



## Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

City of Albany • City of Jefferson • City of Millersburg • City of Tangent • Linn County • Benton County • Oregon Department of Transportation

### Policy Board Meeting Wednesday, January 24, 2024 2:30 pm to 4:30 pm

VIRTUAL MEETING: MICROSOFT TEAMS TECHNOLOGIES

Via Teams by Clicking [HERE](#)

Meeting ID: 234 386 466 633

Passcode: SubiQg

Mobile One Click Number

[+1 872 242 8088](#)

Phone Conference ID: 983 687 329#

Contact: Billy McGregor, [bmcgregor@ocwcog.org](mailto:bmcgregor@ocwcog.org)

### AGENDA

- |    |      |  |                        |
|----|------|--|------------------------|
| 1) | 2:30 | Call to Order, Agenda Review, and Roll Call  | Chair,<br>Darrin Lane  |
| 2) | 2:35 | Election of Chair and Vice Chair (Attachment A)  | Staff,<br>Nick Meltzer |
| 3) | 3:00 | Public Comments  | Chair                  |
| 4) | 3:15 | Approve minutes of November 29, 2023, Meeting (Attachment B)   | Chair                  |
|    |      | <i>ACTION: Decision on minutes</i>   |                        |
| 5) | 3:30 | Introductions and MPO 101 (Attachment C)<br>Introductions to familiarize the group and welcome new members.<br>AAMPO information overview slides. Confirm meeting time works.<br>Distribute AAMPO Member's Handbook. | All                    |
|    |      | <i>Action: Presentation and Decision on meeting time</i>   |                        |
| 6) | 3:45 | Appointment of Representatives to Statewide Groups (Attachment D)<br>Appoint representatives to Linn-Benton Loop Policy Board.   | All                    |
|    |      | <i>Action: Decision on AAMPO representatives</i>   |                        |
| 7) | 4:00 | TIP Amendments (Attachment E1 – E3)<br>Attachment D contains Memos for Amendments to the STIP. Needs approval or additional public outreach.   | Staff                  |
|    |      | <i>Action: Approval Decision</i>   |                        |
| 8) | 4:15 | Jurisdictional Updates/Other Business <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Staff Updates</li><li>• Albany</li></ul>   | All                    |

- Benton County
- Jefferson
- Linn County
- Millersburg
- Tangent
- ODOT

9) 4:30 **Adjournment**  
 Next Meeting: Wednesday, February 28, 2024.

**Chair**

**ATTENDANCE (FOR QUORUM PURPOSES)**

<b>Board Members</b>	<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>Attendance</b>
David Watkins	City of Jefferson	
Councilor John Sullivan	City of Millersburg	
Councilor Ray Kopczynski (Vice Chair)	City of Albany	
Councilor Greg Jones	City of Tangent	
Commissioner Roger Nyquist	Linn County	
Commissioner Pat Malone	Benton County	
Darrin Lane (Chair)	Citizen Representative	
Robert Harrison	Oregon Department of Transportation	
<b>Alternates</b>	<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>Attendance</b>
Walt Perry	City of Jefferson	
Janelle Booth	City of Millersburg	
Chris Cerklewski	City of Albany	
Joe Samaniego	City of Tangent	
Wayne Mink	Linn County	
Gary Stockhoff	Benton County	
James Feldmann	Oregon Department of Transportation	

**Quorum Requirement:** MPO business may be conducted provided a quorum of the Policy Board is in attendance. A quorum consists of at least four members of the Policy Board or their alternates. The Policy Board members may participate telephonically or by other means of electronic communication as provided in Section 6.D (Special or Emergency Meetings).

– AAMPO Policy Board Bylaws, Section 6: Meeting, Subsection E: Quorum

*Meeting facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities. If you will need any special accommodations, please contact Ashlyn Muzechenko at least 72 hours prior to the meeting. Ashlyn can be reached at 541-812-2002. TTY/TTD 711*

## AAMPO Board Chairmanship History

### Bylaws Section 5: Officers and Duties

#### A. Selection and Terms of Chair and Vice Chair

The Policy Board shall select a Chair and Vice Chair at its first meeting. Thereafter, the Policy Board shall select a Chair and Vice Chair at the first meeting of each calendar year. In the absence of the Chair, or upon her/his inability to act or serve, the Vice Chair shall have the powers of the Chair. The Chair shall not serve for more than two consecutive years.

#### B. Duties

The Chair shall preside at and be responsible for the conduct at all meetings. The Chair shall be available for consultation by MPO staff on the development of meeting agendas and shall also serve as a liaison with the TAC and any other advisory group as the need arises.

#### C. Alternates

In the absence of the Chair from a Policy Board meeting, his or her alternate may sit only as a voting member of the Committee and the Vice Chair will preside over the meeting. In the absence of both the Chair and Vice-Chair at a Policy Board meeting, the MPO staff shall preside.

Year	Chair	Vice-Chair
2013	Floyd Collins (Albany)	Roger Nyquist (Linn County)
2014	Floyd Collins (Albany)	Roger Nyquist (Linn County)
2015	Roger Nyquist (Linn County)	Dave Beyerl (Jefferson)
2016	Roger Nyquist (Linn County)	Dave Beyerl (Jefferson)
2017	Roger Nyquist (Linn County)	Dave Beyerl (Jefferson)
2018	Dave Beyerl (Jefferson)	Ray Kopczynski (Albany)
2019	Dave Beyerl (Jefferson)	Bill Coburn (Albany)
2020	Pat Malone (Benton County)	Darrin Lane (Citizens' Representative)
2021	Pat Malone (Benton County)	Darrin Lane (Citizens' Representative)
2022	Darrin Lane (Citizens' Representative)	Ray Kopczynski (Albany)
2023	Darrin Lane (Citizens' Representative)	Ray Kopczynski (Albany)

**ALBANY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
POLICY BOARD REMOTE MEETING  
Wednesday, November 29, 2023  
2:30 – 3:30 pm  
Via Microsoft Teams Technologies**

**DRAFT MINUTES**

<b>Board Members</b>	<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>Attendance</b>
Walt Perry	City of Jefferson	No
Councilor John Sullivan	City of Millersburg	No
Councilor Ray Kopczynski	City of Albany	Yes
Joe Samaniego	City of Tangent	Yes
Commissioner Rodger Nyquist	Linn County	No
Commissioner Pat Malone	Benton County	Yes
Darrin Lane	Citizen Representative	Yes
Robert Harrison	Oregon Department of Transportation	Yes
<b>Alternates</b>	<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>Attendance</b>
David Watkins	City of Jefferson	Yes
Janelle Booth	City of Millersburg	No
Chris Cerklewski	City of Albany	Yes
Vacant	City of Tangent	Vacant
Wayne Mink	Linn County	No
Gary Stockhoff	Benton County	Yes
James Feldmann	Oregon Department of Transportation	Yes

**Guests:** Arla Miller, Ashley Bryers, Stevey Harvey

**Staff Present:** Transportation Program Manager Nick Meltzer, AAMPO Planner Billy McGregor, CAMPO Planner Corum Ketchum, and Administrative Assistant Ashlyn Muzechenko

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	DECISION / CONCLUSION
<p>1. Call to Order, Agenda Review, and Roll Call</p>	<p>The AAMPO Policy Board Chair, Darrin Lane called the meeting to order at 2:31pm.</p> <p>Staff Billy McGregor conducted roll call for today's AAMPO Policy Board Meeting and short introductions were given as there were many members present along with a few guests.</p>	<p><b>The meeting called to order at 2:31pm by Chair Darrin Lane.</b></p>
<p>2. Public Comments</p>	<p>Steve Harvey asked about the death of the Jefferson Cyclist Death back in June.</p> <p>David Watkins shared that the issue had been presented to the State, however, there has been no feedback presented to Jefferson or anyone else.</p> <p>Arla provided an article link containing a case number in the meeting chat that can be found linked here: <a href="https://kval.com/news/local/jefferson-man-faces-charges-in-fatal-hit-and-run-collision-on-highway-164">https://kval.com/news/local/jefferson-man-faces-charges-in-fatal-hit-and-run-collision-on-highway-164</a>. And <a href="https://democratherald.com/news/local/crime-courts/cyclist-killed-in-jefferson-crash/article_149704e0-3ae5-11ee-ba74-3f7c4713670a.html">https://democratherald.com/news/local/crime-courts/cyclist-killed-in-jefferson-crash/article_149704e0-3ae5-11ee-ba74-3f7c4713670a.html</a>.</p>	<p><b>Steve Harvey inquired about a cyclist death in Jefferson from later this year and if there was an update on the situation.</b></p>
<p>3. Approve minutes of the AAMPO Policy Board Meeting on August 23, 2023, and the Joint Policy Board of September 27th, 2023, meeting.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> Decision on Minutes</p>	<p>The AAMPO Policy Board approved the AAMPO Policy Board minutes from August 23, 2023, and the Joint AAMPO/CAMPO Policy Board minutes from September 27<sup>th</sup> by consensus.</p>	<p><b>The AAMPO Policy Board approved the AAMPO Policy Board minutes from August 23, 2023, and the Joint AAMPO/CAMPO Policy Board minutes from September 27<sup>th</sup> by consensus</b></p>
<p>4. AAMPO 2043 RTP Approval</p> <p><b>Action:</b> Adoption of 2043 RTP</p>	<p>Transportation Manager Nick Meltzer shared the comments addressed when presenting the final draft RTP for approval including all appendices and a comment tracker. This document is ready for the Policy Board's Review and Approval.</p> <p>Steve Harvey asked what becomes of the public input received on the</p>	<p><b>The AAMPO Policy Board Members in attendance approved the AAMPO 2043 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) by consensus.</b></p>

	<p>mapping and projects for the AAMPO Area, additionally what are the next steps.</p> <p>Meltzer noted that all documents were documented that were made on the map. A lot of that information was used to draw up the recommended projects.</p> <p>Additionally, the next step is to have the RTP and the BPP both adopted and there are a few avenues, the first is local jurisdictions can apply for and look for projects and then MPO can apply for and work with members to find grants for these recommended projects.</p> <p>The third avenue is these projects will be consistently represented across the jurisdictions and AAMPO Area.</p> <p>The AAMPO Policy Board Members in attendance approved the AAMPO 2043 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) by consensus.</p>	
<p>5. FY2025 UPWP task Solicitation</p> <p><b>Action:</b> Discussion</p>	<p>AAMPO Staff Billy McGregor shared the draft of the Fiscal Year Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) this will be finalized in January and adopted in the Spring.</p> <p>McGregor noted that staff are currently accepting tasks for this upcoming UPWP.</p> <p>McGregor shared the task breakdown structure for the AAMPO RTP covering tasks: 100s – Program Management, 200s – Long Range Transportation Planning, 300s – Interregional Transportation Planning, 400s – Transportation Programming, and 500s – Special Projects.</p> <p>ODOT Staff James Feldmann asked about AAMPO doing bike count work like CAMPO is doing.</p> <p>McGregor noted that CAMPO and AAMPO Staff have talked about IN-KIND matches and borrowing equipment from CAMPO for AAMPO to use to get bike counts in the AAMPO Area.</p> <p>Chris Cerklewski added he can check in with City of Albany Staff member</p>	

	<p>Ron Irish if there was bike/ped counting equipment or any abilities the city may have to help assist.</p> <p>The group noted that it may be a good idea to add more funds to Item 510 for more special project abilities. There was no opposition to this idea from the AAMPO Members in attendance.</p>	
<p>6. Jurisdictional Updates/Other Business</p>	<p><b>AAMPO Staff Updates:</b></p> <p>The AAMPO Staff members and Policy Board Members in attendance decided to Cancel the December 27<sup>th</sup> Meeting.</p> <p><b>Jurisdictional Updates:</b></p> <p>Albany – Councilor Kopczynski shared that staff are working on resolving the residential street issues, which has been a difficult problem to solve. The goal is to get a plan that staff can start putting in place.</p> <p>Benton County – Commissioner Malone shared staff are planning to have the multi-modal path from Albany to Corvallis to have actual pavement next season at the Corvallis end, and there is also planning dollars for the North Albany end as well. Additionally, there was a planning grant received for the middle section of the path too.</p> <p>Stockhoff added that the Springhill drive paving project has also been completed as well in the North Albany area.</p> <p>Feldmann shared his understanding of construction is Conifer to Pilkington is done, Pilkington to Merloy in 2024, and Rainwater to Hickory in 2025. The gap between Merloy and Rainwater is funded for planning/design.</p> <p>Jefferson – David Watkins noted that staff are working on their parks with a BBQ set up for the summer. Additionally, there is a lease of land where a splash park could be put in as a partnership with the schools in Jefferson.</p> <p>Linn County – Chair Darrin Lane shared that Linn County is working on winterization of the county and preparation for the winter months to come. Additionally, the county will be purchasing the wheelhouse building downtown.</p>	

	<p>Millersburg – There were no Millersburg representatives present.</p> <p>Tangent – Joe Samaniego shared that staff are making progress on the transportation system plan. Additionally Tangent received a grant for a path, and is also in the process to become the 72<sup>nd</sup> Tree City in Oregon while receiving money for downtown street trees.</p> <p>ODOT – Feldmann shared that Robert Harrison is the new interim area manager for ODOT and has taken over Savannah’s role with the MPO’s.</p>	
7. Adjournment	The next AAMPO Policy Board Meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, December 27, 2023, from 2:30pm – 4:30pm.	<b>The meeting was adjourned at 3:16 pm by Chair Darrin Lane.</b>





# Albany Area MPO Member Handbook

*January 2024*

*Development of this document was made possible with funding from the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, and the Oregon Department of Transportation.*

## Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction .....	1
What is a Metropolitan Planning Organization?.....	1
What does a Metropolitan Planning Organization do? .....	1
Historical Context.....	3
Chapter 2: AAMPO Overview.....	4
What is the Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization?.....	4
Administrative Structure & Relationships.....	3
Albany Area MPO Governing Documents & Agreements.....	6
Chapter 3: Metropolitan Planning Organization Work Products.....	7
Core Documents .....	7
Additional Responsibilities.....	10
Chapter 4: Metropolitan Planning Organization Funding.....	14
Primary Federal Funding Sources .....	14
Secondary Funding Sources .....	16
Federal Funding Process .....	17
Appendix A: Relationship between the State and MPO long range transportation plans and transportation improvement programs.....	19

# Chapter 1: Introduction

## What is a Metropolitan Planning Organization?

A Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is a transportation policy making body made up of representatives from local governments and transportation agencies with authority and responsibility in metropolitan planning areas. Federal legislation passed in the early 1970s required the formation of a MPO for all urbanized areas (UZAs) with a population greater than 50,000. Today, there are more than 300 MPOs across the country, including eight Oregon MPOs. In addition, there are two bi-state MPOs primarily functioning within the state of Washington.

The MPOs in Oregon include:

- Albany Area MPO (AAMPO)
- Bend MPO (BMPO)
- Central Lane MPO (CLMPO)
- Corvallis Area MPO (CAMPO)
- Middle Rogue MPO (MRMPO)
- Portland Metropolitan Area (Metro)
- Rogue Valley MPO (RVMPO)
- Salem-Keizer Transportation Study (SKATS)



The bi-state MPOs include:

- Walla-Walla Valley MPO (containing the city of Milton-Freewater and Umatilla County)
- Longview-Kelso-Rainier MPO (containing the city of Rainier)

The MPOs with 200,000 or more population are also designated as **Transportation Management Areas (TMAs)**, a designation that brings on additional responsibility and authority. Portland Metro, Central Lane, and Salem-Keizer MPOs are the only three MPOs in Oregon with TMA status.

## What does a Metropolitan Planning Organization do?

MPOs were created in order to ensure that existing and future expenditures for transportation projects and programs in metropolitan areas are based on a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive (3-C) planning process. **Additional federal funding for the construction of transportation projects is channeled through the MPO. Note that since MPOs do not have jurisdiction over the physical infrastructure however, construction is completed by member cities and counties. Instead, MPOs create the regional plans that guide the development of those projects. The primary functions of a MPO are to:**

- Establish a setting for **fair, impartial and effective regional decision-making** for the MPO area
- **Identify and evaluate transportation improvement options** for the MPO area.
- **Develop and update a long-range Regional Transportation Plan** for the MPO area covering a planning horizon of at least 20 years that fosters mobility and access for people and goods, efficient system performance and preservation, and good quality of life.

- **Develop a short-range Transportation Improvement Program** that is based on priorities identified in the long-range plan. This program should be designed to achieve the area’s goals using spending, regulating, operating, management and financial tools.
- **Involve the public** and other affected constituencies in the functions listed above.

Implementation of these primary functions must also be consistent with overarching federal policy. Current federal policy is to “encourage and promote the safe and efficient management, operation, and development of surface transportation systems that will serve the mobility needs of people and freight and foster economic growth and development within and between States and urbanized areas, while minimizing transportation-related fuel consumption and air pollution.”

More specifically, federal policy guidance dictates that MPO planning and programming activities take into account the following **Eight Planning Factors**:

1. Support economic vitality.
2. Increase transportation safety for motorized and non-motorized users.
3. Increase transportation security for motorized and non-motorized users.
4. Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight.
5. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and state and local planned growth and economic development patterns.
6. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system across and between modes for both people and freight.
7. Promote efficient system management and operation.
8. Emphasize preservation of the existing transportation system.

**Additional Federal Guidance for Consideration:**


The following federal recommendations can help guide the planning process, but are not required:

- *Livability Principles*: From the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) and Housing and Urban Development (HUD), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The principles focus on providing transportation choices; expanding access to affordable housing located close to transit, enhancing economic competitiveness, targeting federal funds toward existing communities to spur revitalization and protect rural landscapes, increasing collaboration among federal, state, and local governments, and valuing the unique qualities of all communities.
- *Bike/pedestrian accommodations*: USDOT policy is to incorporate safe and convenient walking and bicycling facilities into transportation projects. Transportation agencies are encouraged to go beyond minimum standards in providing facilities for these modes.

### **Additional Guidance from the State of Oregon:**

In addition to meeting federal mandates, MPOs often have additional responsibilities under state law. For example, in Oregon, MPOs are required to comply with the Transportation Planning Rule (TPR). The TPR guides preparation and adoption of transportation system plans. The rule includes specific requirements for MPOs to expand transportation choices.

Additionally, some Oregon MPOs are required to begin scenario planning work, which addresses climate change mitigation through emissions reductions and other strategies. MPOs can choose to partner with ODOT on other projects as well, including assistance on land use analysis, transportation-related computer modeling, application of access management principles, and other projects.



### **Historical Context**

While the earliest beginnings of urban transportation planning go back to the post-World War II years, the federal requirement for urban transportation planning emerged during the early 1960s.

The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1962 created the federal requirement for urban transportation planning largely in response to the construction of the Interstate Highway System and the planning of routes through and around urban areas. The Act required, as a condition attached to federal transportation financial assistance, that transportation projects in urbanized areas of 50,000 or more in population be based on a continuing, comprehensive, urban transportation planning process undertaken cooperatively by the states and local governments — the birth of the so-called 3C, “continuing, comprehensive and cooperative planning process.”

It was not until 1974 that the U.S. Congress amended the Federal Aid Highway Act and mandated the formation of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to carry out the required planning process. MPOs were established to ensure local and regional governments were able to effectively carry out regional transportation planning and programming.

The passage of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) in 1991 further strengthened the metropolitan planning process by enhancing the role of local elected officials, requiring stakeholder involvement, and emphasizing multi-modal planning, system efficiency, mobility, and access. The role and authorities of MPOs have been retained by subsequent transportation funding and authorization legislation, including the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Act (MAP-21), in place from 2012 to 2014, and the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act (FAST), in place since 2015 and set to expire in September of 2021.

## Chapter 2: AAMPO Overview

### What is the Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization?


The Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (AAMPO) was formed following the 2010 Census, which determined that the Albany Urbanized Area had reached a population of 56,997. AAMPO was formally designated by the Governor of Oregon on February 6<sup>th</sup> 2013.

As designated, the AAMPO Planning Area covers the Albany Urbanized Area, which is composed of the cities of Albany, Jefferson, Millersburg, and Tangent as well as adjacent parts of Linn, Benton and Marion Counties that are anticipated to become urbanized during the 20 year planning horizon. Note, that although the AAMPO planning area includes a small portion of Marion County around the City of Jefferson, Marion County is not a member of AAMPO. Figure 1 on the following page shows the extent of the AAMPO Planning Area.

AAMPO membership includes:

- City of Albany
- City of Jefferson
- City of Millersburg
- City of Tangent
- Linn County
- Benton County
- Oregon Department of Transportation

**Figure 1: AAMPO Planning Area**





## Administrative Structure & Relationships


MPOs have unique internal administrative structures as well as multi-faceted relationships with other transportation planning agencies. Figure 2 depicts AAMPO's internal organization.

### Policy Board

AAMPO is governed by an eight-member Policy Board composed of elected representatives from the cities of Jefferson, Millersburg, Albany, and Tangent, and Benton and Linn Counties as well as a staff person from the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and a citizens' representative.

Policy Board members are designated by the governing body of member jurisdictions (i.e. mayor/city council or the county board of commissioners). The Policy Board's decision-making process is based on consensus building among the members. A complete description of the Policy Board's decision making process and the election of officers is outlined in AAMPO's Policy Board Bylaws.

**Figure 2: AAMPO Organization Chart**



Per the federal transportation planning regulations (USC, Title 23), the MPO Policy Board is empowered to make transportation planning and programming decisions for the MPO area. The federal government recognizes a transportation plan adopted by the MPO Policy Board as the official transportation plan for the MPO area. Federal dollars will not be released to any transportation project in the MPO area that is not consistent with the goals and objectives of the MPO's transportation plan.

The Policy Board is also empowered to establish the area's transportation priority projects and to allocate federal dollars to those priority projects. ODOT is required to honor the integrity and the priority order of the MPO's transportation projects.

## Technical Advisory Committee

The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) provides technical assistance to the Policy Board. The TAC is made up of professional staff of the member jurisdictions, as designated by the Policy Board. The TAC also includes ex-officio members representing the following federal and state agencies:

- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA)
- Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD)
- Oregon Department of State Land (DSL)
- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)

Meetings of the TAC, their decision making process, and the election of officers proceed according to the AAMPO's TAC Bylaws.

## MPO Staff

MPO staff assist the Policy Board and TAC by preparing documents, fostering interagency coordination, facilitating public input and feedback, and managing the planning process. MPO staff may provide committees with technical assessments and evaluations of proposed transportation initiatives. The MPO staff may engage consultants to generate needed data. MPO staff typically include a manager and one or more planning, administrative, and technical staff. In addition to staffing the MPO Policy Board and TAC, MPO staff may also play a role in other regional, transportation related committees.

## Relationship with Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments

MPOs can be broadly grouped into two administrative categories, independent or hosted. Independent MPOs act as their own fiscal agents and staffing decisions are left to the discretion of the policy board. A MPO is considered to be hosted when another organization acts as the fiscal agent for the MPO and holds the power to hire and fire the MPO's employees. Hosted MPOs are generally housed within Regional Planning Organizations (RPOs) or Councils of Governments (COGs). **It is important to note that a hosted MPO's policy boards operate independently of the policy board of the hosting entity (i.e. AAMPO's Policy Board is independent of Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments' Policy Board).**

**AAMPO is hosted by Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments (OCWCOG) and housed within OCWCOG's Community and Economic Development (CED) department.** AAMPO's staffing, including fiscal and administrative support, is provided through a contract with OCWCOG. Staffing for AAMPO includes a full-time Transportation Planner, assistance from the CED Executive Administrative Assistant, and assistance as needed from the Transportation Planning Manager, CED Director, and other planning staff. Figure 3 shows AAMPO's position within OCWCOG and lists key staff.

**Relationship with Area Commission on Transportation**

Area Commissions on Transportation (ACTs) are advisory bodies to the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC). The OTC establishes state transportation policy and guides the planning, development and management of a statewide integrated transportation network, the OTC also serves as the Board of Directors for Oregon Department of Transportation. Initially, ACTs were created to advise OTC on funding priority of transportation modernization projects. Over the time, their consultative role has been expanded to other transportation issues.

The Cascades West Area Commission on Transportation (CWACT) is one of the oldest and most active of the 12 ACTs in Oregon. CWACT is made up of representatives of the public and private entities in Linn, Benton, and Lincoln

Counties. AAMPO staff serve as voting members of the CWACT Technical Advisory Committee and as ex-officio members of the full Commission. AAMPO and CWACT have signed a coordination/cooperation protocol that outlines procedures for synchronization of transportation priorities and decisions between the two entities.

**Relationship with Linn-Benton Loop**

The Linn-Benton Loop is a bus service that primarily serves riders traveling between Linn Benton Community College in south Albany and Oregon State University in Corvallis. The Loop is funded with a portion of FTA 5307 funds received by the transit providers within AAMPO and CAMPO. Albany and Corvallis are the designated Direct Recipients of AAMPO and CAMPOs’ 5307 funds, respectively. FTA 5307 funds are matched with local funds contributed by Linn Benton Community College and Oregon State University, as well as STIF monies from Linn and Benton Counties. The Loop is operated by Albany Transit Service. The Loop is governed by a Policy Board which receives support from a Technical Advisory Committee. AAMPO staff are a voting member of the Loop Technical Advisory Committee.

**Relationship with Oregon MPO Consortium**

The Oregon MPO Consortium (OMPOC) is a forum for Oregon’s MPOs to address common needs, issues, and solutions to transportation and land use challenges facing Oregon’s metropolitan regions and surrounding areas. OPMPOC membership consists of representatives from Oregon’s designated MPOs. Each MPO is represented by two voting Policy Board members. MPO staff act as non-voting ex-officio members of OMPOC.

**Figure 3: OCWCOG Organization Chart**



## Relationship with State and Local Government

Upon the formation of AAMPO, Intergovernmental Agreements (IGAs) were signed between AAMPO and ODOT, and AAMPO and local governments. These IGAs call for cooperation among the signatories and the designation of a representative to the AAMPO Policy Board and AAMPO Technical Advisory Committee.

## Albany Area MPO Governing Documents & Agreements

Numerous documents and agreements govern relationships within and outside of AAMPO. AAMPO's governing documents and agreements are listed and described below in Table 1.

**Table 1: Summary of AAMPO Governing Documents**

AAMPO Governing Documents	
<b><i>Intergovernmental Agreement Establishing the Albany Area MPO</i></b>	MPOs are established through intergovernmental agreement, with formal designation by the Governor. The IGA establishing the Albany Area MPO was executed on January 13 <sup>th</sup> , 2013 and the Oregon Governor designated the Albany Area MPO on February 6 <sup>th</sup> , 2013.
<b><i>Policy Board Bylaws &amp; Technical Advisory Committee Bylaws</i></b>	The roles and authorities of the MPO Policy Board and its Technical Advisory Committee are outlined in their respective bylaws, as adopted by the Policy Board. Bylaws for the AAMPO Policy Board and Technical Advisory Committee were adopted in May 2013.
Other Agreements	
<b><i>Intergovernmental Agreement between AAMPO and OCWCOG</i></b>	This agreement establishes the relationship between AAMPO and Oregon Cascades West Council of Governments (OCWCOG). It designates OCWCOG as AAMPO's administrative and fiscal agent; outlining AAMPO staff responsibilities and how funds are received and spent. This agreement was most recently executed in July of 2023, the current contract period extends to June 2026.
<b><i>ODOT/MPO/Transit Operator Agreement for Financial Plans and Obligated Projects Lists</i></b>	This agreement defines the roles and responsibilities in carrying out the metropolitan planning and financial planning processes. This agreement was executed in February 2014.
<b><i>Annual Intergovernmental Agreement to fund the Unified Planning Work Program</i></b>	This annual agreement establishes the contractual responsibilities of AAMPO and ODOT for implementation of the MPO's annual Unified Planning Work Program.

**Table 1: Summary of AAMPO Governing Documents CONTINUED**

Other Agreements	
<b><i>Direct Recipient of 5307 funds</i></b>	This resolution by the Policy Board establishes the City of Albany as the Direct Recipient of AAMPO’s FTA 5307 funds. The resolution states that the funds should be spent on Albany Transit Service programs within the MPO area and in a manner consistent with guidance provided by the AAMPO Policy Board. This resolution went into effect in March 2013, and was filed with ODOT and the FTA.

## Chapter 3: Metropolitan Planning Organization Work Products

In accordance with federal regulations, AAMPO’s role is to provide a setting for cooperative regional transportation planning. The MPO supports and builds off local transportation planning efforts and provides a coordination role for planning and programming funds for projects and operations. Specific responsibilities of AAMPO include development or completion of:

- An annual Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)
- A long-range (20 year) Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)
- A four-year Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP or TIP)
- A Title VI Nondiscrimination Plan
- A Public Participation Plan (PPP)
- An annual List of Obligated Projects
- An annual Performance and Expenditure Report
- Quarterly Reports and Invoices
- An annual Self-Certification
- Updated Federal Aid Urban Boundaries and Federal Functional Classification Maps

The sections below describe the MPO work products in more detail.

### Core Documents

Core MPO documents include the Unified Planning Work Program, the Regional Transportation Plan, the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program, the Title VI Nondiscrimination Plan, and the Public Participation Plan. Table 2 summarized the core documents and their update schedules.

**Table 2: Summary of Core Documents and their Timelines**

Document	Approval	Content	Update Schedule
<b>Unified Planning Work Program</b>	MPO	Planning studies and tasks for fiscal year	Annually
<b>Regional Transportation Plan</b>	MPO	Assess transportation needs and projects	Every 5 years
<b>Transportation Improvement Plan</b>	MPO/ Governor	Transportation investments	Every 4 years
<b>Title VI Nondiscrimination Plan</b>	MPO	Plan to ensure non-discrimination	Review periodically
<b>Public Participation Plan</b>	MPO	Plan to engage and inform the public	Review periodically

### **Unified Planning Work Program**

The purpose of the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) is to outline the transportation planning and programming activities of the MPO during the course of the fiscal year. The AAMPO UPWP describes major work tasks and serves as a guiding document for MPO staff and committee members. The AAMPO UPWP contains the following elements:

- A description of planning tasks the MPO will undertake with federal funds (e.g., data collection and analysis, public outreach, preparation of the TIP, etc.) and the products that will result from these activities
- An estimate of funding to be spent on each activity
- Estimated staff time needed to complete each activity
- A timeline for completion of each activity

The UPWP must be approved by the MPO’s Policy Board and submitted to the state DOT by April of each year. A full overview of AAMPO activities can be found in the most recent UPWP, available on [AAMPO’s website](#).

### **Regional Transportation Plan**

The purpose of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is to identify how the Albany Metropolitan Area will meet the needs of the transportation system over a 20-year planning horizon. The RTP contains projects and policies to guide the development of a multi-modal transportation system (including transit, highway, bicycle, pedestrian, and accessible transportation) which meets the region’s economic, transportation, development and sustainability goals, while remaining fiscally constrained.

Federal and state regulations require that transportation projects be derived from an adopted RTP. This means that transportation funds cannot be released to projects that are not recommended in the RTP. The AAMPO RTP is recognized by federal and state transportation agencies and serves as the requisite

document for the flow of federal funds to the area. In Oregon, a RTP can also serve as the Regional Transportation System Plan (RTSP) to establish compliance with Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR).

Per the federal regulations, the RTP is a financially constrained document. In that, every project in the RTP must have a “reasonably anticipated” funding source. As such, the RTP includes a financial plan that demonstrates a balance between the costs of all recommended projects and the reasonably anticipated funds available to the MPO. Needed projects for which no funding sources can be identified are listed as “Illustrative Projects” in the RTP. When and if additional funds become available, the MPO can amend the RTP to move a project from the illustrative project list onto the recommended projects list.

The Policy Board is empowered to amend the RTP for any necessary additions, deletions, or modifications, granted that the amendment meets all applicable federal requirements. Amendments that make substantive changes to the RTP may require public involvement and the evaluation of financial impacts of the change. A minor or administrative amendment, on the other hand, may not trigger these processes.

AAMPO’s current RTP was developed during 2022 and 2023. The AAMPO Policy Board adopted the RTP in November 2023. Federal rules require the RTP to be updated every five years.

### **Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program**

The Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP or TIP) is a short-range capital improvement program that lists priority transportation projects in the Albany Metropolitan Area for funding. Current federal regulations require that the MTIP cover a minimum of four years and be updated at least every four years.

**Projects in the MTIP must conform to and be consistent with the approved RTP in order to be approved for federal funds.** The MTIP is a more precise document than the RTP, specifying the phases of a project, the schedule, the costs, the funding source(s), and the sponsor(s) of each project that is ready for implementation. Just like the RTP, the MTIP must be fiscally constrained. This means that the MTIP may include a project, or an identified phase of a project, only if full funding can reasonably be anticipated to be available within the time period contemplated for completion of the project.

The MTIP development process relies on building consensus among federal, state and local agencies on funding priorities of near term transportation projects. All federally funded transportation projects (inclusive of all travel modes) within the MPO’s boundaries must be included in the MTIP. In addition, the MTIP should include projects that are considered regionally significant, regardless of funding source. MPOs may also include local, non-regionally significant projects in the MTIP as a way of keeping track of improvements throughout their transportation networks.

The MTIP must be approved by both the MPO Policy Board and the Governor (or the Governor’s designee). MPOs are responsible for monitoring the progress and financial status of projects listed in its

MTIP. See Appendix A for a more detailed description of the relationship between the RTP, MTIP, Oregon Transportation Plan, and State TIP.

### **Title VI Nondiscrimination Plan**

The Title VI Nondiscrimination Plan is a federally required document which reflects AAMPO's commitment to ensuring that no person shall - on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability or income status - be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity conducted by the MPO. AAMPO's Title VI Nondiscrimination Plan was developed to meet obligations under Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, the President's Executive Order on Environmental Justice (1994) and subsequent orders and enforcement regulations. AAMPO's current Title VI Nondiscrimination Plan was last updated in April 2020, and is being updated in collaboration with CAMPO for 2024-2025.

### **Public Participation Plan**

The MPO is responsible for actively seeking the participation of citizens, stakeholders and all relevant agencies in the planning process. Towards this effort, every MPO must develop a Public Participation Plan (PPP) in consultation with citizens and other interested parties. The PPP describes methods, strategies, and desired outcomes for public participation, addressing outreach to a broad audience of interested parties. The PPP outlines the policies and procedures adopted by the AAMPO Policy Board for involving the general public in the MPO's transportation decision-making processes. AAMPO's current PPP was last updated in January 2016, and is being updated in collaboration with CAMPO for 2024-2025.

#### ***Planning for the Fiscal Year***

*Because MPOs coordinate with federal, state and local partners, several fiscal years can affect an MPO's operational functions:*

- 1. State Fiscal Year: July 1 - June 30*
- 2. Federal Fiscal Year: October 1 - September 30*
- 3. Calendar Year: January 1 - December 31*

### **Additional Responsibilities**

Outside of the core documents listed above, AAMPO is also responsible for preparing an annual List of Obligated Projects, Quarterly Reports and Invoices, and an annual

Performance and Expenditure Report. AAMPO also completes an Annual Self-Certification and updates Federal Aid Urban Boundaries and Federal Functional Classification Maps.

### **Annual List of Obligated Projects**

This is a list of all surface transportation projects within the MPO that have had federal funds obligated within the preceding fiscal year. The list of obligated projects is submitted directly to FHWA and ODOT after the close of the federal fiscal year.

### **Quarterly Reports and Invoices**

MPOs provide Quarterly Reports to the state DOT, documenting progress on tasks outlined in the UPWP. At the same time, the MPO submits an invoice for reimbursement of work performed under the



UPWP that quarter. Generally speaking, the invoice delineates the costs of staff, services and supplies required to accomplish the work of that quarter, along with the amount of local match applied to the federal funds requested.

### **Annual Performance and Expenditure Report**

In addition to quarterly reports, MPOs must prepare Annual Performance and Expenditure Reports documenting how the MPO accomplished the work outlined in its UPWP. A year-end financial report is also submitted at this time. These reports must be submitted to the state DOT, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the Regional Office of the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) within 90 days following the close of the state fiscal year.



*View of Albany downtown from 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue, 2021*

### **Annual Self-Certification**


The state DOT and MPO must jointly certify that the MPO's transportation planning process is compliant with federal transportation planning laws and regulations, including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. AAMPO completes an annual self-certification each year to satisfy this requirements, concurrent with the TIP. In addition to self-certification, MPOs with populations greater than 200,000 also undergo a formal review by FHWA at least every four years.

### **Federal Aid Urban Boundaries and Federal Functional Classifications**

Following each decennial U.S. Census, FHWA requires state DOTs to work with local jurisdictions and MPOs to update Federal Aid Urban Boundaries (FAUBs) and corresponding Federal Functional Classifications of local roadways throughout the state. Updates may also be completed outside of this formal process by contacting the state DOT.

**The FAUB** is the dividing line between urban and rural federal functional classifications. It includes the Urbanized Area and MPO planning area; however, consideration is also given to major traffic generators, major bus routes, interchanges, bridges and continuity of roadway classification. Figure 4 on the next page shows AAMPO's Urbanized Area, FAUB and MPO planning area.

**Figure 4: AAMO Urbanized Area, Federal Aid Urban Boundary, and Planning Area (2014)**



**The Federal Functional Classification** system is used to identify roadways eligible for federal funds. Typically, to qualify for federal funds, a facility must be classified higher than a ‘local’ road on the urban and rural system. There are seven functional classifications, each representing a different balance of mobility and access. The Federal Functional Classification system, from highest to lowest classification, is described below in Table 3.

**Table 3: Federal Functional Classification**

Classification	Description
<b>Interstate (highest classification)</b>	Interstates are limited access, divided highways offering high levels of mobility while linking the major urban areas. Interstates have very limited access and do not serve abutting land uses. <i>Examples include I-5</i>
<b>Freeway/Expressway</b>	Similar to interstates, freeways/expressways are designed to maximize mobility and do not serve abutting land uses. They have directional travel lanes that are usually separated by some type of physical barrier and their access points are limited to on- and off-ramp locations or a very limited number of at-grade intersections. There are no <i>examples of freeways or expressways in the AAMPO area</i>
<b>Principle Arterial</b>	Principle arterials offer high mobility between urban areas and also serve abutting land uses by including driveways to specific parcels and at-grade intersections with other roadways. <i>Examples include HWY20 and 99E</i>
<b>Minor Arterial</b>	Minor arterials provide service for trips of moderate length and serve geographic areas that are smaller than their higher arterial counterparts. Minor arterials ‘feed’ into the higher arterial system and may carry local bus routes in urban settings. <i>Examples include Waverly Dr., Queen St., and North Albany Rd. in Albany</i>
<b>Major Collector &amp; Minor Collector</b>	Collectors serve the critical role of gathering and channeling traffic from local street to the arterial network. The distinction between major collectors and minor collectors is often subtle. Generally, major collector routes are longer in length, have lower connecting driveway densities, higher speed limits, higher annual average traffic volumes, and may have more travel lanes than minor collectors. <i>Examples of major collectors include Ferry St. and Marion St. in Albany. Examples of minor collectors include 28<sup>th</sup> Ave. and 9<sup>th</sup> Ave. in Albany</i>
<b>Local (lowest classification)</b>	Local streets are low traffic volume roads that provide direct access to abutting land, such as the street in front of your home. They are not intended to be used for long-distance through movements. <i>Examples include Elk Run Dr. and SE Sherman St. in Albany</i>

# Chapter 4: Metropolitan Planning Organization Funding

## Primary Federal Funding Sources


Federal funds provided by the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration are the primary funding source for AAMPO planning and programming activities. ODOT and the City of Albany provide the required match for federal funds, either with state funds (ODOT) or in-kind funds (Albany). MPOs with populations over 200,000 typically receive their federal funds directly from the USDOT while MPOs with populations under 200,000, like AAMPO, receive their federal funding through the state DOT. Below is a list of the funds available to AAMPO. Figure 5 graphically depicts the AAMPO’s main federal funding sources.

### Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) Funds

Surface Transportation Block Grant (previously the Surface Transportation Program) funds are a flexible multi-modal block grant-type program administered by the FHWA. STBG funds provide for a broad range of transportation uses and may be used for projects on the Federal-aid highway system (including the NHS), bridge projects on any public road, transit capital projects, and intracity or intercity bus terminals and facilities. Funds are used to implement projects identified in the Regional Transportation Plan and prioritized by the MPO.

MPOs with 200,000 or greater in population receive STBG allocations directly from FHWA whereas smaller MPOs receive their STBG funds through the state DOT. In Oregon, STBG funds are distributed to MPOs under 200,000, cities, and counties based on an Intergovernmental Agreement between the Association of Oregon Counties, League of Oregon Cities,

Figure 5: AAMPO Main Federal Funding Sources



and ODOT. According to this agreement, small MPOs receive STBG funding based on population. The State of Oregon allows jurisdictions within small MPOs to complete fund exchange agreements with ODOT, which allows additional flexibility in how the funds are used. Over the past few years, AAMPO's annual STBG share has been approximately \$750,000. AAMPO commonly chooses to exchange all federal STBG funds through ODOT's state fund exchange.

### **PL Funds**

FHWA metropolitan planning funds (PL funds) are AAMPO's main source of operational funds. PL funds support MPO operations and tasks outlined in the annual Unified Planning Work Program. This includes developing long-range regional transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and the planning process in general as described in 23 USC §134.



Currently, PL funds are apportioned to the states based on a ratio of urbanized population in individual states to the total nationwide urbanized area population. In Oregon, ODOT redistributes the state's allocation of PL funding among Oregon's MPOs according to a statewide formula, with lesser amounts provided to the bi-state MPOs. ODOT currently provides the required 10.27% match for Oregon MPOs.

### **5303 Funds**

These FTA metropolitan transit planning funds are intended for multimodal transportation planning in MPO areas. 5303 funds are one of AAMPO's main source of operational funding (the other being PL funds). 5303 funds are apportioned to states by a formula that includes each state's urbanized area population in proportion to the total urbanized area population for the nation, as well as other factors. Similar to the PL funds, the 5303 funds are redistributed by state DOTs to MPOs by statewide formulas. The City of Albany currently provides the required 20% match for these funds through in-kind work related to the MPO.

### **5310 Funds**

FTA 5310 funds are intended to enhance mobility for transit-dependent seniors and persons with disabilities by providing programs beyond traditional public transportation services and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) complementary paratransit services. A portion of each state's 5310 allocation is intended to be spent within MPO areas. MPOs with populations of 200,000 or greater receive these funds directly; however, the funds intended to be spent within smaller MPOs flow through the state DOT.

In Oregon, 5310 Special Transportation Fund (STF) agencies receive the 5310 funds on behalf of the smaller MPOs (with populations less than 200,000). The STF agencies coordinate with MPOs to determine how 5310 funds will be spent within the MPO area. AAMPO's STF agency is Linn County.



Projects selected for funding must be included in a coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan.

### **5307 Funds**

FTA 5307 funding is provided to MPOs for public transportation capital, planning, job access and reverse commute projects. 5307 funds may also be used for operating expenses in MPOs of less than 200,000 or if the system has fewer than 100 buses.

The State of Oregon is the ‘Designated Recipient’ of these funds for MPOs under 200,000. ODOT sub-allocates these funds to ‘Direct Recipients’ approved by each MPO. The City of Albany, which operates Albany Transit System, is the Direct Recipient of AAMPO’s 5307 funds. The funds should be expended by the City of Albany consistent with the AAMPO regional transportation plan and the guidance provided by the AAMPO Policy Board.

### **Secondary Funding Sources**

The secondary funding sources listed below can be used for planning and project construction in the AAMPO planning area, but unlike primary funds, secondary funds are not received on a consistent basis and can be competitive in nature.

### **Transportation Growth Management Program Grants**

The Transportation Growth Management (TGM) Program is a state fund program administered jointly by ODOT and the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCDD). The TGM program consists of five program areas, including the planning grant program. The planning grant program awards grants on an annual basis to support policy decisions through development of transportation plans or integrated land use and transportation plans. Examples of TGM grant projects include city level transportation system plans (TSPs), downtown plans, bicycle and pedestrian plans, and mobility hub feasibility studies.



### **Discretionary State Transportation Improvement Funds**

State Transportation Improvement Funds (STIF) are used for improving or expanding public transportation service in Oregon. ODOT disburses the majority of STIF funds based on formula allocation. The remaining STIF funds are split into two categories and distributed bi-annually on a competitive basis.

The STIF Discretionary Fund receives 5 percent of STIF funds. ODOT awards Discretionary funds to public transportation service providers based on a competitive grant process.

The STIF Intercommunity Discretionary Fund receives 4 percent of STIF funds. ODOT awards Intercommunity funds to public transportation service providers to improve public transportation between two or more communities based on a competitive grant process.

### **Oregon Community Paths Program**

The Oregon Community Paths (OCP) Program is an ODOT grant program dedicated to helping communities create and maintain connections through multiuse paths. ODOT uses money from the state Multimodal Active Transportation fund and federal Transportation Alternatives Program to fund the OCP program. Grants can be used for project development, construction, reconstruction, major resurfacing or other improvements of multiuse paths that improve access and safety for people walking and bicycling. Grants are awarded on a bi-annual cycle to cities and counties. MPOs cannot apply for OCP grants directly, but can assist member jurisdictions in their grant applications.

### **National Highway Performance Program**

The National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) is administered by the FHWA to support progress toward achievement of national performance goals for improving infrastructure condition, safety, mobility, or freight movement on the national highway system. To meet funding requirements, projects must be on an eligible facility and be consistent with metropolitan and statewide planning requirements. Funds are allocated to the Oregon Department of Transportation annually through a formula methodology.

### **National Highway Freight Program**

The National Highway Freight Program (NHFP) is administered by the FHWA to improve the efficient movement of freight on the National Highway Freight Network. Funds are allocated to the Oregon Department of Transportation annually through a formula methodology.

### **Federal Funding Process**

Federal funds are made available through a specific process, detailed below.

- **Authorizing Legislation:** Congress enacts legislation that establishes or continues the existing operation of a federal program or agency, including the amount of money it anticipates to be available to spend or grant to states, MPOs, and transit operators. Congress generally reauthorizes federal surface transportation programs over multiple years. The amount authorized, however, is not always the amount that ends up actually being available to spend.
- **Appropriations:** Each year, Congress decides on the federal budget for the next fiscal year. As a result of the appropriation process, the amount appropriated to a federal program is often less than the amount authorized for a given year and is the actual amount available to federal agencies to spend or grant.
- **Apportionment:** The distribution of program funds among states and metropolitan areas (for most transit funds) using a formula provided in law is called an apportionment. An apportionment is usually made on the first day of the federal fiscal year (October 1) for which the funds are authorized. At that time, the funds are available for obligation (spending) by a state, in accordance with an approved STIP. In many cases, the state is the designated recipient for federal transportation funds; in some cases, transit operators are the recipient.

- **Determining Eligibility:** Only certain projects and activities are eligible to receive federal transportation funding. Criteria depend on the funding source.
- **Match:** Most federal transportation programs require a non-federal match. State or local governments must contribute some portion of the project cost. This matching level is established by legislation. For many programs, the amount the state or local governments have to contribute is 20 percent of the capital cost for most highway and transit projects.



## Appendix A: Relationship between the State and MPO long range transportation plans and transportation improvement programs

Oregon's statewide planning process, and AAMPO's place within the process, can be confusing. The relationship between state and MPO long range transportation plans and transportation improvement programs is shown graphically in Figure 6.

All transportation projects stem from long range transportation plans, which are created at both the state and MPO level. MPO's bundle transportation projects that are intended to be completed in the next four years into Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Programs (MTIP).


The MTIP must identify all capital and non-capital surface transportation projects within the MPO planning area that are proposed for federal funding during fiscal years covered by the MTIP. The MTIP must also include any 'regionally significant' projects, whether or not they are proposed for federal funding.

**A MPO's MTIP must be consistent with its long range regional transportation plan (RTP).**

**Federally funded projects** from MTIPs from all MPOs across the state are then packaged together, along with projects being completed outside of MPO planning areas, to create the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). **Note that projects that are not federally funded are not included in the STIP.** Just as a MTIP must be consistent with a MPO's RTP, the STIP must be consistent with the Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP), the state's long range transportation system plan.

Once projects from across the state are packaged in the STIP, it is sent to the FHWA and FTA for review and approval. Once approved, funds are released to individual MPO members and non MPO-areas to implement projects in the STIP and MTIPs.

**Figure 6: Relationship of RTP, MTIP, OTP, and STIP**



**Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP):** Long-range (20 year) transportation system plan for the state. It establishes a vision and policy foundation to guide transportation system development and investment. Also referred to as a State Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP).

**Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP):** Detailed project list showing how federal funds will be spent over a 4 year period, it is a mechanism to focus and prioritize the short-term schedule and funding programming for the improvements identified in the OTP. The STIP must be consistent with the Oregon Transportation Plan.

**Regional Transportation Plan (RTP):** Long-range (20 year) transportation system plan for a MPO. RTPs are updated every 4 or 5 years. RTPs establish a vision and goals to guide transportation system development and investment, they also identify projects to be completed over the next 20 years.

**Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP):** Detailed project list showing how federal funds will be spent over a 4 year period, it is a mechanism to focus and prioritize the short-term schedule and funding programming for the improvements identified in the RTP. The MTIP must be consistent with the MPO's Regional Transportation Plan. Often referred to as simply the "Transportation Improvement Program", or TIP.

**AAMPO Linn Benton Loop Representative History**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Representative</b>
2016	Rodger Nyquist
2017	Rodger Nyquist
2018	Rodger Nyquist
2019	Rodger Nyquist
2020	Rodger Nyquist
2021	Rodger Nyquist
2022	Rodger Nyquist
2023	Rodger Nyquist

# MEMORANDUM

## Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

City of Albany • City of Jefferson • City of Millersburg • City of Tangent • Linn County •  
Benton County • Oregon Department of Transportation



**Date:** January 18, 2024  
**To:** AAMPO TAC & Policy Board  
**From:** Billy McGregor, AAMPO Staff  
**Re:** Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Revisions

### Action Requested

Decision regarding revisions to Oregon Transportation Network - Linn Co FFY23 project.

### Overview

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide an update on recent revisions to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) relevant to the Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (AAMPO). A summary table of recent revisions can be found on the following page.

### Background on the STIP and MTIP

The STIP is the Oregon Department of Transportation's capital improvement plan for state and federally-funded transportation projects. The current STIP (FY2021-2024) went into effect October 1, 2020 and expires September 30, 2024. AAMPO acts as the regional coordinator to the STIP helping ensure that revisions and other adjustments are processed appropriately. AAMPO also maintains a Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) which is consistent with the STIP.

### Revision Types

There are three types of STIP and MTIP revisions processed by AAMPO, listed below. Additional details on STIP and MTIP amendments can be found in the AAMPO MTIP policy [HERE](#).

- **Full Amendments:** Require the greatest level of scrutiny and are brought to the Policy Board for discussion and approval. The TAC makes a recommendation to the Policy Board regarding approval of the amendment and also determines what level public outreach is necessary. At a minimum, the item will be reviewed by the TAC and placed on the next Policy Board agenda, which comes with notification requirements. Additional items for consideration include provision of a public comment period (two weeks), holding a public meeting, and any other actions deemed advisable by the TAC.
- **Administrative Amendment:** Require less scrutiny and are usually familiar to local staff members. Administrative amendments are brought to the TAC for discussion and approval. The Policy Board is notified of Administrative Amendments at their next regularly scheduled meeting.
- **Adjustment:** For minor changes, AAMPO staff has the authority to approve adjustments. Adjustments do not require committee approval or public notice.

**STIP Revisions**

<b>Row</b>	<b>Revision Type</b>	<b>Project Key Number/s &amp; Name/s</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Revision Information</b>
3	Full Amendment	22040  Oregon Transportation Network - Linn Co FFY23	Urbanized public transit capital funding for Federal fiscal year 2023. Funds will be transferred to FTA for delivery. Projects and programs to be determined based on funding requirements	Update project name and reduce OT phase by \$342,050.  Reduce project cost by \$342,050 to match FTA allocation/grant amount and update project name.  "Oregon Transportation Network City of Albany FFY23 5310 STBG"

# MEMORANDUM

## Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

City of Albany • City of Jefferson • City of Millersburg • City of Tangent • Linn County •  
Benton County • Oregon Department of Transportation



**Date:** January 18, 2024  
**To:** AAMPO TAC & Policy Board  
**From:** Billy McGregor, AAMPO Staff  
**Re:** Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Revisions

### Action Requested

Decision regarding revisions to FY27 AAMPO STBG Reserve project, Albany Avenue Reconstruction (Albany), Tangent Drive Modernization (Albany), and STBG allocation FFY25-27 - Albany Area MPO.

### Overview

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide an update on recent revisions to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) relevant to the Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (AAMPO). A summary table of recent revisions can be found on the following page.

### Background on the STIP and MTIP

The STIP is the Oregon Department of Transportation's capital improvement plan for state and federally-funded transportation projects. The current STIP (FY2021-2024) went into effect October 1, 2020 and expires September 30, 2024. AAMPO acts as the regional coordinator to the STIP helping ensure that revisions and other adjustments are processed appropriately. AAMPO also maintains a Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) which is consistent with the STIP.

### Revision Types

There are three types of STIP and MTIP revisions processed by AAMPO, listed below. Additional details on STIP and MTIP amendments can be found in the AAMPO MTIP policy [HERE](#).

- **Full Amendments:** Require the greatest level of scrutiny and are brought to the Policy Board for discussion and approval. The TAC makes a recommendation to the Policy Board regarding approval of the amendment and also determines what level public outreach is necessary. At a minimum, the item will be reviewed by the TAC and placed on the next Policy Board agenda, which comes with notification requirements. Additional items for consideration include provision of a public comment period (two weeks), holding a public meeting, and any other actions deemed advisable by the TAC.
- **Administrative Amendment:** Require less scrutiny and are usually familiar to local staff members. Administrative amendments are brought to the TAC for discussion and approval. The Policy Board is notified of Administrative Amendments at their next regularly scheduled meeting.
- **Adjustment:** For minor changes, AAMPO staff has the authority to approve adjustments. Adjustments do not require committee approval or public notice.

**STIP Revisions**

Row	Revision Type	Project Key Number/s & Name/s	Project Description	Revision Information
1	Full Amendment	23471  FY27 AAMPO STBG Reserve	2027 allocation reserved for Albany Area MPO projects otherwise appropriated in 27-30.	Delete project from the STIP per MPO request as the project will be fund exchanged and as such, is not required to be included in the STIP.  Removing project from the STIP due to funding being converted from federal to state fund exchange.
2	Full Amendment	23469  Albany Avenue Reconstruction (Albany)	Design and construct a project to widen Albany Avenue between Pacific Boulevard (Oregon Highway 99E) and Salem Avenue to accommodate two additional vehicle lanes for a total of four vehicle lanes, bicycle facilities, and sidewalks to increase traveling safety.	Delete project from the STIP per MPO request as the project will be fund exchanged and as such, is not required to be included in the STIP.  Removing project from the STIP due to funding being converted from federal to state fund exchange.
3	Full Amendment	23467  Tangent Drive Modernization (Albany)	Design and construct the modernization of Tangent Dr from the intersection of State Highway 99E to Meadow Wood St. Project will include wider travel lanes bike lanes to increase overall safety.	Delete project from the STIP per MPO request as the project will be fund exchanged and as such, is not required to be included in the STIP.  Removing project from the STIP due to funding being converted from federal to state fund exchange.
4	Full Amendment	23285  STBG allocation FFY25-27 - Albany Area MPO	Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) funding for the Albany Area MPO to use on projects to be determined through their project selection process and budget development. Comprised of funding from FFY25, 26 and 27 allocations.	Delete project from the STIP per MPO request as the project will be fund exchanged and as such, is not required to be included in the STIP.  Removing project from the STIP due to funding being converted from federal to state fund exchange.

# MEMORANDUM

## Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

City of Albany • City of Jefferson • City of Millersburg • City of Tangent • Linn County •  
Benton County • Oregon Department of Transportation



**Date:** January 18, 2024  
**To:** AAMPO TAC & Policy Board  
**From:** Billy McGregor, AAMPO Staff  
**Re:** Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Revisions

### Action Requested

Decision regarding revisions to Corvallis to Albany Multiuse Path Planning Study project.

### Overview

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide an update on recent revisions to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) relevant to the Albany Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (AAMPO). A summary table of recent revisions can be found on the following page.

### Background on the STIP and MTIP

The STIP is the Oregon Department of Transportation's capital improvement plan for state and federally-funded transportation projects. The current STIP (FY2021-2024) went into effect October 1, 2020 and expires September 30, 2024. AAMPO acts as the regional coordinator to the STIP helping ensure that revisions and other adjustments are processed appropriately. AAMPO also maintains a Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) which is consistent with the STIP.

### Revision Types

There are three types of STIP and MTIP revisions processed by AAMPO, listed below. Additional details on STIP and MTIP amendments can be found in the AAMPO MTIP policy [HERE](#).

- **Full Amendments:** Require the greatest level of scrutiny and are brought to the Policy Board for discussion and approval. The TAC makes a recommendation to the Policy Board regarding approval of the amendment and also determines what level public outreach is necessary. At a minimum, the item will be reviewed by the TAC and placed on the next Policy Board agenda, which comes with notification requirements. Additional items for consideration include provision of a public comment period (two weeks), holding a public meeting, and any other actions deemed advisable by the TAC.
- **Administrative Amendment:** Require less scrutiny and are usually familiar to local staff members. Administrative amendments are brought to the TAC for discussion and approval. The Policy Board is notified of Administrative Amendments at their next regularly scheduled meeting.
- **Adjustment:** For minor changes, AAMPO staff has the authority to approve adjustments. Adjustments do not require committee approval or public notice.



**STIP Revisions**

<b>Row</b>	<b>Revision Type</b>	<b>Project Key Number/s &amp; Name/s</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Revision Information</b>
1	Full Amendment	21793 Off-system Bike Ped FFY2024	Funds bicycle and pedestrian projects outside of the state system/right of way. Projects to be selected based on program eligibility.	Reduce project cost by \$472,500, moving funds to project key 23493.
2	Full Amendment	23493 Corvallis to Albany Multiuse Path Planning Study	Plan and design the Corvallis to Albany shared use path along US20 between Merloy Avenue and Rainwater Lane, totaling approximately 5.6 miles. The path will improve access and safety for people walking and bicycling between Albany and Corvallis and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by providing an alternative to driving between these communities.	Increase PL by \$472,500, funds split from K21793. Update project location and description, add Bike-ped worktype.