffic re-routing onto parallel local streets to avoid mainline delays. Approximately 350 vehicles desiring to travel east-west along US 20 and OR 99E are projected to change their route to avoid congestion in 2040 during the p.m. peak hour. Approximately 250 vehicles traveling along the US 20/OR 99E couplet through Albany are projected to detour to SE Salem Avenue.

OR 164 is a key east-west regional route connecting Jefferson to the rest of the AAMPO area. With limited alternate routing opportunities, the modeled over-capacity conditions on this arterial

could lead to increases in travel time between Jefferson and Millersburg. The over-capacity issues at the I-5/OR 99E interchange are more intersection than corridor related and are addressed in the following section. Congestion along additional regional routes also has the potential to divert traffic flow. All values provided are relative to the 2040 p.m. peak hour:

- Queen Avenue also provides east-west connectivity within Albany. When Queen Avenue becomes congested it is likely that vehicles shift onto adjacent local roads.
- Scenic Drive to US 20 is a primary connection for travelers to/from Albany north of the Willamette River. Approximately 100 vehicles deviate from Scenic Drive and US 20 to Gibson Hill Road and North Albany Road to minimize delay.
- Approximately 100 vehicles desiring to travel north-south along I-5 alter their route onto lower class roads such as OR 99E and Old Salem Road.
- Approximately 100 vehicles from Waverly Drive shift onto nearby roads like Geary Street or Center Street.

Formatted: List Bullet

▪Public Transportation System Needs

Formatted: Heading 2

As the Albany area grows over the next few decades, additional transit investments will be required to serve current and future markets. This expansion will be based on multiple needs, described below.

- **Expected growth.** The MPO is expected to add 20,000 new people and 10,000 new jobs over the next ~~few~~ two decades. To maintain existing per capita and per employee service levels in the City of Albany, transit service hours will need to increase between 30 and 70 percent.
- **Travel pattern changes.** Residential growth in East Albany and Jefferson, and employment growth in Millersburg will increase travel demand to those areas at a rate greater than the overall MPO travel increase. These locations may require additional transit service to meet their specific needs.
- **Existing service.** Limited frequency and long travel times make current service ineffective for a wide variety of demographic groups. Improving service would make transit more valuable for more people and for a wider variety of trips.
- **Capital needs.** As the Albany transit fleet ages, and as service expands to address latent and future demands, additional vehicles will need to be acquired. A new bus maintenance facility will also be needed to accommodate the larger fleet size.
- **Sidewalk connectivity.** All transit trips start or end with a walk, requiring a robust network of safe and connected sidewalks and crosswalks to connect ridership markets with the service. Coordination between transit providers and local jurisdictions will be necessary for this network to be established in time for expanded or new service.
- **Riders not covered by special programs.** Albany is a key destination for low-income household workers from Jefferson, Millersburg and Tanget. The key gap in the current public transportation system is service for younger disabled individuals and lower income

individuals in smaller communities who are not eligible for Medicaid or other special programs. These individuals may not have a vehicle, physical capacity, money, or family/friends that are available to assist with transportation.

- **Expanding existing services.** There are opportunities to increase existing programs to support usage of current transportation options. This includes expansion of Transportation Options programming throughout the AAMPO area and expansion of volunteer programs like Volunteer Caregivers and the Good Samaritan Senior Companion. These volunteer driver programs can help fill the gaps in small cities, provided the programs have the resources and volunteers to provide increased service in those communities.
- **Needs in Tangent.** A need was identified for ‘last mile’ connectivity to/from the existing Linn-Benton Loop service for school and work commuters. For those in need of life-line transportation, a demand-responsive service similar to the arrangement in Millersburg may work.
- **Needs in Jefferson.** At the Albany Area MPO Policy Board, the City of Jefferson has expressed a need for demand-response service to provide its residents with mobility options. Commuter and medical trips represent the greatest unmet need. Residents tend to travel to both Salem and Albany for work and shopping, and university students tend to travel to Albany (LBCC) or Corvallis (OSU). Anecdotally, residents are seen walking, hitchhiking or bicycling to work in Albany, indicated a need for improved commuter connections.
- **Needs in Millersburg.** The Millersburg Transportation System Plan has a strong emphasis on improving the bicycle and pedestrian network and less emphasis on public transportation. An aging population may point to a need for improved non-driving options in the future, however. In the short-term, existing Call-A-Ride services can be advertised on the City’s website.
- **Ridership in Albany has increased considerably in recent years.** While this trend has slowed, high demand for transit service exists in the community and may require increased services to meet the demand.
- **Regional connections are important.** The Linn-Benton Loop carries more passengers each day than all of Albany’s local routes, combined. Additionally, there are many people who live in Albany but commute to work or school in Corvallis, and students who take classes in both cities. This demonstrates an important need to maintain and improve regional connections.
- **The busiest stops indicate the important needs of passengers.** The busiest stops on all routes demonstrate how important access is to colleges and university, shopping centers and grocery stores, and transfer locations between routes. The on-board survey found 49 percent of passengers in Albany are affiliated with OSU or LBCC. Additionally, stop-level boarding data show a high concentration of ridership activity in the Heritage Plaza area. This demonstrates the importance transit service provides to people who rely upon ATS for daily errands.

- **Passengers utilize the transfers between routes to connect themselves to the region.** Passengers value the timed transfers between Routes 2 and 3 to travel regionally. Additionally, many passengers who travel between Corvallis and Albany during the midday depend upon transfers to connect them to their final destination. Despite its importance and simplicity, the process of transferring, and the fare associated with the transfer are not well understood or easy to find for new transit users.
- **Lack of services in smaller communities.** Local bus service is limited to the City of Albany and Call-A-Ride service only operates in Albany and Millersburg. Residents of smaller communities depend upon health care, shopping and other services in Albany; however, there is no direct transit service from these communities into Albany.
- **Fixed-route service in Albany needs to better serve locations frequented by seniors and those with a disability.** The current route design and schedules, along with barriers limiting access transit result in overreliance on the Call-A-Ride and medical/shopper shuttle services.

▪ Pedestrian System

Formatted: Heading 2

Pedestrian [facility](#) deficiencies were identified on the regionally significant corridors (arterials and collectors)³⁵. Pedestrian [facility](#) deficiencies include areas with either gaps within an existing sidewalk, lack of a dedicated pedestrian facility, or pedestrian facilities with major safety concerns. There are considerable pedestrian facility gaps in the outlying areas (nearly 55 percent of the regionally significant roadways) including the outer areas of Albany and the surrounding cities, Millersburg, Jefferson and Tangent. Incomplete sidewalk coverage includes a lack of dedicated pedestrian facilities as well as sidewalks on only one side of a street. Figure 7-1 shows locations with pedestrian rating “poor” (lack sidewalks). Complete sidewalk coverage will increase pedestrian mobility within and between the outlying areas as well as support future growth.

Pedestrian Safety

While the pedestrian connectivity within the Albany area is generally adequate, there are potential safety concerns. Two locations, the first along the US 20 couplet (Ellsworth Street and Lyons Street) through downtown Albany and the second area surrounding Heritage Plaza Shopping Center, have experienced a high number of vehicle-pedestrian crashes.

Formatted: Normal

³⁵ Additional gaps or deficiencies on the local system were not identified.

ADA Requirements

A high-level review of the ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) ~~facilities design standards~~ within the AAMPO area revealed that the ADA ~~some areas on not ADA compliant~~~~compliance is incomplete~~. Generally, the recently rehabilitated or constructed roadways, such as North Albany Road or Oak Street in Albany³⁶, have been designed to meet ADA requirements while older areas ~~have incomplete ADA design features~~~~are not compliant~~. For example, there are inconsistent curb ramps at the intersection of 9th Avenue/Calapooia Street in Albany,³⁶. A separate study is necessary to fully evaluate ADA compliance within the AAMPO area. Furthermore, additional work is needed to address ADA accessibility from AAMPO from the organizational, program, and project levels.

Pedestrian Needs from Prior Plans

Additional needs with regional significance that have been identified in past planning efforts include:


- Most pedestrian generators have adequate pedestrian facilities, however several areas in North Albany adjacent to schools and parks lacked sidewalk connections. (Albany TSP)
- Albany needs approximately 7.5 miles of trails as of the 2006 plan date and will need over 9.5 miles in the year 2015. (Albany Park and Recreation Master Plan)
- Development of a regional trails plan (Linn County Park and Recreation Plan)
- Enhancement of access to the Willamette River. -Hyak Park sits just outside of AAMPO along US 20. (Benton County Natural Areas and Parks Plan)
- Support for capitalizing on the large number of low-traffic roadways, existing trails, and railroad corridors to connect communities, natural areas, parks and other destinations (Benton County Natural Areas and Parks Plan)
- Collaborative management for a more organized and connected system of parks and trails (Benton County Natural Areas and Parks Plan)
- Conducting a gap analysis with partner agencies to identify priority multimodal path linkages that will have minimal impact on private property (Benton County Natural Areas and Parks Plan)
- Developing recreation facilities that support popular activities – boating, walking, picnicking, fishing, camping, and swimming (Marion County Parks Master Plan)

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Normal

³⁶ Memorandum: Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Regional Transportation Plan DRAFT Technical Memorandum #4: Existing Conditions, prepared by DKS Associates, August 10, 2015

Figure 7-1: "Poor" Pedestrian Locations (Sidewalk Gaps) on Major Roadways



Formatted: Normal

Bicycle System

Bicycle [facility](#) deficiencies were identified on the regionally significant corridors (arterials and collectors)³⁷. Bicycle facilities connecting the Albany area to the outlying areas south to Tangent, west to North Albany and north to Millersburg rate poorly and are characterized by high levels of traffic stress (LTS). Figure 7-2 shows locations with LTS 3 and 4, which indicate areas that only experienced riders would be typically willing to ride. Such locations are not attractive to inexperienced riders, including those riding with children. Improving the bicycle facilities to have little to moderate levels of traffic stress can increase bicycle connectivity and provide employees with comfortable multi-modal commuter options. Rural character segments³⁸ that currently have high levels of traffic stress and anticipate at least a 50 percent increase in motor vehicle volume growth (relative to existing traffic volumes) include:

- Ellingson Road
- Lochner Road
- Grand Prairie Road (east of Lexington Street)
- Knox Butte Road (east of Scrael Hill Road)
- Scrael Hill Road
- Scenic Drive
- Oak Grove Drive
- Palestine Avenue
- OR 164 (west of the Santiam River)

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

• Urban segments that currently have high levels of traffic stress and anticipate at least a 50 percent increase in motor vehicle volume growth (relative to existing volumes) include:

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

- 1st Avenue
- 2nd Avenue
- Grand Prairie Road (west of Lexington Street)
- Columbus Street
- Seven Mile Lane
- Goldfish Farm Road
- Dogwood Avenue
- Knox Butte Road (west of Scrael Hill Road)
- Quarry Road
- Valley View Drive
- Old Salem Road
- OR 164 (east of the Santiam River)
- Main Street/Jefferson-Scio Drive
- North Avenue/Marion Road
- Portions of US 20
- Portions of OR 99E

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"


³⁷ Additional gaps or deficiencies on the local system were not identified.

³⁸ Low volume, higher speed roadways near the edges of the MPO boundary.


Bicycle Safety

The two locations—the US 20 couplet (Ellsworth Street and Lyons Street) through downtown Albany and the area surrounding Heritage Plaza Shopping Center—were identified as high vehicle-bicycle crash areas.

Figure 7-2: High Stress Bicycle Locations (LTS 3 or 4) on Major Roadways



Formatted: Normal



Formatted: Normal

Bicycle Needs from Prior Plans

Additional needs with regional significance that have been identified in past planning efforts include:

Formatted: Normal

- Support facilities, such as secure parking and worksite changing facilities, are also needed to make bicycling a practical alternative. (Albany TSP)
- The great majority of crashes occurred on dedicated bikeways, apart from the US 20 Lyon/Ellsworth couplet, which does not have bicycle facilities but had four bicycle crashes during the study period. Future investment in the bicycle network should focus on improving the performance and safety of existing bicycle routes, in addition to creating new routes such as off-street paths and/or bicycle boulevards. (Albany TSP)
- Inventory and identify bike lane gaps (Linn County Parks and Recreation Plan, Marion County Parks Master Plan)

ITS System

The ITS infrastructure within the AAMPO area is limited. The *Central Willamette Valley ITS Plan* and the *I-5 Optimization Study* identified many opportunities to update and enhance the management and operation of the transportation throughout the AAMPO region. The needs are captured under the following categories.

Traffic Operations and Management

- Upgrade ODOT traffic signal controllers to the current ODOT standard.
- Connect ODOT traffic signal controllers to central traffic control system to allow remote access to traffic signals, and provide central control and remote access to city of Albany traffic signals.
- Regularly maintain coordinated signal timing plans and consider advanced traffic signal timing where appropriate.
- Provide video surveillance on key regional corridors.
- Collect real-time road condition information on regional corridors to support day-to-day operations, particularly during peak hours.

Public Transportation Management

- Track all public transportation vehicles to support dispatch, real-time transit arrival information, and transit route planning.
- Add computer-aided dispatch (CAD) capabilities for public transportation services and include mobile data terminals (MDTs) in public transportation vehicles.
- Collect real-time travel conditions information to support public transportation dispatch.
- Explore options to share technology (e.g. automated vehicle location, computer-aided dispatch) to reduce capital, maintenance, and operations costs for public transportation agencies within the region.

Formatted: Heading 2

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: List Bullet

- Use a regional fare collection system to support easy transfer between the various regional public transportation providers.

Traveler Information

- Provide real-time information about the entire transportation system.
- Disseminate real-time information about major events that impact travel and parking (e.g. incidents, OSU football games).
- Provide wayside information dissemination (e.g. dynamic message signs, highway advisory radio) on key regional routes.

Data Management and Performance Measurement

- Improve ease of data sharing between agencies.
- Measure travel times to support traditional planning efforts and system operations.
- Collect and archive regional traffic count data.

Incident and Emergency Management

- Establish clearer protocols between transportation and emergency response agencies for event management.
- Use more video surveillance for incident detection and verification.
- Consider roadway restrictions (e.g. weight limits) prior to selecting diversion routes in response to an event.
- Manage diverted traffic on OR 99E when there is a major event on I-5.
- Provide traffic video surveillance and real-time traffic flow conditions to 911 centers.
- Provide accurate construction and maintenance schedule information to 911 centers.

•TDM System

No additional Transportation Demand Management (TDM) needs have been identified. See the *System Management* section of *Chapter 4: Existing Transportation System* for discussion of existing TDM programs.

Rail Freight System

At grade rail crossings create both travel time and connectivity issues within the AAMPO area. Albany and Jefferson have identified railroad blockage issues creating delay for other modes. The City of Tangent is concerned with connectivity issues regarding emergency vehicles.

The following rail freight needs have been identified in prior plans:

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Heading 2

- The Albany Rail Yard, situated just north of Queen Avenue on the east side of OR 99E, is a crossing point for all of the UPRR rail lines in Albany and is one of the most capacity-constrained segments on the UPRR, resulting in long delays while passing trains await permissions to cross. (Albany TSP)
- To help minimize the blockage at the Queen Avenue crossing, the Albany Rail Corridor Improvement Project³⁹ will add a short section of track in Albany to connect the Toledo Branch directly to the Millersburg Yard. The nearly \$8.7 million dollar project will also rehabilitate the Millersburg Yard. The additional track will allow switching movements and training building to move from the Albany Yard to the Millersburg Yard.
- Retain at-grade rail crossings, if possible. Having multiple crossings helps provide secondary routes for emergency vehicles serving the area and creates more opportunities for street connectivity, especially benefiting bicyclists and pedestrians. (Tangent TSP)
- Improvements to alleviate railroad blockages, which may include grade separated crossings (Jefferson TSP)

Formatted: List Bullet

Intermodal Freight Facility

Recent changes to shipping operations at the Port of Portland have created the need for an intermodal freight facility in the Willamette Valley. Due to these changes, Oregon businesses more frequently truck their goods north to the Port of Tacoma or Port of Seattle or south to the Port of Oakland or Port of San Francisco to access overseas shipping ports. These extra trucking miles have both economic and transportation impacts, including contributions to traffic congestion, increased vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT), increased greenhouse gas emissions, and higher shipping costs.

Formatted: Normal

Rail can help alleviate these impacts. An intermodal freight facility located in the Willamette Valley would provide rail as an alternative for the region and help reduce trucking miles. The region would benefit from the ability to bring goods by rail and then transfer to truck for local delivery. Intermodal transportation facilities benefit the overall transportation system by reducing heavy truck VMT while spreading the shipment of freight over multiple transportation modes such as rail and sea.

Additional benefits include:

- **Lower costs.** Shippers can take advantage of lower rates, more predictable pricing, and the flexibility of loading and unloading goods in a dropped trailer environment, which reduces handling costs.
- **Environmentally friendly.** Reduced carbon emissions through reduce heavy truck VMT as well as truck related congestion through the entire shipping corridor.

Formatted: List Bullet

³⁹ ConnectOregon II Projects, ODOT & Oregon Transportation Commission, June 2008.

- **Reliability, capacity, and safety advantages.** Multi-modal systems reduce reliance on single modes that may be limited or impacted due to facility access, long hauling distances and heavy truck volumes on congested highways.

- Millersburg is a strong candidate for an intermodal freight facility due to its access to two rail lines, access to major highways, and existing infrastructure. The two rail lines, Union Pacific (UP) and Portland and Western Railroad (PNWR), that travel through Millersburg provide higher connectivity and the ability to easily switch between the rail lines, which could result in both cost and time savings. Millersburg is also adjacent to I-5 and is within 20 miles of four other major highways: US 20, OR 99, OR 34 and OR 22.

The potential intermodal freight facility site in Millersburg, the former paper mill site adjacent to Old Salem Road, can accommodate the facility at opening. This site of approximately 160 acres is located in an existing industrial zone with municipal sewer and water available on site.

Transportation infrastructure for truck-freight already existing on surrounding roadways and upgrades are already planned for future capacity and efficiency both on the local system and via improved access to I-5. In addition, the proposed site is located near industrial-zoned land owned by the City of Millersburg that is available for development of complimentary industrial uses, creating the potential for a synergistic effect. The 12-acre truck stop facility east of Old Salem Road and south of the South Jefferson I-5 interchange was recently approved. All local governments in the AAMPO have expressed support for the development of an intermodal facility on this site.

Formatted: Normal

Chapter 8: Recommended Improvements

Formatted: Heading 1

Chapter 8: From the transportation system improvements identified as needed to address existing and future needs within the AAMPO area up to the year 2040, a set of projects was prioritized to provide a reasonably likely financially constrained project list that can be used to develop the AAMPO RTP Framework. Ultimately, the project list will drive regional project priorities and will be used by AAMPO to develop future TIP lists. The following sections include a description of the funding assumptions, a summary of the process used to develop and prioritize the project list, and the final transportation solution package options.

More detail can be found in Technical Memorandum #10: Transportation Solution Package Identification, in Technical Memorandum #13: Evaluation of Solution, and in the Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Transit Development Plan.

Funding Assumptions

Formatted: Heading 2

Regional transportation funding was reviewed to estimate potential transportation funds that would be available for transportation capital projects through 2040. The review considered both historical and projected revenue sources and expenses (including operations and maintenance needs) at the city, county, regional, and state levels. The analysis indicated that approximately \$173 million (2016 dollars) is projected to be available for capital improvements through 2040. However, this amount could be reduced given additional local needs improvements on City local street networks. The City of Albany provided guidance on the amount of public and private funds that are anticipated to be available for regional capital transportation projects⁴⁰.

Recent developments, not included in the initial funding assumptions, have changed the outlook for state funding over the planning horizon. House Bill 2017 (HB 2017) introduced new, or increased existing, taxes and fees, including the state gas tax and vehicle registration fees. HB 2017 directs significant new revenue to earmarked projects, but should also result in additional funding to some local jurisdictions. In addition, HB 2017 established a new source of public transportation funding to expand transit services in Oregon, which has been incorporated into the Transit Development Plan referenced in later in this Chapter. The following estimates can be considered as additional funding on top of the initial funding assumptions:

- New Transit Funds (each year)
 - Benton County, \$2.4M
 - Linn County, \$2.4M
- Additional Potential Local Infrastructure and Maintenance Funds (each year)

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 2 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Formatted: List Bullet, No bullets or numbering

⁴⁰ Albany Capital Project Revenues, Letter from Chris Bailey and Jeff Blaine, City of Albany, January 19, 2016.

- Albany, \$1.3M
- Millersburg, \$44K
- Tangent, \$30K
- Jefferson, \$74K
- Linn County, \$3.5M
- Benton County, \$2M
- Marion County, \$7.6M

HB 2017 has also dedicated funds for two projects within the AAMPO area. One project involves safety upgrades along US 20 between Albany and Corvallis (which may include some improvements within the AAMPO boundary). The other project involves construction of a Mid-Willamette Valley Intermodal Facility. While a final location has not been determined, Millersburg, Oregon has been identified as a potential location for the facility. These projects are included on the Aspirational Project List.

In addition, ODOT has modified the process for selecting projects that receive STIP funding. The new process follows the All Roads Transportation Safety Program (ARTS); local agencies can receive funding for projects off the state system. Preferred projects are expected to be those that enhance system connectivity and improve multi-modal travel options. With the updated TSP, the City will be well positioned to apply for STIP funding.

Project Development

The analysis and findings from a comparative analysis of two aspirational future scenarios for the AAMPO was used to help guide the Technical Analysis Committee (TAC) in shaping investment strategies for AAMPO. The comparative analysis was performed using a tool developed by the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) called Mosaic, which is used for value and cost informed planning.

Financially Constrained Project List

The Financially Constrained Plan is a group of approximately 119 projects developed to meet the growing transportation needs of the region through the year 2040. As anticipated funding becomes available, these projects will be implemented. This plan includes the projects that will provide the most benefit to the region and has been approved by the MPO Board. The total package is estimated to cost \$170 million. The Financially Constrained project list is shown in Figure 8-1 and outlined in Table 8-1.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 2 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Aspirational Project List

Two additional groups of projects were considered as optional investment strategies if more funding becomes available. Each of these scenarios was analyzed to determine the benefits it could provide.

Capacity Improvement Project List. This group of 13 projects focuses on improving auto capacity, primarily for OR 99E and US 20 and includes a new bridge crossing of the Willamette River between Millersburg and North Albany. This scenario did not advance because analysis showed insufficient benefit in travel times as compared to its cost..

Congestion Management Project List. This group of 48 projects focuses on managing congestion and providing connections on existing corridors to better serve travelers. The anticipated cost of this group of projects is \$106 million (in addition to the \$170 million in the financially constrained project list). This scenario provides measurable benefit to the community, including:

- Safety improvements could provide the highest return on investment for the AAMPO area, specially in areas with high injury crash rates.
- Increases in transit access and service could more than double transit ridership in the region.
- Active transportation projects provide significant quality of life benefits for lower costs than auto-oriented improvements.

The Congestion Management Scenario formed the basis of the Aspirational Project List, shown in Figure 8-2 and outlined in Table 8-2.

Transit Development Plan

The Transit Development Plan (TDP) is a guide for regional investment in public transportation. The Transit Development Plan focuses on public transportation services operated by the City of Albany: Albany Transit System, Albany Call-A-Ride, and the Linn-Benton Loop. The TDP recommends incremental improvements to make the local bus services faster; easier for riders and prospective riders to understand; and more convenient. Key recommendations from the TDP are shown in Figure 8-3, Figure 8-4, and [Figure 8-5](#). See *Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Transit Development Plan* for additional details.

Financially Constrained Project List


Based on transportation funding assumptions, regional needs, and the evaluation process, the Financially Constrained Project List was developed to address the region's transportation need for the next 20 years

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: List Bullet

Formatted: Heading 2

Figure 8-1: Financially Constrained Project List



Formatted: Normal

Table 8-1: Financially Constrained Project List

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
BC1	Corvallis to Albany Trail	Hwy 20	Scenic Dr to Springhill Rd	Construct off highway multiuse path	1.72	Benton County	\$ 2,434,000	New Multi-Use Path
BC5	Palestine Ave/Oak Grove Dr Re-alignment	Palestine Ave/Oak Grove Dr	Palestine Ave/Oak Grove Dr	Intersection re-alignment	-	Benton County	\$ 397,000	Intersection Safety Improvement
BC7	US 20/Scenic Dr Intersection Improvements	US 20/Scenic Dr	US 20/Scenic Dr	Add turn lanes	-	Benton County	\$ 1,100,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A6	14th Ave Sharrows	14th Ave	Waverly Dr to Center St	Install painted "Sharrows" in the bike lane gaps on 14th Avenue from Waverly Drive to Center Street. Painting a shared right-of-way (sharrow) symbol on the pavement does not require parking removal.	0.31	City of Albany	\$ 2,000	Bike Improvement
A7	Waverly Dr Sharrows	Waverly Dr	99E to US 20	Install bike "Sharrows" on Waverly Drive between Oregon 99E and US 20. Painting a shared right-of-way (sharrow) symbol on the pavement does not require parking removal.	0.37	City of Albany	\$ 5,000	Bike Improvement
A8	24th Ave Sharrows	24th Ave	Geary St to Hill St	Install bike "Sharrows" on both sides of 24th Avenue between Geary Street and Hill Street. Painting a shared right-of-way (sharrow) symbol on the pavement does not require parking removal. This is a separate project from B18 because this section of 24th Avenue is a collector rather than an local street.	0.13	City of Albany	\$ 5,000	Bike Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List									
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type	
A11	Lyon St Sharrows	Lyon St	9th Ave to Willamette River	Install painted "Sharrows" in the bike lane gaps on Lyon Street from 9th Avenue to the Willamette River (no sharrows needed on bridge due to shoulder). Painting a shared right-of-way (sharrow) symbol on the pavement does not require parking removal. This project is contingent upon ODOT approval, inclusion of sharrows in the MUTCD, and the associated guidance in the MUTCD.	0.78	City of Albany	\$ 2,000	Bike Improvement	
A12	Ellsworth St Sharrows	Ellsworth St	9th Ave to Springhill Dr	Install painted "Sharrows" in the bike lane gaps on Ellsworth Street from 9th Avenue to Springhill Drive, including Ellsworth Street bridge. Painting a shared right-of-way (sharrow) symbol on the pavement does not require parking removal. This project is contingent upon ODOT approval, inclusion of sharrows in the MUTCD, and the associated guidance in the MUTCD.	0.76	City of Albany	\$ 4,000	Bike Improvement	

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A17	US 20/Springhill Dr Intersection Capacity Upgrade	US 20/Springhill Dr	US 20/Springhill Dr	Convert southbound right-turn to a shared left-right lane, creating dual-southbound lefts on Springhill Road. Relocate westbound stop bar on US 20 of inside lane 10-20 feet east of current location. Lengthen cycle length to 120 seconds and develop coordination between North Albany Road and Springhill Road along US 20. Design of the intersection should allow for right-turns on red for southbound vehicles if feasible.	-	City of Albany	\$ 14,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A18	Knox Butte Rd/Century Dr Interim Signal	Knox Butte Rd/Century Dr	Knox Butte Rd/Century Dr	If warranted, install an interim traffic signal. This signal may be removed when the intersection is reconstructed by ODOT.	-	City of Albany	\$ 345,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A20	Timber St Extension	Timber St	US 20 to Three Lakes Rd	Right- of-way acquisition for extension Timber Street south of US 20 to connect to the Three Lakes Rd/Spicer Dr intersection. The design and alignment review will be completed with the I-5 Corridor Study (project S10). Alternate routes for the Industrial Way ingress/egress will be considered.	0.33	City of Albany	\$ 966,000	New Roadway
A23	Knox Butte Rd Widening ROW	Knox Butte Rd	I-5 to Clover Ridge Rd	ROW Acquisition for I-5 to Clover Ridge Rd portion of Knox Butte Rd widening project.	0.43	City of Albany	\$ 1,478,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement
A24	Knox Butte Rd Widening ROW	Knox Butte Rd	Clover Ridge Rd to Goldfish Farm Rd	ROW Acquisition for Clover Ridge Rd to Goldfish Farm Rd portion of Knox Butte Rd widening project.	0.44	City of Albany	\$ 31,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A25	Three Lakes Rd Realignment ROW	Three Lakes Rd	Kelly Supply Company to Grand Prairie Rd	ROW required to realign the short roadway segment that includes the 90-degree curves to a typical three-lane roadway to improve the horizontal alignment.	0.33	City of Albany	\$ 750,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement
A26	Gibson Hill Rd Improvements	Gibson Hill Rd	Scenic Dr to North Albany Rd	Urbanization: Add 6-foot wide asphalt sidewalks set back from the roadway on both side, curb, and gutter, and bicycle lanes from Scenic Drive to the roundabout at North Albany Road. Consider rural design standard with setback sidewalks (includes BC2, A32)	1.25	City of Albany	\$ 5,350,000	Modernization
A27	Crocker Ln Improvements LID	Crocker Ln	Meadowwood Dr to Valley View Dr	LID for adding sidewalk, curb, and gutter from Meadowwood Drive to Valley View Drive.	1.1	City of Albany	\$ 1,721,000	Modernization
A28	Lochner Rd Improvements - North	Lochner Rd	Youth Authority to 34th Ave	Add sidewalk, curb, gutter, and bike lanes to Lochner Road and Marion Road.	0.63	City of Albany	\$ 3,722,000	Modernization
A29	Three Lakes Rd Improvements ROW	Three Lakes Rd	Spicer Road to Grand Prairie Rd	ROW acquisition for adding sidewalk, curb, gutter, and bike lanes from Spicer Road to Grand Prairie Road, excluding Three Lakes Road realignment at 90-degree curves.	0.68	City of Albany	\$ 287,000	Modernization
A31	Queen/Geary Periwinkle Path	Periwinkle Trail	Queen Ave/Geary St	Construct multi-use path improvement by widening the sidewalk to connect the Periwinkle Trail through the Queen Avenue/Geary Street intersection	-	City of Albany	\$ 46,000	New Multi-Use Path

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A34	Hwy 20 Corridor and Downtown Refinement Plan	Hwy 20	Hwy 20 Corridor and Downtown Albany	Conduct a Highway 20 Corridor and Downtown Refinement Plan that extends to I-5 to look at regional bridge capacity needs, potential bridge locations, other corridor and intersection needs, and continue through permitting process.	-	City of Albany	\$ 250,000	Study
A35	Safety Audit	Geary St/Salem Ave, Geary St/14th Ave, Queen Ave/Hill St	Geary St/Salem Ave, Geary St/14th Ave, Queen Ave/Hill St	Intersection Safety Audit/Study at Geary Street/Salem Avenue, Geary Street/14th Avenue, and Queen Avenue/Hill Street. Consider countermeasures for rear-end and turning-type crashes.	-	City of Albany	\$ 30,000	Study
A38	34th Ave/Marion St Signal	34th Ave/Marion St	34th Ave/Marion St	Install a new traffic signal.	-	City of Albany	\$ 345,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A43	US 20/Clay St Intersection Capacity Upgrade	US 20/Clay St	US 20/Clay St	Restripe intersection lane markings and convert left-turn phasing on Clay Street to protected-permissive with the flashing yellow arrow signal head. Install exclusive eastbound right-turn lane on US 20.	-	City of Albany	\$ 185,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A44	US 20 (Ellsworth St)/1st Ave Signal Mod	US 20 (Ellsworth St)/1st Ave	US 20 (Ellsworth St)/1st Ave	Implement actuated-coordinated signal control. Shorten pedestrian crossing distance across Ellsworth Street. Extend cycle length to 70 seconds, and develop timing plans with offsets that facilitate southbound and westbound progression.	-	City of Albany	\$ 18,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A48	Timber St Extension/18th Ave/Spicer Dr Roundabout	Timber St Extension/18th Ave/Spicer Dr	Timber St Extension/18th Ave/Spicer Dr	ROW acquisition for a roundabout as the traffic control for the new intersection of Three Lakes Road/18th Street/Timber Street/Spicer Road. The north extension of Spicer Road will not connect to the intersection. Rather, it will become a local street with a cul-de-sac located northwest of the roundabout.	-	City of Albany	\$ 650,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A49	Main St, 7th Ave, Hill St improvements	Main St, 7th Ave, Hill St	Santiam Rd to 7th Ave, Main St to Hill St, 7th Ave to Pacific Blvd	Reconstruct Main Street with new sidewalk, curb, and gutter from Santiam Road to 7th Avenue. Repave 7th Avenue between Main Street and Hill Street, then reconstruct Hill Street with new sidewalk, curb, and gutter from 7th Avenue to Pacific Boulevard.	0.28	City of Albany	\$ 1,292,000	Rehabilitation
A50	West Timber-Linn Trail	New Trail	Timber-Linn Park to South Shore Dr	Construct multi-use path to connect Timber-Linn Park to South Shore Drive (assumes that I-5 undercrossing will not require widening and/or additional excavation of the existing creek under-crossing, or that any such widening will occur as part of I-5 reconstruction).	0.51	City of Albany	\$ 161,000	New Multi-Use Path
A54	34th Ave/Hill St Signal	34th Ave/Hill St	34th Ave/Hill St	Install 100-foot northbound and southbound left-turn lanes, and a new traffic signal.	-	City of Albany	\$ 350,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A55	Hill St Reconstruction	Hill St	Queen Ave to 34th Ave	The project will reconstruct 1.03 miles of Hill Street. The existing pavement is heavily deteriorated. In addition to new pavement the project will add on-street bike lanes to the street and retain on-street parking. Curb ramps at intersections will be upgraded to meet current ADA standards. The project is on Hill Street and will extend 1.03 miles from Queen Avenue south to 34th Avenue.	1.03	City of Albany	\$ 6,100,000	Rehabilitation
A56	US 20 Bike Lanes	US 20	Willamette River west to UGB	Convert shoulders to bike lanes on US 20 in North Albany from Willamette River (including the Lyon Street bridge which has an existing shoulder) to UGB.	1.83	City of Albany	\$ 31,000	Bike Improvement
A62	Liberty/Lakewood Bike Boulevard	Liberty St and Lakewood St	24th Ave to 99E	Install bike boulevard treatments including wayfinding, traffic calming, and intersections treatments as deemed necessary on Liberty/Lakewood from 24th Avenue to Oregon 99E.	0.73	City of Albany	\$ 76,000	Bike Improvement
A63	Bain St Bike Boulevard	Bain St	99E to US 20	Install bike boulevard treatments including wayfinding, traffic calming, and intersections treatments as deemed necessary on Bain Street from Oregon 99E to US 20.	0.48	City of Albany	\$ 49,000	Bike Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A64	South Shore Dr Bike Boulevard	South Shore Dr	Bain St to Airport Rd	Install bike boulevard treatments including wayfinding, traffic calming, and intersections treatments as deemed necessary on Southshore Drive from Bain Street to Airport Road.	0.55	City of Albany	\$ 33,000	Bike Improvement
A65	Shortridge St Bike Boulevard	Shortridge St	US 20 to 14th Ave	Install bike boulevard treatments including wayfinding, traffic calming, and intersections treatments as deemed necessary on Shortridge Street from US 20 to 14th Avenue.	0.26	City of Albany	\$ 27,000	Bike Improvement
A66	24th Ave Bike Boulevard	24th Ave	Marion St to Hill St	Install bike boulevard treatments including wayfinding, traffic calming, and intersections treatments as deemed necessary on 24th Avenue from Hill Street to Marion Street.	0.43	City of Albany	\$ 44,000	Bike Improvement
A75	US 20/Waverly Dr Intersection Capacity Upgrade	US 20/Waverly Dr	US 20/Waverly Dr	Install second westbound left-turn lane and eastbound right-turn lane on US 20. Install northbound right-turn overlap, add another southbound through lane on Waverly Drive. Obtain right-of-way for an additional northbound through lane at time impacted parcels redevelop and construct when warranted (cost for this improvement identified separately under other costs).	-	City of Albany	\$ 1,093,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A76	OR 99E/Queen Ave Intersection Capacity Upgrade	OR 99E/Queen Ave	OR 99E/Queen Ave	Install northbound and southbound right-turn lanes on OR 99E. On Queen Avenue, add second westbound and eastbound left-turn lanes, and extend eastbound right-turn lane to 200-feet. Review pavement and drainage quality to ensure sufficiency.	-	City of Albany	\$ 894,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A82	Timber St Extension/18th Ave/Spicer Dr Roundabout	Timber St Extension/18th Ave/Spicer Dr	Timber St Extension/18th Ave/Spicer Dr	Develop a roundabout as the traffic control for the new intersection of Three Lakes Road/18th Street/Timber Street/Spicer Road. The north extension of Spicer Road will not connect to the intersection. Rather, it will become a local street with a cul-de-sac located northwest of the roundabout.	-	City of Albany	\$ 863,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A92	Waverly Dr Capacity Improvements	Waverly Dr	Queen Ave to Grand Prairie Rd	Widen Waverly Drive to a 4-lane cross-section between Queen Avenue and Grand Prairie Road using two southbound lanes, one northbound lane and a two-way left-turn lane. This project will maintain sidewalks and bicycle lanes, but remove on-street parking on Waverly in this segment due to ROW constraints.	0.75	City of Albany	\$ 1,394,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A106	Knox Butte Rd Widening	Knox Butte Rd	I-5 to Clover Ridge Rd	Widens Knox Butte Road to five lanes eastbound from I-5 to Clover Ridge Road. Includes bike lanes, sidewalks, curb, and gutter on both sides of the roadway. Right-of-way acquisition will occur in the short-term (and be 100% SDC eligible) with construction occurring in the long-term. Alternative access to the RV Park located on Expo Parkway, potentially to access Knox Butte Road, should be considered as traffic volumes on Expo Parkway increase. Final design should mitigate access and driveway impact to the houses that remain	0.43	City of Albany	\$ 1,901,400	Roadway Capacity Improvement
A107	Knox Butte Rd Widening	Knox Butte Rd	Clover Ridge Rd to Goldfish Farm Rd	Widens Knox Butte Road to four lanes from Clover Ridge Road to Goldfish Farm Road. Includes bike lanes, sidewalks, curb, and gutter on both sides of the roadway. Right-of-way acquisition will occur in the short-term (and be 100% SDC eligible) with construction occurring in the long-term.	0.12	City of Albany	\$ 825,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement
A108	Knox Butte Rd Widening	Knox Butte Rd	Goldfish Farm Rd to new North/South Collector	Widens Knox Butte Road to three lanes from Goldfish Farm Road to the new North/South Collector including the Burkhart Creek bridge. Includes bike lanes, sidewalks, curb, and gutter on both sides of the roadway.	0.32	City of Albany	\$ 1,256,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A109	Knox Butte Rd Widening	Knox Butte Rd	New North/South Collector east to UGB	Urban upgrade of Knox Butte Road from the new North/South Collector to the urban growth boundary. Includes bike lanes, sidewalks, curb, and gutter on both sides of the roadway.	1.82	City of Albany	\$ 7,688,000	Modernization
A111	Springhill Rd Widening	Springhill Rd	US 20 to railroad crossing	Widens Springhill Road to two lanes northbound and southbound from US 20 to north of Hickory Road then transition to three lanes across the rail crossing. Springhill Road is under Benton County jurisdiction and this project is not in their 2001 TSP.	0.5	City of Albany	\$ 3,406,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement
A112	US 20 Widening	US 20	North Albany Rd west to the UGB	Widens US 20 to two lanes eastbound and westbound and add sidewalk, curb, and gutter from North Albany Road west to the urban growth boundary.	1.55	City of Albany	\$ 8,351,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement
A113	Ellingson Rd Extension	Ellingson Rd	Columbus Ave to I-5 overcrossing	Extends Ellingson Road from Columbus Avenue to Interstate 5 overcrossing at Seven Mile Lane. Realign Seven Mile Lane on the west side of I-5 to align with current Ellingson Road, forming a four-leg intersection at Columbus Street. This section of Ellingson Road should be evaluated for the need to preserve right-of-way for a future five-lane section at the next TSP Update. Project cost assumes ROW will be dedicated.	0.92	City of Albany	\$ 4,430,000	New Roadway

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A118	Albany Ave Widening	Albany Ave	Old Salem Rd to Pacific Hwy	Widen Albany Avenue to four lanes. Includes widening bridge structure. Project cost assumes ROW will be dedicated.	0.2	City of Albany	\$ 1,177,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement
A120	Springhill Dr Improvements	Springhill Dr	RR crossing north to UGB	Add sidewalk, curb, and gutter from the railroad to urban growth boundary. US 20 to railroad is Project A111 (Albany TSP L26). Coordinate project with Benton County.	0.96	City of Albany	\$ 4,158,000	Modernization
A121	Scenic Dr Improvements	Scenic Dr	Scenic Woods PI north to UGB	Add sidewalk, bike lane, curb, and gutter from east of Scenic Woods Place to northern urban growth boundary. Coordinate project with Benton County. Project cost assumes ROW will be dedicated.	1.6	City of Albany	\$ 6,842,000	Modernization
A122	Century Dr Improvements	Century Dr	Dunlap Ave north to UGB	Add sidewalk, bike lane, curb, and gutter from Dunlap Avenue to northern urban growth boundary. Project cost assumes ROW will be dedicated.	0.77	City of Albany	\$ 3,199,000	Modernization
A123	Skyline Dr Improvements	Skyline Dr	Gibson Hill Rd to Mirada St	Add sidewalk, curb and gutter, and bicycle lanes or sharrows depending upon volumes and right-of-way constraints from Gibson Hill Road to Mirada Street.	0.34	City of Albany	\$ 1,523,000	Modernization
A124	Crocker Ln Improvements	Crocker Ln	Gibson Hill Rd to Meadowwood Dr	Add sidewalk, curb, and gutter from Gibson Hill Road to Meadowwood Drive.	1.1	City of Albany	\$ 2,808,000	Modernization
A125	Valley View Dr Improvements	Valley View Dr	Scenic Dr to Crocker Rd	Add sidewalk, curb and gutter, and bicycle lanes from Scenic Drive to Crocker Road.	0.87	City of Albany	\$ 3,695,000	Modernization

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A126	West Thornton Lake Dr Improvements	West Thornton Lake Dr	North Albany Rd to Scenic Dr	Add sidewalk, bike lanes, curb, and gutter from North Albany Road to Scenic Drive.	1.33	City of Albany	\$ 6,097,000	Modernization
A127	Allen Ln Improvements	Allen Ln	Hwy 99 to Looney Ln	Add sidewalk, curb, and gutter from Highway 99E to Looney Lane.	0.51	City of Albany	\$ 2,689,000	Modernization
A131	Scrael Hill Rd Improvements	Scrael Hill Rd	US 20 north to UGB	Add sidewalk, curb, and gutter from US 20 (Santiam Hwy) to the urban growth boundary with a three-lane section from US 20 to north of Knox Butte Road and a two-lane section from north of Knox Butte Road to the UGB. Project cost assumes ROW for the three-lane section will be dedicated.	2.3	City of Albany	\$ 9,699,000	Modernization
A132	Quarry Rd Improvements	Quarry Rd	North Albany Rd to Springhill Dr	Add sidewalk, curb, and gutter from North Albany Road to Springhill Drive.	0.78	City of Albany	\$ 3,493,000	Modernization
A134	Goldfish Farm Rd Improvements	Goldfish Farm Rd	Dogwood Ave to US 20	Add sidewalk, curb, and gutter from Dogwood Avenue to US 20.	0.7	City of Albany	\$ 4,444,000	Modernization
A138	US 20 Improvements	US 20	I-5 east to UGB	Add sidewalk, curb, gutter, and shoulder bike lanes to US 20 from Interstate 5 to the urban growth boundary	1.3	City of Albany	\$ 2,068,000	Modernization
A140	US 20 Superelevation and Widening	US 20	US 20 bridge-head to North Albany Rd	Correct superelevation issues at intersection along US 20. Widen US 20 for a third westbound through lane between the north US 20 bridge-head and North Albany Road.	0.32	City of Albany	\$ 3,122,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A148	Bain Street/Waverly Lake Trail	New Trail Connection	Bain St to OR99 path	Construct a bike/ped bridge over Cox Creek to connect Bain Street to the existing Oregon 99E multi-use path under-crossing.	0.05	City of Albany	\$ 153,000	New Multi-Use Path
A154	Springhill Dr Sidewalks	Springhill Dr	Quarry Dr to railroad line	Construct sidewalks on both sides of Springhill Drive between Quarry Drive and the railroad line.	0.56	City of Albany	\$ 542,000	Sidewalk Infill
A156	99E: Burkhart to Waverly Ped Crossing	99E	Between Burkhart St and Waverly Dr	Construct pedestrian crossing improvement on Oregon 99E between Burkhart Street and Waverly Drive	-	City of Albany	\$ 129,000	Pedestrian Crossing Improvement
A157	Ferry St Sidewalks	Ferry St	Queen Ave to 34th Ave	Eliminate the sidewalk gaps on Ferry Street between Queen Avenue and 34th Street	1	City of Albany	\$ 725,000	Sidewalk Infill
A158	Columbus St Sidewalks	Columbus St	Del Rio Ave to 34th Ave	Eliminate the sidewalk gap on Columbus Street between Del Rio Avenue and 34th Avenue.	0.56	City of Albany	\$ 277,000	Sidewalk Infill
A159	Geary St Sidewalks	Geary St	Santiam Rd to 34th Ave	Eliminate the sidewalk gaps on Geary Street between Santiam Road and 34th Avenue.	1.73	City of Albany	\$ 791,000	Sidewalk Infill
A160	Airport Rd Sidewalks	Airport Rd	99E and I-5 SB off-ramp	Construct sidewalk on both sides of Airport Road between Oregon 99E and I-5 SB off-ramp. Construct sidewalk on the west side of Airport Road between I-5 SB off-ramp and US 20	0.92	City of Albany	\$ 485,000	Sidewalk Infill
A161	Killdeer St Sidewalks	Killdeer St	Airport Rd to Pacific Blvd	Eliminate the sidewalk gaps on Killdeer Street.	0.32	City of Albany	\$ 174,000	Sidewalk Infill

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A167	Interstate 5/OR 99E/Knox Butte	Knox Butte Rd/I-5 Ramps	Knox Butte Rd/I-5 Interchange Area	I-5 EIS includes Knox Butte interchange options and area management plan including 99E/Albany Avenue & Knox Butte/Century Drive. EIS will be followed by Design/ROW Acquisition, development of an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP), and Reconstruction. Total project cost is an estimate of the potential city contribution to the project	-	City of Albany	\$ 100,000	Study
A168	Interstate 5 / US 20 (Santiam)	I-5/US 20	I-5/US 20	I-5 EIS includes Santiam interchange options and area management plan including Hwy20/Fescue/Spicer & Hwy 20/Airport Rd. EIS will be followed by Design/ROW Acquisition, development of an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP), and Reconstruction. Total project cost is an estimate of the potential city contribution to the project.	-	City of Albany	\$ 100,000	Study
A182	Hwy 99/9th Ave/Geary St Safety Upgrades	Hwy 99/9th Ave/Geary St	Hwy 99/9th Ave/Geary St	Intersection safety upgrades	-	City of Albany	\$ 300,000	Intersection Safety Improvement
A183	Hwy 99/Pacific Ave/Geary St Signal Safety Upgrade	Hwy 99/Pacific Ave/Geary St	Hwy 99/Pacific Ave/Geary St	Signal safety upgrade	-	City of Albany	\$ 50,000	Intersection Safety Improvement
A187	Looney Ln Sidewalk	Looney Ln	Belmont Ave to Campbell Ct	Add sidewalk on east side	0.12	City of Albany	\$ 75,000	Sidewalk Infill

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A188	Liberty St Sidewalk	Liberty St	Queen Ave to 24th Ave	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.19	City of Albany	\$ 125,000	Sidewalk Infill
A189	Lexington St Sidewalk	Lexington St	Grand Prairie Rd to 30th Ave	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.12	City of Albany	\$ 55,000	Sidewalk Infill
A191	Del Rio Ave Sidewalk	Del Rio Ave	Waverly Dr to Shortridge St	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.27	City of Albany	\$ 150,000	Sidewalk Infill
A192	24th Ave West Sidewalk	24th Ave	Elm St	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.32	City of Albany	\$ 135,000	Sidewalk Infill
A193	28th Ave Sidewalk	28th Ave	Pine St to Geary St	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.1	City of Albany	\$ 40,000	Sidewalk Infill
A194	Belmont Ave Sidewalk	Belmont Ave	Looney Ln to Piedmont Pl	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.1	City of Albany	\$ 50,000	Sidewalk Infill
A195	24th Ave Reconstruction	24th Ave	Jackson St to Geary St	The project will reconstruct 0.66 miles of 24th Avenue. The existing pavement is heavily deteriorated. In addition to new pavement the project will construct infill sidewalks to improve access to Sunrise Elementary School, upgrade curb ramps at intersections to meet current ADA standards, and construct bicycle boulevard improvement as identified in Albany's TSP. The project is on 24th Avenue and will extend 0.66 miles from Jackson Street east to Geary Street.	0.96	City of Albany	\$ 1,100,000	Rehabilitation
A196	21st Ave Sidewalk	21st Ave	Waverly Dr to Center St	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.26	City of Albany	\$ 130,000	Sidewalk Infill

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A197	7th Ave Sidewalk	7th Ave	Jackson St to Madison St	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.27	City of Albany	\$ 300,000	Sidewalk Infill
A198	Columbus St Sidewalks North	Columbus St	Waverly to Becca Ct	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.38	City of Albany	\$ 300,000	Sidewalk Infill
A206	Albany Area Pavement Preservation and Maintenance	All Albany Area MPO collectors and arterials	Various Locations within the Albany Area MPO Boundary	Pavement preservation and maintenance projects will be identified on an ongoing basis consistent with prioritization process adopted by AAMPO (ongoing).	-	Albany Area MPO	\$ 25,109,100	Preservation
MC1	Main St widening	Main St	Hwy 99E east to UGB	Widen shoulders on both sides	0.89	City of Jefferson	\$ 20,000	Bike Improvement
MC6	Hwy 99E/North Ave Signal	Hwy 99E/North Ave	Hwy 99E/North Ave	Add northbound and westbound right-turn lanes and traffic signal.	-	City of Jefferson	\$ 275,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
J2	5th St extension	5th St	North Ave to Jefferson-Scio Dr	Complete collector connection from North Ave to Jefferson-Scio Dr	0.05	City of Jefferson	\$ 800,000	New Roadway
J8	OR 164 Sidewalk	OR 164	Santiam River Bridge to north of Union St	New sidewalks on east side	0.18	City of Jefferson	\$ 36,000	Sidewalk Infill
J14	Greenwood St Sidewalk	Greenwood St	Main St to 3rd St	New sidewalks on both sides	0.16	City of Jefferson	\$ 37,500	Sidewalk Infill
J21	High St Sidewalks	High St	Main St to 3rd St	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.13	City of Jefferson	\$ 135,000	Sidewalk Infill
J24	7th St Sidewalks	7th St	Maple Ct to Greenwood Dr	Fill in sidewalk gaps.	0.45	City of Jefferson	\$ 200,000	Sidewalk Infill
T2	McFarland Dr Bikeway	McFarland Dr	99E to Old Hwy 34	Add shoulder bikeways	0.91	City of Tangent	\$ 33,000	Bike Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
T4	McFarland Dr Bike Lanes	McFarland Dr	Hwy 34 to Lake Creek Dr	Add shoulder bike lanes	1.15	City of Tangent	\$ 174,000	Bike Improvement
T5	Old Hwy 34 On-Street Bike Lane	Old Hwy 34	Looney Ln to 99E	Add on-street bike lane	0.52	City of Tangent	\$ 214,000	Bike Improvement
T6	Tangent Dr On-Street Bike Lane	Tangent Dr	99E to City Limits	Add on-street bike lane (City Portion)	1	City of Tangent	\$ 149,000	Bike Improvement
T13	Hwy 99E Sidewalks	Hwy 99E	Old Hwy 34 to south City Limits	Install sidewalks	2.66	City of Tangent	\$ 1,088,000	Sidewalk Infill
T17	McFarland Dr Sidewalks	McFarland Dr	UGB to N Lake Creek Rd	Install curb, gutter, and sidewalks on both sides	0.19	City of Tangent	\$ 112,000	Modernization
T18	N Lake Creek Dr Sidewalks	N Lake Creek Dr	Meadow Lark Loop to west UGB	Install curb, gutter, and sidewalks on the south side	0.85	City of Tangent	\$ 79,000	Modernization
T20	Old Hwy 34 Sidewalks	Old Hwy 34	Looney Ln east to UGB	Install curb, gutter, and sidewalks on the south side. Install on north side between Looney Ln and approximately 500' east of railroad tracks	0.69	City of Tangent	\$ 881,000	Modernization
T22	Tangent Dr Sidewalks	Tangent Dr	Hwy 99E east to UGB	Install curb, gutter, and sidewalks on both sides	1	City of Tangent	\$ 515,000	Modernization
T32	Tangent Dr Rail Crossing Bike/Ped Improvements	Tangent Dr	Tangent Dr Rail Crossing	Improvements to Ped/Bike rail crossing facilities	-	City of Tangent	\$ 500,000	Pedestrian Crossing Improvement
T33	Birdfoot Dr Rail Crossing Bike/Ped Improvements	Birdfoot Dr	Birdfoot Dr Rail Crossing	Improvements to Ped/Bike rail crossing facilities	-	City of Tangent	\$ 500,000	Pedestrian Crossing Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
T34	Old Hwy 34 Rail Crossing Bike/Ped Improvements	Old Hwy 34	Old Hwy 34 Rail Crossing	Improvements to Ped/Bike rail crossing facilities	-	City of Tangent	\$ 500,000	Pedestrian Crossing Improvement
T37	OR 99E Pedestrian Crossing	OR 99E	North Lake Creek to Tangent Drive	Install pedestrian crossing	-	City of Tangent	\$ 100,000	Pedestrian Crossing Improvement
LC1	Closure of Columbus St Hwy 34 Access	Columbus St	Columbus St/Hwy 34	Right-in Right-out only	-	Linn County	\$ 50,000	Intersection Safety Improvement
LC2	Seven Mile Ln Improvements	Seven Mile Ln	Columbus St to I-5 Bridge	Improvement of Seven Mile Lane from Columbus to I-5 bridge	1.15	Linn County	\$ 3,000,000	Modernization
LC6	Truax Creek Bridge Replacement	Old Salem Rd	Truax Creek	Project adds bike lanes and a sidewalk to a portion of the road in relation to the bridge replacement. (Only the AAMPO funded portion of larger bridge replacement project.)	-	Linn County	\$ 281,000	Modernization
LC8	Clover Ridge Rd Improvements	Clover Ridge Rd	Knox Butte Rd to AAMPO Boundary	Clover Ridge Road going north from Knox Butte Road with ODOT's closure of Century Drive	0.86	Linn County	\$ 2,000,000	Modernization
LC10	Tangent Dr Improvements	Tangent Dr	99E to City Limits	Add curb, gutter, sidewalk	1	Linn County	\$ 1,200,000	Modernization
LC13	Grand Prairie Road Bridge Widening	Grand Prairie Rd	I-5 Bridge	Widen Bridge to provide safe passage for Bicycles and Pedestrians	-	Linn County	\$ 2,000,000	Bridge Replacement
LC16	Goldfish Farm Rd Bridge Replacement	Goldfish Farm Rd	Cox Creek	Bridge Replacement and Widening	-	Linn County	\$ 400,000	Bridge Replacement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Financially Constrained Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/ Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
LC17	Clover Ridge Road Bridge Replacement	Clover Ridge Road	Truax Creek	Widen and replace bridge to include sidewalks and bike lanes and stormwater treatment	-	Linn County	\$ 1,500,000	Bridge Replacement
LC19	Queen Avenue ADA Transition Requirements	Queen Avenue	Queen Ave to Riverside Drive	Curb, gutter sidewalk and ADA improvements	0.39	Linn County/City of Albany	\$ 1,500,000	Modernization
M1	Old Salem Road ADA Transition Improvements	Old Salem Road	City of Albany to Duraflake Entrance	Add Curb Gutter and Sidewalk and ADA improvements to meet current ADA Requirements	1.65	City of Millersburg	\$ 2,000,000	Modernization
M2	Woods Rd Reconstruction Phase 1	Woods Rd	North of Alexander Lane	Two Phases: Reconstruct Woods Rd to arterial cross-section (bike lanes, curb, gutter, sidewalk)	1.18	City of Millersburg	\$ 750,000	Modernization
M3	Woods Rd Reconstruction Phase 2	Woods Rd	South of Alexander Lane	Two Phases: Reconstruct Woods Rd to arterial cross-section (bike lanes, curb, gutter, sidewalk)	1.18	City of Millersburg	\$ 750,000	Modernization
M8	Old Salem Rd Sidewalk Improvements	Old Salem Rd	I-5 SB Off-Ramp to Nygren Road	Construct new sidewalks along west side of Old Salem Road, north of Nygren Road	2.6	City of Millersburg	\$ 200,000	Sidewalk Infill
M9	Morning Star Road Reconstruction - Urban Conversion	Morningstar Rd	Old Salem Road to Millersburg Drive	Reconstruct Morningstar Rd to arterial cross-section (bike lanes, curb, gutter, sidewalk)	0.1	City of Millersburg	\$ 650,000	Modernization

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single


Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: single

Aspirational Project List

Should additional funding become available, the Aspirational Project List identifies a pool of projects developed in addition to the Financially Constrained Project List to address the region's transportation need for the next 20 years.

Formatted: Heading 2

Figure 8-2: Aspirational Project List



Formatted: Normal

Table 8-2: Aspirational Project List

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
BC6	LBCC Transit Center	LBCC Transit Center	LBCC Albany campus	Safety Improvement	-	City of Albany	\$500,000	Transit
A16	US 20/North Albany Road Intersection Capacity Upgrade	US 20/North Albany Road	US 20/North Albany Road	On North Albany Road: eliminate split-phasing, convert southbound right to shared through-right lane & convert southbound through-left to left-only lane, creating dual southbound left-turns. Install westbound right-turn overlap phasing. Implement actuated-coordinated signal control, and develop signal coordination between Springhill Road and North Albany Road for better traffic progression along US 20 during peak periods.	-	City of Albany	\$40,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A40	12th Ave (West) Bike Boulevard	12th Ave	Hill St to Jackson St	Install bike boulevard treatments including wayfinding, traffic calming, and intersections treatments as deemed necessary on 12th Avenue from Hill Street to Jackson Street. Signs will be added to direct bicyclists to the existing path near the Boys and Girls Club.	0.32	City of Albany	\$32,000	Bike Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List

ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A42	US 20 (Lyon St)/2nd Ave Intersection Capacity Upgrade	US 20 (Lyon St)/2nd Ave	US 20 (Lyon St)/2nd Ave	Restripe for a new 100-foot eastbound exclusive left-turn lane, removing a portion of on-street parking on 2nd Avenue. Extend cycle length to 70 seconds, and develop timing plans with offsets that facilitate northbound and eastbound progression.	-	City of Albany	\$23,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A45	US 20 (Lyon St)/1st Ave Intersection Capacity Upgrade	US 20 (Lyon St)/1st Ave	US 20 (Lyon St)/1st Ave	Convert northern westbound through lane to a shared thru-right lane on 1st Avenue. Close crosswalk on north leg of intersection. Restripe for a new 100-foot northbound exclusive left-turn lane, removing a portion of on-street parking on west side of Lyon Street. Extend cycle length to 70 seconds, and develop timing plans with offsets that facilitate northbound and westbound progression.	-	City of Albany	\$22,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List

Formatted: Table Title
 Formatted Table
 Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A46	US 20 (Ellsworth St)/2nd Ave Intersection Capacity Upgrade	US 20 (Ellsworth St)/2nd Ave	US 20 (Ellsworth St)/2nd Ave	Restripe for a new southbound exclusive left-turn lane on Ellsworth, removing a portion of on-street parking on eastside of Ellsworth Street. Initially restripe only 100 feet from intersection, but may need to extend to 250 feet (full block length) due to 2030 projected queuing (Cost estimates are based on 250 feet restriped lane). Extend cycle length to 70 seconds, and develop timing plans with offsets that facilitate southbound and westbound progression. It will also include a bike signal phase to get WB cyclists on 1st across the highway, and allow for retention of the ped crosswalk at the same location.	-	City of Albany	\$17,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A53	ADA Accessibility Projects	N/A	All Albany	Improved Pedestrian Crossings at Transit Stops	-	City of Albany	\$430,000	Pedestrian Crossing Improvement
A60	7th Ave Bike Boulevard	7th Ave	Takena St to Cool! Swim Park	Install bike boulevard treatments including wayfinding, traffic calming, and intersections treatments as deemed necessary on 7th Avenue from Takena Street to Cool! Swim Park.	0.93	City of Albany	\$95,000	Bike Improvement

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List

ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A69	OR 99E/34th Ave Intersection Capacity Upgrade	OR 99E/34th Ave	OR 99E/34th Ave	Switch southbound left-turn to protected phasing and install a 125-foot northbound right-turn lane and northbound right-turn overlap phasing. Right-turn lane length adjusted from 200 feet to 125 based on ROW considerations. Install second westbound 125-foot left-turn lane on 34th Avenue. Assumes current YMCA access is relocated east along 34th Avenue to edge of property. Other option is to convert YMCA access on 34th to right-in, right-out and develop full 200 foot WB left-turn lanes on 34th. Install a second southbound left-turn lane.	-	City of Albany	\$648,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A74	OR 99E/Waverly Ave Intersection Capacity Upgrade	OR 99E/Waverly Ave	OR 99E/Waverly Ave	Install second westbound left-turn lane on OR 99E & second southbound receiving lane on Waverly Avenue. Install exclusive northbound right-turn lane and overlap signal phasing. Obtain right-of-way for an exclusive southbound right-turn lane at time impacted parcel redevelops and construct when warranted (cost for this improvement identified separately under other costs).	-	City of Albany	\$959,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A77	OR 99E/Killdeer Ave Intersection Capacity Upgrade	OR 99E/Killdeer Ave	OR 99E/Killdeer Ave	Install 100 foot eastbound right-turn lane on Hwy 99E. Only if needed to meet OHP mobility standards.	-	City of Albany	\$3,207,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List

ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A78	US 20/Timber St Signal	US 20/Timber St	US 20/Timber St	Develop a traffic signal once warrants are met and once Timber Street extension (link project #L4) is complete. Install 125 foot eastbound right-turn lane and overlap phasing. Install third eastbound through lane when warranted by Highway 20 traffic volumes.	-	City of Albany	\$571,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A83	OR 99E/29th Ave Intersection Capacity Upgrade	OR 99E/29th Ave	OR 99E/29th Ave	Restripe both eastbound and westbound approaches to include an exclusive left-turn lane and a shared through-right on 29th Ave. Increase curb return radius and relocate signal poles as needed.	-	City of Albany	\$106,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A85	OR 99E/Lyon St Intersection Capacity Upgrade	OR 99E/Lyon St	OR 99E/Lyon St	NB Ramp: Install a second northbound left-turn lane from the 99E off-ramp and a second receiving lane NB on Lyon Street. SB Ramp: Install second NB through lane on Lyon Street.	-	City of Albany	\$205,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
A93	Timber St Extension	Timber St	US 20 to Three Lakes Rd	Extends Timber Street south of US 20 to connect to the Three Lakes Rd/Spicer Dr intersection. The design and alignment review will be completed with the I-5 Corridor Study (project S10). Alternate routes for the Industrial Way ingress/egress will be considered.	0.33	City of Albany	\$2,708,000	New Roadway
A96	Spicer Dr Extension (West of Timber St)	Spicer Drive	Circle Dr to Timber St	Extend Spicer Drive east from Circle Drive to Timber Street.	0.12	City of Albany	\$982,000	New Roadway

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A97	Spicer Dr Extension (East of Timber St)	Spicer Drive	Timber St to Goldfish Farm Rd	Extend Spicer Drive east from Timber Street to Goldfish Farm Road.	0.33	City of Albany	\$1,666,000	New Roadway
A146	Albany-Corvallis Multiuse Path River Crossing	New Trail	From Springhill Rd to across the Lyon Street bridge	Construct bike/ped bridge over the Willamette River and extending to Springhill Road using the existing US 20 (Lyon Street) bridge	-	City of Albany	\$761,000	New Multi-Use Path
A149	Lebanon Trail	New Trail	Columbus St east to UGB	Construct a multi-use path parallel to the railroad tracks south of Del Rio Avenue from Columbus Street to the Urban Growth Boundary to provide for a future connection to Lebanon.	1.11	City of Albany	\$581,000	New Multi-Use Path
A150	Periwinkle Trail Extension	Periwinkle Trail	10th Ave to Willamette River	Extend Periwinkle Creek Trail north from the existing terminus at 10th Avenue to the Willamette River.	0.91	City of Albany	\$1,528,000	New Multi-Use Path
A152	99E/Oak Creek Ped Crossing	99E/Oak Creek Trail	99E/Oak Creek Trail	Construct hybrid pedestrian signalized crossing improvement at Oregon 99E/Oak Creek Trail	-	City of Albany	\$129,000	Pedestrian Crossing Improvement
A155	99E/24th Ave Ped Crossing	99E/24th Ave	99E/24th Ave	Construct a pedestrian signalized crossing improvement at Oregon 99E/24th Avenue.	-	City of Albany	\$129,000	Pedestrian Crossing Improvement
A162	Waverly Dr Sidewalks	Waverly Dr	Salem Ave to Queen Ave	Construct sidewalks to fill gaps on Waverly Drive between Salem Avenue and Queen Avenue.	1.01	City of Albany	\$88,000	Sidewalk Infill
A165	Major Corridors	Hwy 99, US 20, Geary St, and others	Hwy 99, US 20, Geary St, and others	Preserve ROW along busy corridors (Hwy 99E, US 20, Geary, and others listed in Memo #6C) with redevelopment to plan for growth near 2030.	-	City of Albany	*	Study

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
A166	Wayfinding	N/A	All Albany	Develop a plan to provide a wayfinding system for cyclists on on-street and off-street bikeways. The plan should identify locations, text and design of wayfinding signs.	-	City of Albany	\$25,000	Study
A199	Waverly Dr Rehabilitation	Waverly Dr	Santiam Hwy to Queen Ave	Project includes a 2-inch asphalt-grind inlay, updated curb ramps, and improved street lighting. Consider replacing 12-inch AC water line on this segment of road ahead of or in conjunction with this project.	0.46	City of Albany	\$600,000	Preservation
J26	OR 164 Modernization	OR 164	North Ave to Talbot Rd	Add curb, gutter, sidewalk and bike lanes	0.58	City of Jefferson	\$7,600,000	Modernization
T10	Hwy 99E On-Street Bike Lane	Hwy 99E	Hwy 34 to Post Office	Add on-street bike lane	1.23	City of Tangent	\$26,000	Bike Improvement
T11	Hwy 99E/Tangent Dr Signal	Hwy 99E/Tangent Dr	Hwy 99E/Tangent Dr	Add traffic signal	-	City of Tangent	\$180,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
T12	Hwy 99E Raised Median	Hwy 99E	North of N Lake Creek Rd	Install raised median barrier north of N Lake Creek Dr	0.20	City of Tangent	\$87,000	Roadway Safety Improvement
T27	Hwy 99E Turn Lane	Hwy 99E	Hwy 34 south to UGB	Install center left turn lane between existing 5-lane section near Hwy 34 and south UGB	1.16	City of Tangent	\$207,000	Roadway Capacity Improvement
LC9	LBCC Transit Center	LBCC Transit Center	LBCC Campus	Transit Center at LBCC Campus (Linn County funded portion) - including multimodal and bicycle access into the LBCC campus	-	Linn County	*	Transit

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
LC21	OR 164/I-5 NB Ramps New Signal	OR 164/I-5 NB Ramps	OR 164/I-5 NB Ramps	Install new signal	-	Linn County	\$2,000,000	Intersection Capacity Improvement
M14	Millersburg gateway treatments	Millersburg gateway treatments	City of Millersburg Entrance	Provide gateway treatments at northern and southern end of Millersburg (Old Salem Rd)	-	City of Millersburg	*	Roadway Capacity Improvement
M15	Reconstruct Old Salem Rd	Old Salem Road	I-5 SB Off-Ramp to Nygren Road	Reconstruct Old Salem road to arterial cross-section (bike lanes, curb, gutter, sidewalk) [M8 sidewalk construction on the Financially Constrained Project list]	13728.00	City of Millersburg	\$25,735,503	Modernization
M17	Old Salem Road Shared-Use Path	Old Salem Road	I-5 SB Off-Ramp to Nygren Road	Construct a 10-12 foot wide bicycle and pedestrian path parallel to Old Salem Road from the North City Limit to South City Limit and within existing ROW (see PMT meeting hand-out describing options)	13728.00	City of Millersburg	\$2,401,027	New Multi-Use Path
M20	"Four Lakes" Trail	"Four Lakes" Trail	City of Millersburg	Complete Feasibility Plan and construct "Four Lakes" Trail - from Conser Road along the Willamette River to Simpson Park and south to Bowman Park and Dave Clark Trail (Albany). Coordinated with Conser Road/UPRR Crossing Improvement	3.28	City of Millersburg	\$824,057	New Multi-Use Path
M21	Transit Stop	City of Millersburg	City of Millersburg	Identify general location of future transit stops and amenities	-	City of Millersburg	\$33,759	Transit
P6	Century Drive Closure	Century Dr	Knox Butte to Dunlap	Terminate Century Drive at Knox Butte and create a cul-de-sac (see P7)	-	City of Albany	\$8,480	Road Closure

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List								
ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
P9	Old Salem Road/Old Salem Road Intersection Capacity Improvements	Old Salem Road/Old Salem Road	Old Salem Road/Old Salem Road	PLACEHOLDER [The idea is to - Improve capacity (add turn lanes) at intersection of Old Salem Rd at Old Salem Rd]	-	City of Millersburg	*	Intersection Capacity Improvement
P10	Old Salem Road/OR 164 ITS Improvements	Old Salem Road/OR 164	Old Salem Road/OR 164	PLACEHOLDER [Old Salem Rd/OR 164 as an alternate/parallel route to I-5 – increase signage/ITS]	-	City of Millersburg	*	Roadway Improvement
P11	Transit Service between Jefferson, Millersburg and Albany	Old Salem Road/OR164	Old Salem Road/OR164	PLACEHOLDER [Provide Transit service to Millersburg and Jefferson along Old Salem Rd and OR 164. This could include a look along Millersburg Drive and Alexander Lane]	-	Albany Area MPO	*	Transit
P12	Improve Linn Benton Loop	OR 34	OR 34	PLACEHOLDER [Enhance transit service between Albany and Corvallis]	-	Linn County	*	Transit
P13	Adaptive Signals	US 20, OR99E	AAMPO Area	PLACEHOLDER [Install/Implement Adaptive Signals Systems along US 20 and OR99E]	-	Albany Area MPO	\$30,000/ per intersection	Roadway Improvement
P14	Transit Signal Priority	US 20, OR99E	AAMPO Area	PLACEHOLDER [Implement TSP at key intersections along transit routes. If possible identify locations for queue jumps]	-	Albany Area MPO	\$50,000/per approach	Transit
P15	Regional Traveler Information System	I-5, US 20, OR 99E	AAMPO Area	PLAXEHOLDER [Install real-time traveler information system, including railroad crossing times]	-	Albany Area MPO	*	Roadway Improvement
P16	Truck Signal Priority	US 20, OR99E	AAMPO Area	PLACEHOLDER [Implement truck signal priority at key intersections along transit routes]	-	Albany Area MPO	\$50,000/per approach	Roadway Improvement

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

AAMPO RTP: Aspirational Project List

ID	Project Name	Roadway/Trail	Location	Description	Length (miles)	Area	Cost	Project Type
P17	Marys Peak to Pacific Scenic Byway	Along OR 34	I-5 to Waldport	The proposed scenic byway stretches from I-5 in Linn County, through Tangent on to, Corvallis, Philomath, and Alesa in Benton County, then to Tidewater, and Waldport along OR 34 in Lincoln County with spurs branching out to Marys Peak and Alesa Falls	-	Albany Area MPO	*	New trail
P18	US 20 Safety Upgrades	US 20	City of Albany to City of Corvallis	US 20 Safety Upgrades. To be funded through House Bill 2017. Note: Majority of the improvements to be constructed will be in Benton County outside the AAMPO area.	-	Albany Area	\$20,000,000	Safety
P19	Mid-Willamette Valley Intermodal Facility	-	To be determined	Construct an intermodal facility a specific location has not been determined, however Millersburg has been identified as a potential candidate site.	-	To be determined	\$25,000,000	Freight

Formatted: Table Title

Formatted Table

Formatted: Table Hd, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: single


Transit Development Plan

The Transit Development Plan provides guidance for transit operational improvements for the Albany area. It provides details on three scenarios that create a vision, projects, and strategies for transit over the short, medium, and long-term time frames. The Transit Development Plan⁴¹, which will be approved by the MPO Board separately from this RTP, is consistent with the RTP goals, policies, and recommended projects. The short-term scenario is considered part of the Financially Constrained package for the RTP. Medium and long-term scenarios, as well as supporting programs and policies, are considered aspirational. Ultimately, the City of Albany (via the Albany Transit System) and other local and regional transit providers have the responsibility of implementing guidance from the Transit Development Plan, coordinating with other transit providers as needed, and maintaining the plan as new data become available.

- **Short-Term (1–3 years):** This scenario continues covering nearly all areas served today but reduces frequency to every 90 minutes. The longer frequencies address low on-time performance by adding running time to each route. See Figure 8-3
- **Medium-Term (5–10 years):** This scenario assumes one additional vehicle, and an 80 percent increase in service hours. There would be six routes covering much of the same service area as today, at 60-minute headways. See Figure 8-4
- **Long-Term (15–25 years):** The unconstrained scenario lays out a vision for a frequent and connected system. This scenario offers two routes with 30-minute headways, three at 60 minutes, plus one peak-hour commuter route to Jefferson. It adds four times more service hours. See [Figure 8-5](#)[Figure 8-5](#)[Figure 8-5](#)

⁴¹ [Albany Area Regional Transportation Plan – Transit Development Plan, Nelson\Nygaard, November 1, 2017.](#)

Figure 8-3: Short-Term Network




Formatted: Normal

Figure 8-4: Medium Term Network



Formatted: Normal

Figure 8-5: Long Term Network



Key Programmatic Recommendations

Successful transit systems provide balanced fare prices and pass programs, clear and relevant public information, and effective technology. These policies and programs help ensure that the system is accessible for people of all incomes, convenient, understandable, and efficient. The transit program elements apply in all future service scenarios.

Formatted: Normal

Public Information and Marketing

If the public is not aware of how the system works, or how to board a bus, the public investment in the service will see few benefits. Marketing includes efforts to educate the public on where, when, and how to use transit. The following strategies elevate ATS' profile in the community.

- Advertise in multiple formats and channels.
- Remove language barriers for Spanish-speaking riders.
- Offer travel training.

System Branding

Formatted: Heading 4

Maintaining a single brand for vehicles, bus stops and materials increases the visibility of the transit service, develops public recognition and acceptance of the service, and informs the public that all services and stops work together as a single system. This is especially important for ATS because it operates multiple transit service products (ATS local routes, the Loop, and Albany Call-A-Ride), and has transit service interacting closely with other transit services in the area.

ATS has indicated that it needs a refresh of their logo and branding. The best time for such a change is when there is a noticeable change in service, such as a route restructure or improvement in service frequency. ATS should consider a refresh of ATS' brand at the same time they implement a service change, particularly the Short-Term or Medium-Term phase. It could help ATS to use the branding to distinguish between its service products to clarify the management, funding and operating conditions resulting in the different service and policy structures in each service.

System and Route Maps

Formatted: Heading 4

Maps and schedules are the primary tool people use to obtain information about ATS. The accessibility, legibility and simplicity of these maps and schedules enhance comprehension of the system. ATS' current map is good quality, showing all street names and indicating time points. The following recommendation could improve the existing maps and access to information.

- Reduce number of timepoints to 10.
- Replace timepoint icons on map with numbers or letters to correspond with timepoint labels in the schedule.
- Replace labels for points of interest with actual names of places.

- Add more major destinations to the map. Use stop-level ridership or public requests to identify additional destinations.
- Add the Loop, or show connections to the Loop, on the map. Many ATS passengers use the Loop, and transfers between the two services can be facilitated by clearly identifying where these connections occur.
- Create route-specific maps to provide details on stop locations and key destinations along the route.

•Technology

Technology for public transportation and related travel options is changing rapidly and in ways that are difficult to predict over the long-term. ATS plans to implement some or all of the strategies below, while maintaining a flexible approach to improving the transit system with new technologies as appropriate to the system. The following technology strategies will improve service delivery.

- Traveler information system hardware
 - Automatic passenger counter
 - Customer Information

•Fares

The base fare for ATS is \$1 per trip. Transfers between Routes 2 and 3 at Albany Station or at the Jackson Street Transfer Stop are free. Fare-paying Loop passengers can also board Route 3 without any additional charge if they transfer from Loop at LBCC and travel to Albany Station.

Albany can implement a transfer system that allows passengers to transfer without any additional charge to another route within 90 minutes. This can be handled inexpensively with paper transfers, or ATS could invest in magnetic swipe cards, mobile apps and other methods to enable transfers.

In terms of how much passengers pay to use ATS, the \$1.00 cost per trip is comparable to its peers. Additionally, the survey indicated that 54 percent of ATS passengers use a free college pass to board. This suggests that an increase in the fare is unlikely to provide a significant increase in fare revenue, as it would impact less than half of all passengers.

Therefore, it is recommended that the fares remain unchanged for the short-term. ATS is an important service that many low-income [households](#) and all-purpose riders depend upon. An increase to the cost of this service is likely to place an increased financial burden on passengers, especially those who do not have access to a free fare, and may reduce overall ridership.

Formatted: Heading 4

Formatted: Heading 4

Chapter 9: Evaluation and System Performance

Chapter 9: Environmental Screening

During the project development and evaluation process, the environmental impacts were assessed for each project package based on air quality, greenhouse gas emissions and natural and cultural resources at risk. In addition, *Moving Ahead for Progress for the 21st Century* (MAP-21), established environmental-specific performance measures to provide a performance and outcome-based program to help states prioritize transportation investments to be consistent with the seven national goals. The Environmental Screening section includes a discussion of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project packages.

Methodology and Results

To determine the impact on the environment, travel volume and delay outputs from the CALM travel model were utilized with sketch-level tools to estimate the air quality and greenhouse gas emissions under the Financially Constrained project package. A high-level spatial analysis was used to estimate the impact to natural and cultural resources at risk for each project package.

Air Quality

Transportation decision making can impact air quality, as regulated under the Clean Air Act, in a variety of ways including the emission of Criteria Air Pollutants (e.g. carbon monoxide, ozone, and particulate matter) during the construction and operation of transportation projects.

The annual emissions for each project package were estimated using a simplified version of the EPA Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES). VMT by mode data from the CALM travel model was used to provide the main inputs into the emissions model. The emissions model also contains key assumptions about the future vehicle fleet, assuming less old high-emissions vehicles on the road by the year 2040. Table 9-1 identifies expected reduction in total emissions for the Financially Constrained project package for the AAMPO area.

Table 9-1: Air Quality Results

Scenario	Criteria Air Contaminants*					Total	Air Toxics**		
	NO _x	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	CO	VOCs		Benzen e	Diesel PM	Total
Financially Constrained	0.059	0.001	0.002	0.317	0.004	0.384	0.102	1.006	1.108

*Criteria Air Contaminants reported in thousands of annual short tons

**Air Toxics reported in annual short tons

Formatted: Footnote Reference

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Footnote Reference

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Greenhouse gas emissions refers to the energy consumed and greenhouse gases emitted during the design and construction of transportation projects, as well as during transportation operations. Transportation decision making can impact energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions in a variety of ways, including the decision of the types of capital projects to invest in (highway, transit, bike, or pedestrian, etc.), the types of programs to invest in (e.g. transportation demand management programs), and/or policies to implement (e.g. road pricing or parking fees that can discourage single occupancy vehicle travel), among others.

Formatted: Normal

The life-cycle CO_{2E} was used as the greenhouse gas emissions measurement. The CO_{2E} emissions scenario were calculated using the same process as used for air quality (simplified version of MOVES). The greenhouse gas emissions for the Financially Constrained project package are summarized in Table 9-2.

Table 9-2: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Results

Scenario	Annual Life Cycle CO _{2E} (millions of metric tons)
Financially Constrained	0.1036

Formatted: Table Hd

Resources at Risk

Resources at risk refers to the presence and diversity of species (both plant and animal) as well as the conservation of critical habitat. Transportation decision making can influence biodiversity in several ways, including decisions regarding where and how to develop (impacts to habitat), creating impacts to the environment that are harmful to threatened and endangered species (air, water, and noise pollution, etc.), and construction and design techniques (split profile roadways, wildlife crossings, etc.), among others.

Formatted: Normal

The following environmental and cultural resources at risk were analyzed:

- Endangered Animals
- Fish Habitats
- Endangered Plants
- Vegetation
- Wildlife Habitats
- Wetlands
- Geological Hazards (including hazardous materials locations)
- Parks
- Historic Buildings

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Project impacts on the resources listed above were evaluated through weighted criteria based on project type (i.e. new roadway, roadway/intersection widening) and proximity to resources. Each

project was assigned a weighted score representing the total impacts to natural, built, and cultural resources for each scenario.

Both project packages received a high score due to the potential construction impacts to one primary natural resource risk: Hazardous materials sites (mainly existing or old gas stations). There are many projects in the central Albany area and along key commercial corridors (US 20, OR 99E). Many of these projects would occur near sites with potential underground pollution plumes, which, if exposed, could create major environmental run-off and groundwater issues. These potential impacts were identified as key consideration in project development for implementing capital improvements.

Summary

The environmental information collected as part of the AAMPO Area transportation planning process can be used to identify and document potentially affected environmental resources. This information can be used to inform future decisions to minimize environmental impacts of any transportation improvement being considered.

Regional Intersection Mobility

Intersection operations were analyzed based on the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual⁴² for signalized intersections and 2010 Highway Capacity Manual⁴³ for unsignalized intersections. Of the 28 study intersections, there are four unsignalized intersections and three signalized intersections that are not expected to meet their respective mobility targets under the 2040 average weekday p.m. peak hour (Financially Constrained) conditions. An additional four locations (eleven total) that would not meet their respective mobility targets during the 2040 seasonal peak (Financially Constrained) conditions.

However, intersection operations are expected to improve under both the 2040 average weekday p.m. peak hour and 2040 seasonal peak (Financially Constrained) conditions at three intersections Knox Butte Road/Century Drive & I-5 NB Off Ramp, Queen Avenue/Pacific Highway (OR 99E) and Springhill Drive/Albany-Corvallis Highway (US 20). In addition, intersection operations are expected to improve under the 2040 average weekday p.m. peak hour (Financially Constrained) conditions at the Waverly Drive/Santiam Highway intersection.

Assuming the Albany Area MPO grows in accordance with its current adopted land use plan several intersections along state highways (I-5, US 20, OR 99E, OR 164) and Knox Butte Road will not be able to meet ODOT's v/c ratio-based mobility targets. In this situation (which is common in communities with roadways that experience high travel demands and have limited funding and/or significant constraints for improvements), adoption of alternative mobility targets is likely appropriate. Alternative mobility targets reflect realistic expectations for roadway performance at the end of the 20-year planning horizon, based on traffic projections. Adopting

⁴² 2000 *Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, Washington DC, 2000.

⁴³ 2010 *Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, Washington DC, 2000.

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Normal

realistic alternative targets can provide a more reasonable target to help balance performance expectations with local economic and growth needs.

Regional Performance Metrics

Formatted: Heading 2

The performance metrics adopted by this AAMPO RTP provide a gauge for assessing how well decisions further regional and national goals. They will help the MPO to monitor transportation system performance and progress toward achieving the RTP Goals and Objectives as the recommendations are implemented. The performance metrics are consistent with MAP-21 and FAST ACT requirements, as well as ~~and~~ the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR).

Performance Measures Overview

MAP-21 established a performance- and outcome-based program. The objective of this program is for States and MPOs to invest resources in projects that collectively make progress toward the achievement of the national goals.

Formatted: Normal

Under MAP 21, USDOT established performance measures for states and MPOs to follow along with targets to support the measures. The performance metrics are grouped into three categories safety, infrastructure and system performance. The performance metrics included in order to fulfill MAP-21 requirements are as follows:

Safety

Formatted: Heading 4

- Number of fatalities
- Rate of fatalities (fatalities per 100 million VMT)
- Number of serious injuries
- Rate of serious injuries (serious injuries per 100 million VMT)
- Number of non-motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Infrastructure

- Percentage of pavements of the Interstate System in Good condition
- Percentage of pavements of the Interstate System in Poor condition
- Percentage of pavements of the non-interstate NHS (National Highway System) in Good condition
- Percentage of pavements of the non-interstate NHS in Poor condition
- Percentage of NHS bridges classified as in Good condition
- Percentage of NHS bridges classified as in Poor condition

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

|

Formatted: Normal

System Performance

- Percent of the person-miles traveled on the Interstate that are reliable
- Percent of the person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable
- Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index
- Annual hours of peak hour excessive delay per capita
- Percent of non-SOV travel
- Total Emissions Reduction

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

MPOs are required to report performance progress every four to five years. MPOs must report on the condition and performance of the transportation system, progress achieved in meeting performance targets, evaluate how the preferred scenario has improved conditions and performance and how local policies and investments have impacted costs necessary to achieve performance targets.

At the time of completing this RTP, ODOT and MPOs within Oregon have not established a data reporting system with reliable data sources and consistent reporting. Therefore, at this time actual performance against the measures and targets have not been established. This will be a requirement for future AAMPO work programs.

Chapter 10: Next Steps

Chapter 10: This AAMPO RTP [will be next updated by May 2023. This plan](#) addresses planning needs to meet federal requirements. However, this plan does not solve all identified transportation issues or meet all of the requirements for achieving state compliance. The following issues should be considered when developing future AAMPO work programs to address the remaining needs:

- **Alternate Mobility Targets/Performance Measures** – the regional facility mobility analysis found multiple locations where year 2040 system performance would not meet ODOT or local agency mobility targets. Future AAMPO work programs or RTP Updates should consider reviewing regional mobility targets and adopting new targets or alternative performance measures to improve the ability to manage the system and assists agencies in implementing the RTP.
- **Willamette River Crossing Capacity** – the planned improvements in this RTP do not fully address congestion issues near the Willamette River crossing of Highway 20. While an investment package including a new Willamette River crossing was included in the RTP development process, it became clear that the needs and benefits/impacts of such an improvement were of a larger-regional scale (e.g., including both AAMPO and CAMPO). A larger-regional study of improvement options for US 20 and OR 34 could be considered.
- **Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) Compliance** – a key component of meeting current Oregon TPR requirements to facilitate the adoption of a state-compliant Regional Transportation System Plan (RTSP) includes demonstrating a reduction in vehicle miles travelled (VMT) per capita, or completing a process to develop an Integrated Land-Use and Transportation Plan (ILUTP) that would include a scenario planning process. Through this RTP development process, it was determined that meeting these requirements for AAMPO may be unreasonable. Therefore, AAMPO should continue to work with state agencies in reviewing and possible updating the TPR requirements. In addition, a scenario-planning process to develop an ILUTP may be required in the future.
- **MAP 21 Performance Measure Reporting** - at the time of completing this RTP, ODOT and MPOs within Oregon have not established a data reporting system with reliable data sources and consistent reporting. Therefore, at this time actual performance against the measures and targets have not been established. This will be a requirement for future AAMPO work programs, including both considerations for both annual reporting and regular RTP update reporting. [AAMPO will work with ODOT on the research, data collection, and technical work related to developing a performance monitoring program, establishment of performance targets, and an approach for decision making using the performance data.](#)
- **ADA Compliance** – [additional work is needed for AAMPO to address ADA accessibility from an organizational, program, and project level. This work should include an ADA compliance study.](#)

Formatted: Heading 1

- [AAMPO's proposed Fiscal Year '18-'19 budget includes over \\$25,000 in staff labor resources for RTP/TDP related planning that could be used to advance work on these remaining needs.](#)

Formatted: Normal